FOREWORD

**INTRODUCTION** 

**DOCOSANOIC ACID** CAS N<sup>•</sup>: 112-85-6

## SIDS Initial Assessment Report for 13th SIAM

## (Bern, Switzerland, November 6 - 9, 2001)

Chemical Name:	Docosanoic acid
CAS No:	112-85-6
Sponsor Country:	Japan
National SIDS Contact Poir	<b>ht in Sponsor Country</b> : Mr. Koji Tomita, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Japan
History:	As a high priority chemical for initial assessment, docosanoic acid was selected in the framework of the ICCA Initiative. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry and Ministry of Environment were involved in the peer review process for the preparation of all SIDS initial assessment documents.
Comments :	ICCA Initiative work was lead by NOF CORPORATION, Japan. SIDS initial assessment documents were prepared by Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan.

### SIDS INITIAL ASSESSMENT PROFILE

CAS No.	112-85-6
Chemical Name	Docosanoic acid
Structural Formula	CH3(CH2)20COOH

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The chemical is currently of low priority for further work.

#### SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS OF THE SIAR

#### Human Health

Oral  $LD_{50}$  value of docosanoic acid for rats is greater than 2,000 mg/kg. There are no available data for irritation and sensitization. In an oral study using the OECD combined repeated dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity test [OECD TG 422], docosanoic acid was administered to rats at doses of 0, 100, 300, 1,000 mg/kg/day for at least 42 days. No deaths occurred and also no substance related toxic effects were observed in any parameters. Therefore, the NOAEL is considered to be 1,000 mg/kg/day for both repeated dose toxicity and reproductive/developmental toxicity. The chemical was negative in both a bacterial mutation test [OECD TG 471, 472] and a chromosomal aberration test *in vitro* [OECD TG 473].

#### Environment

Docosanoic acid is stable in water but inherently biodegradable (OECD TG 301C: 48-56 % (BOD) after 28-day and OECD TG 302C: 79-96% (BOD) after 28 days). It is likely to be easily degraded in air by the reaction with photochemically produced OH radical (half-life time is estimated as 13.7 hours). Fugacity level III calculation shows that the majority of docosanoic acid is likely to be distributed into water and sediment when it is released into water environment.

Acute toxicity values of docosanoic acid on alga (*Selenastrum capricornutum*), aquatic invertebrate (*Daphnia magna*) or fish (*Oryzias latipes*) are greater than its water solubility (0.016 mg/L). The NOEC in a 21-day reproduction test with *Daphnia magna* is also greater than its water solubility. No significant effects are observed in any tests conducted at extremely high concentrations by using dispersant under OECD test guidelines [TG201, 202, 203, 204, or 211]. There is information that some fatty acids with shorter carbon chain caused no mortality at saturated concentration in certain aquatic organisms (gammarus in freshwater; Medaka in seawater condition). Considering from these data and additional information, it is reasonable to assume that docosanoic acid is not toxic to aquatic organisms at the concentration less than its water solubility (0.016 mg/L). A PNEC is not calculated since NOEC values obtained are above the water solubility of the substance.

#### Exposure

The production volume of docosanoic acid is estimated at 6,440 tonnes (Production; 5,960 tonnes, import; 480 tonnes) in Japan in 1999. Docosanoic acid is produced in two companies in Japan, and used

as an intermediate for the production of its metal salts, docosylamine or higher alkyl esters in the chemical industry. The chemical is approved for use as a cosmetic ingredient in Japan. Docosanoic acid naturally occurs as triglyceride in most seed fats, animal milk fats, marine animal oils and so on.

The chemical seems to be released mainly into water from production and use sites after biological treatment.

Occupational exposures through inhalation as its vapor or dermal absorption are assumed to be negligible because of the low vapor pressure and low water solubility. While this chemical is produced in a closed system in Japan, workers might be exposed by dust during packing process when the chemical is treated as powder. EHE <sub>inh</sub> is calculated as 0.71 mg/kg/day (8h operation without protection, body weight; 70 kg, respiratory volume; 1.25 m<sup>3</sup>/h). Workers are recommended to wear protective equipment (dust mask) during the work to avoid the exposure by dust. General population is indirectly exposed to this chemical through food consumption, since docosanoic acid exists naturally in various foods.

Docosanoic acid may be permitted for use in cosmetics in some region (e.g. Japan), however, no information is available on whether cosmetic products are available which contain docosaonic acid. Further information in this regard was not requested due to the low hazard profile identified for this substance.

## NATURE OF FURTHER WORK RECOMMENDED

No recommendation.

## FULL SIDS SUMMARY

	CAS NO: 112-85-6	SPECIES	PROTOCOL	RESULTS
PH	YSICAL-CHEMICAL			
2.1	Melting Point		Unknown	79.95 ℃
2.2	Boiling Point		Unknown	306 °C (at 60 mmHg)
2.3	Density		Unknown	0.8221 (at 100 °C) (relative density)
2.4	Vapour Pressure		OECD TG 104	< 6.6×10 <sup>-3</sup> Pa at 100 °C
			Calculated (MPBP v1.40)	6.5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa at 25 °C
2.5	Partition Coefficient		OECD TG 107	> 5.11 at 25 °C
	(Log Pow)		Calculated	9.91
2.6 A.	Water Solubility		OECD TG 105	0.016 mg/L at 25 °C
B.	pH			No data available.
	рКа		OECD TG 112	Not determined
2.12	Oxidation: Reduction			No data available.
	Potential			
ENVIR	CONMENTAL FATE AND PATHWAY			
3.1.1	Photodegradation		AOP Win v 1.86	T <sub>1/2</sub> = 13.7 h
	C			(sensitizer: OH radical)
3.1.2	Stability in Water		OECD TG 111	Stable (pH 4.0, 7.0, 9.0)
3.2	Monitoring Data			No data available.
3.3	Transport and			(Release: 100% to Water)
	Distribution		Calculated	In Air 0.4%
			(Fugacity Model,	In Water 61.7%
			Level III)	In Soil 1.6 %
				In Sediment 36.3%
3.5	Biodegradation			Inherently biodegradable
			OECD TG 301C	48-56 % (BOD) in 28 days,
			OECD TG 302C	79-96% (BOD) in 28 days
3.6	Bioaccumulation			No data available.
E	COTOXICOLOGY			
4.1	Acute/Prolonged Toxicity	Oryzias latipes	OECD TG 203	LC <sub>50</sub> (96hr): > 5.00 mg/L
7.1	to Fish	Oryzius iunpes	0101 10 205	$LC_0(96hr): > 5.00 \text{ mg/L}$
			OECD TG 204	$LC_0(9000) > 5.00 \text{ mg/L}$ $LC_{50}(14d): > 4.99 \text{ mg/L}$
			0100 10 204	NOEC (14d, Grt, Beh):
				>4.99 mg/L
4.2	Acute Toxicity to	Daphnia magna	OECD TG 202	$EC_{50}$ (48hr): > 5.00 mg/L
1.2	Aquatic Invertebrates (Daphnia)	Supranu mugnu		
4.3	Toxicity to Aquatic	Selenastrum	OECD TG 201	EC <sub>50</sub> (72hr): > 5.00 mg/L
	Plants e.g. Algae	capricornutum		NOEC(72h): > 5.00 mg/L
4.5.2	Chronic Toxicity to	Daphnia magna	OECD TG 211	EC <sub>50</sub> (21d, Repro): > 0.84 mg/L
	Aquatic Invertebrates ( <i>Daphnia</i> )			NOEC(21d, Repro): > 0.84 mg/L
4.6.1	Toxicity to Soil Dwelling Organisms			No data available.
4.6.2	Toxicity to Terrestrial			No data available.

(	CAS NO: 112-85-6	SPECIES	PROTOCOL	RESULTS
(4.6.3)	Plants Toxicity to Other Non - Mammalian Terrestrial Species (Including Birds)			No data available
	TOXICOLOGY			
5.1.1	Acute Oral Toxicity	Rat	OECD TG 401	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2,000 mg/kg
5.1.2	Acute Inhalation Toxicity			No data available.
5.1.3	Acute Dermal Toxicity			No data available.
5.4	Repeated Dose Toxicity	Rat	OECD Combined 422 Test	NOAEL = 1,000 mg/kg/day
5.5	Genetic Toxicity In Vitro			
А.	Bacterial Test (Gene mutation)	S.typhimurium E.coli	OECD Guidelines No.471 and 472 and Guidelines for Screening Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals (Japan)	Negative (With metabolic activation) Negative (Without metabolic activation)
B.	Non-Bacterial <i>In Vitro</i> Test (Chromosomal aberrations)	CHL cells	OECD Guideline No.473 and Guidelines for Screening Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals (Japan)	Negative (With metabolic activation) Negative (Without metabolic activation)
5.6	Genetic Toxicity In Vivo			No data available.
5.8	Toxicity to Reproduction	Rat	OECD Combined 422 Test	NOAEL Parental = 1,000 mg/kg/day NOAEL F1 offspring = 1,000 mg/kg/day
5.9	Developmental Toxicity/ Teratogenicity	Rat	OECD Combined 422 Test	NOAEL Maternal toxicity = 1,000 mg/kg/day NOAEL Teratogenicity = 1,000 mg/kg/day
5.11	Experience with Human Exposure	Woman		Recurrent pulpable purpura (probably due to silver docosanoate, not docosanoic acid itself)

#### SIDS INITIAL ASSESSMENT REPORT (SIAR)

## 1. **IDENTITY**

- OECD Name: Docosanoic acid
- Synonym: Behenic acid;
- 1-Docosanoic acid
- CAS Number: 112-85-6
- Empirical Formula:  $C_{22}H_{44}O_2$
- Structural Formula:

## CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>20</sub>COOH

ca. 11 %

- Degree of Purity: 86 %
- Major Impurity:  $(C_{14}-C_{20})$  fatty acids
- $C_{24}$  fatty acid ca. 3 %
- Essential Additives: None
- Physical-chemical properties

	Protocol	Results
Melting point:	Unknown	79.95℃
Boiling point:	Unknown	306 °C at 60 mmHg
Density: Unknown		0.8221 at 100 °C (as relative density)
	OECD TG 104	$< 6.6 \times 10^{3}$ Pa at 100°C
Vapor pressure:	Calculated (MPBP v1.40)	6.5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa at 25°C
Water solubility:	OECD TG 105	0.016 mg/L at 25°C
pKa:	OECD TG 112	Not determined
	OECD TG 107	> 5.11 at 25 °C
Log Pow:	Calculated (KOWWIN v1.66)	9.91
Koc:	Calculated (PCKOCWIN v1.66)	135,000
Henry's law constant:	Calculated (bond estimation method by HENRYWIN v3.10)	$1.58 \times 10^4$ atm-m <sup>3</sup> /mole at 25 °C
field y Slaw Constant.	Calculated (group estimation method by HENRYWIN v3.10)	2.94 x $10^4$ atm-m <sup>3</sup> /mole at 25 °C

#### 2. GENERAL INFORMATION ON EXPOSURE

The production volume of docosanoic acid is estimated at 2,800 tonnes in the EU in 2000, at 6,440 tonnes (Production; 5,960 tonnes, import; 480 tonnes) in Japan (METI, Japan. Unpublished data), and at 454 tonnes in United States in 1977 (HSDB, 2001). Docosanoic acid is produced in a closed system at two companies in Japan and used as an intermediate for the production of its metal salts, docosylamine and higher alkyl esters in chemical industry. Also, this chemical is approved for the use as a cosmetic ingredient in Japan. However, no information is available whether such products containing docosanoic acid are available or not.

Docosanoic acid naturally occurs as triglyceride in most seed fats, animal milk fats, marine animal oils and so on. Thus, docosanoic acid exists everywhere.

#### 2.1 Environmental Fate

Docosanoic acid seems to be released mainly into the water compartment from its production and use sites after biological treatment. The use of products containing docosanoic acid as well as its occurrence in nature would be a source of release into the environment. The chemical has been found in air as particulate matter.

The potential environmental distribution of docosanoic acid obtained from a generic Fugacity model, Mackay level III, under different emission scenarios is shown in Table 1. The results show that when docosanoic acid is released into water, the majority of the chemical is likely to be distributed into water and sediment. Also, if released into soil, 100% of the chemical remains in soil. If released into air, the majority of the chemical is distributed into soil and air.

Compartment	Release 100 % to air	Release 100 % to water	Release 100 % to soil
Air	19.7 %	0.4 %	0.0%
Water	6.8 %	61.7 %	0.0 %
Soil	69.6%	1.6%	100.0 %
Sediment	4.0 %	36.3 %	0.0%

Table 1. Environmental distribution of docosanoic acid using a generic Fugacity model, Mackay level III.

Docosanoic acid is stable in water (METI, 1998) and a half-life time of 13.7 hours is calculated for the degradation of docosanoic acid in air by the reaction with photochemically produced OH radical (CERI, Japan, 2001). No information on the reaction products is available.

Docosanoic acid is biodegraded up to 48-56 % (BOD) after 28-day according to OECD TG 301C, and up to 79-96% (BOD) after 28-day according to OECD TG 302C. These results suggest that this chemical is inherently biodegradable under aerobic conditions (METI, Japan, 1997, 1998). The slow rate of biodegradation of this chemical may be due to the low water solubility of the chemical. No data were available on the anaerobic degradation of docosanoic acid.

The anaerobic biodegradation properties of the related C16 fatty acid (palmitic acid) has been reported. 10 mg/L C(14)-labeled palmitic acid in the presence of raw sludge after

28 days yielded 66.1 percent C(14)-labeled carbon dioxide and 25.6 percent C(14)-labeled methane (Sterber J, 1987). This report suggests that docosanoic acid could be biodegraded under anaerobic conditions.

On the basis of its low vapor pressure (< $6.6 \times 10^{-3}$  Pa at 25 °C (measured),  $6.5 \times 10^{-5}$  Pa at 25°C (calculated)), volatilization of docosanoic acid is not expected. If released into air, docosanoic acid is expected to exist solely as particulate matter and the chemical in vapor phase is expected to degrade by reaction with photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals. The extrapolated Koc value of 135,000 indicates that docosanoic acid is immobile in soil, and is absorbed to sediment or suspended solid in water. Docosanoic distributed into water phase or soil is expected to undergo biodegradation under aerobic condition. No data are available on anaerobic degradation. However, since the related C16 fatty acid (palmitic acid) is anaerobically biodegradable, the chemical distributed into sediment may undergo biodegradation but at a slow rate. Although the high value of Log Pow indicates accumulative properties, docosanoic acid is biodegradable and photodegradable in the environment.

#### 2.2 Human exposure

#### 2.2.1 Occupational exposure

Workers might be exposed by dust through handling of docosanoic acid, especially during the packing process when this chemical is treated as powder.

The dust level of occupational environment, estimated with the EASE model, is 2-5  $mg/m^3$  when this non-fibrous dust is produced by a dry method and controlled under local exhaust ventilation. EHE<sub>inh</sub> is 0.71 mg/kg, which is calculated under the conditions of 8 hours operation without protection (human body weight; 70 kg, respiratory volume; 1.25 m<sup>3</sup>/h). Workers are recommended to wear protective equipment (such as mask) during the work to avoid the exposure by dust.

The maximum vapor concentration in air of this chemical is calculated as  $6.4 \times 10^4$  ppm, using the value of  $6.5 \times 10^{-5}$  Pa at 25 °C (calculated), and the level of the exposure via vapor inhalation is considerably low. As this chemical is a solid with low water solubility (0.016 mg/L), it is expected that the absorption rate of the chemical is very low. Workers practically wear personal protective equipments such as safety gloves and glasses during working period (at most 8 hours), and the chemical is produced in a closed system at production site. Thus, exposure to this chemical by the dermal route is considered to be negligible.

Thus, the occupational exposure to docosanoic acid via inhalation or dermal route is not expected. In Japan, there are no reports regarding the effects on the health of workers at the factory producing the chemical.

#### 2.2.2 Consumer exposure

In Japan, docosanoic acid is approved to be used as a cosmetic ingredient without limitation of content in the Japanese Standards of Cosmetic Ingredients (MHLW, 1994). Thus consumer might be directly exposed to docosanoic acid via the cosmetics containing this chemical. However, no information is available whether such products containing docosanoic acid are available.

## 2.2.3 Indirect exposure via the environment

Docosanoic acid occurs in nature in natural products such as seeds, animal milk, marine animal oils etc. The surface water may contain the chemical released via the use of products containing the chemical or from production and user sites. Thus, indirect exposure may be caused by the intake of food or surface water.

#### **3.** EFFECTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT

#### **3.1** Effects on aquatic organisms

Docosanoic acid has been tested using three aquatic species (*Selenastrum capricornutum*, *Daphnia magna* and *Oryzias latipes*) at concentrations considerably higher than its water solubility, as limit tests conducted with control and vehicle control (HCO-40 and/or DMF) under OECD test guidelines [OECD TG 201, 202, 203, 204 and 211].

Acute and chronic toxicity results to test organisms for docosanoic acid are summarized in Table 2.

 Table 2. Summary of toxicity test results of docosanoic acid to aquatic organisms from different trophic levels.

Species	Endpoint	Conc. (mg/L)	Reference
Selenastrum	Grt: EC <sub>50</sub> (72h)	>5.00 mg/L (nc)	
<i>capricornutum</i> (alga)	Grt: NOEC(72h)	>5.00 mg/L (nc)	
Danhainmann	Imm: EC <sub>50</sub> (48h)	>5.00 mg/L (nc)	MOE,
Daphnia magna	Rep: EC <sub>50</sub> (21d)	>0.84 mg/L (ac)	
(water flea)	Rep: NOEC(21d)	>0.84 mg/L (ac)	
	Mor: LC <sub>50</sub> (96h)	>5.00 mg/L (nc)	Japan. (1998)
Oryzias latipes	Mor: LC <sub>0</sub> (96h)	>5.00 mg/L (nc)	(1990)
(fish, Medaka)	Mor: LC <sub>50</sub> (14d)	>4.99 mg/L (nc)	
(IISII, IVIEdaka)	Grt, Beh: NOEC	>4.99 mg/L (nc)	
	(14d)		

Notes: Mor; mortality, Imm; immobilization, Rep; reproduction, Grt; growth rate, Beh; behavior,

nc; nominal concentration,

ac; analytical concentration (mean time-weighted)

In a chronic toxicity test with *Daphnia magna*, conducted at 0.30, 0.55 and 1.00 mg/L under semi-static conditions (water renewal: 3 times a week), the measured concentrations in groups tested at 21 day were different over 20% from their corresponding nominal concentration. Thus, the concentrations were expressed using time-weighted averages: 0.24, 0.49 and 0.84 mg/L, respectively. The parental mortalities observed in controls and treated groups were within the range of 20% and no effects by dispersant were observed. EC<sub>50</sub> and NOEC values in this chronic toxicity test to *Daphnia magna* on reproduction were greater than 0.84 mg/L. In other tests on *Selenastrum capricornutum* or *Oryzias latipes*, the toxicity values in any tests are greater than 4.99 mg/L, at least. Thus, no significant effects were recognized in any tests conducted at extremely high concentration by using a dispersant agent.

There are further results available in the literature on acute toxicity (48h) to gammarus (*Hyale plumulosa*) and medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) after acclimatization in seawater using a saturated solution of fatty acids ( $C_{12}$ - $C_{14}$ ) or mixture of fatty acids (more than  $C_{16}$ ) (Onitsuka, et al.,1989). It was not stated whether docosanoic acid was included in the mixture of fatty acid or not. Although, in this report, no mortality was observed on both *Hyale plumulosa* and *Oryzias latipes* in the saturated solution of any fatty acids tested. It could be expected that docosanoic acid is not toxic to both *Hyale plumulosa* and

*Oryzias latipes* at the saturated concentration since the water solubility is decreased depending on the increase of the chain length.

As a conclusion, it is reasonable to assume that docosanoic acid is not toxic to aquatic organisms at concentrations less than its water solubility (0.016 mg/L). A PNEC is not calculated since NOEC values obtained are above the water solubility of the substance.

#### **3.2** Terrestrial effects

No data available

#### 3.3 Other effects

No data available

#### **3.4** Initial Assessment for the Environment

No data are available on terrestrial effects.

Toxicity studies of docosanoic acid have been conducted using dispersant in a limited number of representative aquatic organisms: algae (*Selenastrum capricornutum*), aquatic invertebrates (*Daphnia magna*), and fish (*Oryzias latipes*). The lowest value among acute and chronic toxicity data of three species is greater than 0.84 mg/L (NOEC (21d) on reproduction to *Daphnia magna*). In all tests, no significant effect was observed at considerably higher concentration than its water solubility (0.016 mg/L).

Docosanoic acid is considered of low potential risk to the environment based on the low toxicity profile to aquatic organisms, and its properties of low water solubility and inherent biodegradability in the environment.

#### 4. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARD

#### 4.1 Effects on Human Health

#### a) Toxicokinetics and metabolism and mechanism of action.

No data are available on toxicokinetics and metabolism and mechanism of action.

#### b) Acute toxicity

Only one acute toxicity result is available for rats using a limit test according to OECD Test Guideline 401. The data is shown in Table 3. Rats were administered orally (gavage) at 0 or 2,000 mg/kg of docosanoic acid. No deaths occurred for either males or females in the treated groups. No treatment-related effects were found on clinical signs, body weight changes or autopsy findings (MHW, Japan, 1998).

Table 3. Acute toxicity data of docosanoic acid

Routes	Species	Strain	Туре	Values
Oral	Rats	Crj:CD(SD)	LD50	> 2,000 mg/kg

#### c) rritation and sensitization

No data are available on irritation and sensitisation of docosanoic acid. Related fatty acids, palmitic acid ( $C_{16}$ ) and stearic acid ( $C_{18}$ ), were neither irritating nor sensitizing (Mary, A.L., 1987).

#### d) Repeated dose toxicity

There is only one key study on repeated dose toxicity with docosanoic acid. This chemical was studied for oral toxicity in rats according to the OECD combined repeated dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity test [OECD TG 422]. As the study was well controlled and conducted under GLP, it was considered to be appropriate to select this as a key study.

Male and female SD rats were orally administered (gavage) at doses of 0, 100, 300 and 1,000 mg/kg/day. In male rats, the administration period was two weeks prior to mating, 2 weeks of mating and 2 weeks after the completion of the mating period. In females, in addition to maximum four weeks pre-mating and mating period, they were exposed through pregnancy until day 3 of post delivery.

No deaths or abnormalities in clinical signs were observed in any male and female animals. Also, there were no adverse effects related to the substance in body weights and food consumption. No treatment-related effects were found for hematological, biochemical, gross findings, organ weights and histopathological examinations (MHW, Japan, 1998). The NOAEL for repeated dose toxicity in rats is considered to be 1,000 mg/kg/day in both sexes.

#### e) Reproductive/developmental toxicity

Docosanoic acid was studied for oral toxicity in rats according to the OECD combined repeated dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity test [OECD TG 422] at doses of 0, 100, 300 and 1,000 mg/kg/day, as described above (section d). Although this combined study was designed to investigate reproductive capability in the parental generation as well as development in  $F_1$  offspring, parameters to evaluate developmental toxicity were limited to only body weights at day 0 and day 4 after birth, and autopsy findings at day 4.

This chemical showed no adverse effects on copulation or fertility indexes. No changes related to the dosing of substance were observed in gestation length and any parameters during gestation, delivery and lactation periods. The chemical also did not show any adverse effects on the sex ratio, body weight or viability of pups. And also, no morphological abnormalities in external and visceral observation in pups were observed in any of the treated groups (MHW, 1998). The NOAEL values for both parental and  $F_1$  offspring in reproductive toxicity are considered to be 1,000 mg/kg/day. As for developmental toxicity, the NOAEL for  $F_1$  offspring is estimated to be 1,000 mg/kg/day.

#### f) Genetic toxicity

#### <u>Bacterial test</u>

A reverse gene mutation assay was conducted in line with Guidelines for Screening Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals (Japan) and OECD Test Guidelines 471 and 472, using a pre-incubation method. This study was well controlled and considered to be appropriate as a key study.

Docosanoic acid showed negative results in *Salmonella typhimurium* TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537 and *Escherichia coli* WP2 *uvr*A at concentrations up to 5 mg/plate with or without metabolic activation system (MHW, 1998).

#### Non-bacteria l test in vitro

A chromosomal aberration test in line with Guidelines for Screening Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals (Japan) and OECD Test Guideline 473 was conducted using cultured Chinese hamster lung (CHL/IU) cells. This study was well controlled and considered to be appropriate as a key study. The highest concentration of the chemical used was set to the maximum one showing no apparent cytotoxic effect during continuous treatment. For short term treatment, it was set to 3.5 mg/ml because the concentration was equivalent to ca. 10 mM as required in the test guidelines.

As a result, neither structural chromosomal aberrations nor polyproidy were observed up to a maximum concentration of 3.5 mg/ml under conditions of both continuous treatment and short-term treatment with or without an exogenous metabolic activation system (MHW, 1998).

#### <u>in vivo test</u>

No data are available on *in vivo* genotoxic effects.

#### g) Carcinogenicity

No data are available on carcinogenicity.

#### h) Other human health related information

With regard to human health related information, there are one oral repeated dose toxicity study including this chemical in rat and one human case report.

#### Other information

A 13 week oral repeated dose toxicity study was performed with rats, but the test substance used in this study was a mixture of three fatty acids containing docosanoic acid (named as caprenin: mixtures with caprylic, capric and 45% of docosanoic acid). Caprenin was added to the feed in a ratio ranging from 5.23 to 15%. The dose of docosanoic acid was calculated by multiplying the caprenin consumption with 0.45. As a result of this 13-week caprenin feeding study, no abnormalities were observed at doses up to 5,940 and 6,570 mg/kg/day (as docosanoic acid) for males and females, respectively (Webb D.R., et al., 1993).

#### <u>Human case</u>

There is only one ambiguous report that volatised docosanoic acid released from silver docosanoate from heat-activated photocopy paper induced a recurrent palpable purpura on her legs in a woman. (Tencati, JR., 1983). In response to this report, there is critical opinion noting the lack of convincing evidences such as the clinical description and the characterization of exposure (Guidotti, T. L., 1983). Considering that there is no other similar case report, it is thought to be quite suspicious that the cause of this unusual case was related to this chemical.

#### 4.2 Initial Assessment for Human Health

The oral LD<sub>50</sub> value of docosanoic acid for rats is more than 2,000 mg/kg. There are no available data for irritation and sensitization. The related fatty acids, palmitic acid (C<sub>16</sub>) and stearic acid (C<sub>18</sub>), were neither irritating nor sensitizing. In the combined repeated dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity study, no toxic effects were observed. Therefore, the NOAEL was considered to be 1,000 mg/kg/day for both repeated dose and reproductive/development toxicity. The chemical was negative in an *in vitro* bacterial mutation test and a chromosomal aberration test. Thus, toxicity in mammals seems to be very weak.

There is one ambiguous human case report that indicates a possibility that docosanoic acid vapor derived from heat activated photocopy paper might cause recurrent palpable purpura. Except for this suspicious case report, no other adverse effects on human health have been reported so far.

Based on these data, docosanoic acid is considered of low potential risk for human health.

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 5.1 Conclusions

#### **Exposure**

The production volume of the chemical is estimated at 2,800 tonnes in the EU in 2000, at 6,440 tonnes (Production; 5,960 tonnes, import; 480 tonnes) in Japan, and at 454 tonnes in United States in 1977. Docosanoic acid is produced in a closed system and used as an intermediate in chemical industry and polymer industry. Also, this chemical is approved for the use as a cosmetic ingredient in Japan. However, no information is available whether such products containing docosanoic acid are available or not.

Docosanoic acid seems to be released mainly into water via wastewater from production and use sites after biological treatment. The use of products containing docosanoic acid as well as its occurrence in nature would be a source of release into the environment.

Calculations according to a fugacity model, level III, shows that the majority of docosanoic acid is likely to be distributed into water and sediment when it is released into water. The estimated Koc value of 135,000 suggests that docosanoic acid is immobile in soil. In the water compartment and/or soil, docosanoic acid is expected to biodegrade under aerobic condition based on its inherently biodegradable property. The anaerobic degradation is expected based on the anaerobic degradation property of a related fatty acid. The chemical released in air is expected to exist as particulate and to be easily degraded in air by the reaction with photochemically produced OH radicals (half-life time is calculated as 13.7 hours). Although the high value of Log Pow biodegradable indicates accumulative properties, docosanoic acid is and photodegradable in the environment.

Occupational exposures through vapor inhalation or dermal route are assumed to be negligible because this chemical has a low vapor pressure and a low water solubility. Workers might be exposed by dust during packing process when this chemical is treated as powder.  $EHE_{inh}$  (8h) is 0.71 mg/kg, which is calculated under 8h operation without protection (human body weight; 70 kg, respiratory volume; 1.25 m<sup>3</sup>/h). Workers are recommended to wear protective equipment (mask) during the work in order to avoid the exposure by dust.

Consumer might be directly exposed to this chemical from cosmetic use. In Japan, the use of docosanoic acid is approved for the use as a cosmetic ingredient without limitation of content in cosmetic products. However, no information is available whether such products containing docosanoic acid are available. Docosanoic acid exists naturally as triglyceride in most seed fats, animal milk fats, marine animal oils and so on. Therefore indirect exposure via environment may occur by the intake of food or surface water.

#### Hazards to the Environment

Acute toxicity results with algae (*Selenastrum capricornutum*), aquatic invertebrates (*Daphnia magna*) or fish (*Oryzias latipes*) are greater than 4.99 mg/L. The chronic toxicity result with *Daphnia magna* is greater than 0.84 mg/L (NOEC, 21-day reproduction test). In all tests using dispersant, no significant effects were observed at concentrations extremely higher than its water solubility. A PNEC is not calculated since NOEC values obtained are above the water solubility of the substance.

No data are available on the terrestrial effects.

Docosanoic acid is considered of low potential risk to the environment based on the low toxicity profile to aquatic organisms, its properties of low water solubility and inherent biodegradability in the environment.

### Human Health Hazards

The oral  $LD_{50}$  value of docosanoic acid for rats is more than 2,000 mg/kg. Although there are no available data for irritation and sensitization of this chemical, related fatty acids, such as palmitic acid (C<sub>16</sub>) and stearic acid (C<sub>18</sub>), were neither irritating nor sensitizing. In an oral study according to the OECD combined repeat dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity test [OECD TG 422], at doses of 0, 100, 300, 1,000 mg/kg/day for at least 42 days, no toxic effects were observed. Therefore, the NOAEL is considered to be 1,000 mg/kg/day for both repeated dose toxicity and reproductive/developmental toxicity. The chemical showed negative results in a bacterial mutation test [OECD TG 471, 472] and a chromosomal aberration test *in vitro* [OECD TG 473].

Based on these data, docosanoic acid is considered of low potential risk for human health.

#### 5.2 Recommendations

The chemical is currently of low priority for further work.

#### 6. **REFERENCES**

CERI, Japan (2001) Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan (CERI, Japan), unpublished data.

Guidotti, T.L. (1983) Angiitis and Photocopier Fumes, Ann. Intern. Med., 99, 570.

- HSDB (2001) Hazardous Substance Data Bank, U.S. National Library of Medicine.
- Mary, A. L., Inc., Publishers (1987) Journal of the American College of Toxicology., 6 (Nov. 3), 321-401.
- METI, Japan (1997) Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (former MITI), conducted by Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan (CERI, Japan) unpublished data
- METI, Japan (1998) Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (former MITI), conducted by Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan (CERI, Japan) unpublished data.
- METI, Japan (1999) Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (former MITI), unpublished data.
- MHLW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (former MHW), Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals, 6, 236-246.
- MHLW, Japan (1994) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (former MHW), Keshohin syubetsu kyoka kijun.
- MOE, Japan (1998) Ministry of the Environment, conducted by Mitsubishi Chemical Safety Institute Ltd., unpublished data.
- Onitsuka, S., Kasai, Y., and Yoshimura, K. (1989) Chemosphere, 18, 1621-1631.
- Sterger J. and Weirich P., Water Research, 21, 661-667 (1987)
- Tencati, J.R., Novey, H. S. (1983) Hypersensitivity angiitis caused by fumes from heatactivated photocopy. Annals of internal medicine, 98, 320-322.
- Webb D.R. et al. (1993) A 91-day feeding study in rats with caprenin., Fd Chem.Toxic., 31, 935-946.

# SIDS DOSSIER

## Docosanoic acid CAS No. 112-85-6

Sponsor Country: Japan Data of Submission to OECD: 2002.02.08

## SIDS PROFILE

1.01 A.	CAS No.	112-85-6	
1.01 A.			
1.01 C.	CHEMICAL NAME (OECD Name)	Docosanoic acid (Docosanoic acid)	
1.01 D.	CAS DESCRIPTOR		
1.01 G.	STRUCTURAL FORMULA		
		CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>20</sub> COOH	
OTHER CHEN	MICAL IDENTITY INFORMA	TION	
1.5		2,800 tonnes in EU in 2000	
	QUANTITY	6,440 tonnes (Production; 5,960 tonnes, import; 480 tonnes ) in Japan.	
1.7	USE PATTERN	Approved to use as cosmetic ingredient in some region. Intermediates for lithium docosanoate, silver docosanoate, other metal salt, docosylamine, and higher alkyl esters; cosmetics ingredient; waxes; plasticizers; stabilizers.	
1.9	SOURCES AND LEVELS OF EXPOSURE	Docosanoic acid naturally occurs in nature product as triglyceride. Docosanoic acid is produced in a closed system. The waste containing docosanoic acid seems to be released from the production and use sites into water after biological treatment. No information is available on whether cosmetic products are available which contain docosanoic acid. However, this use would be the source of release into the environment.	
ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION (IDENTIFY, IF ANY)			
SIDS testing required: None			

## 1. **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### 1.01 SUBSTANCE INFORMATION

- **A. CAS Number** 112-85-6
- **B.** Name (*OECD name*) Docosanoic acid
- C. Name (IUPAC name) 1-Docosanoic acid
- **D. CAS Descriptor**
- **E. EINECS-Number** 204-010-8
- F. Molecular Formula C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>2</sub>
- G. Structural Formula



## CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>20</sub>COOH

- H. Substance Group Not applicable
- I. Substance Remark None
- J. Molecular Weight 340.58

#### 1.02 OECD INFORMATION

A. Sponsor Country: Japan

#### **B.** Lead Organisation: Name of Lead Organisation

Name of Lead Organisation:	NOF CORPORATION
Contact person:	Mr. Koji Tomita
_	Ministry of Foreign Affairs
	Economic Affairs Bureau
	Second International Organisations Division
Address:	2-2-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku
Town:	Tokyo
Postal code:	100
Country:	Japan
Tel:	+81-3-3581-0018
Fax:	+81-3-3581-9470

C. Name of responder Same as above contact person

1.1	GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION				
A.	Type of Substance				
		element [ ]; inorganic [ ]; natural substance [ <b>X</b> ]; organic [ ]; organometallic [ ]; petroleum product [ ]			
B.	Physical State				
		gaseous [ ]; liquid [ ]; solid [ X ]			
C.	Purity				
		86 %			
1.2	SYNONYMS				
		1-Docosanoic acid; Behenic acid n- Docosanoic acid Docosoic acid			
1.3	IMPURITIES				
		$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			
1.4	ADDITIVES				
		No additives			
1.5	QUANTITY				
	(a) Remarks: Reference:	2,800 tonnes in EU in 2000 NOF Corporation (2001) unpublished data			
	(b) Remarks:	6,440 tonnes in Japan (Production; 5,960 tonnes, import; 480 tonnes)			
	Reference: (c) Remarks: Reference:	METI (former MITI), Japan. Unpublished data At least 454 tonnes in United States in 1977 HSDB (2001)			

## 1.6 USE PATTERN

#### A. General

Type of Use:	Category:
(a) main industrial	Use in a closed system Chemical industry: used in intermediates for lithium docosanoate, silver docosanoate, other metal salt,
	docosylamine and higher alkyl esters.
(b)	
main	Use resulting in inclusion into or on matrix
industrial	Polymer industry
use	Waxes, plasticizers and stabilizers
(c)	
main	Wide dispersive use
industrial	Personal and domestic use
use	Cosmetics
Reference:	HSDB (2001)

## **B.** Uses in Consumer Products

(a)	
Remarks:	In Japan, docosanoic acid is approved as a cosmetic ingredient, and it is also specified in the Japanese Standards of Cosmetic Ingredients, second edition. It can be used in all
	kinds of cosmetics without limitation of content.
Reference:	MHLW (former MHW), Japan (1994)
(b)	
Remarks:	In the Swedish Products Register (2001), docosanoic acid is registered as a raw material for cosmetics in 3 Products/Consumer Products.
Reference: Sweden	Swedish Products Register (2001) provided on EDG from

## 1.7 SOURCES OF EXPOSURE

(a) Source:	Media of release: Water from a production site
Remarks:	Quantities per media: At one factory in Japan, docosanoic acid is produced in a
itemarks.	closed continuous line, but some of it may be lost, for example, during the packing process and the wastewater containing docosanoic acid may be discharged into water after biological treatment.

(b)	
Source:	Media of release: Water from a use site Quantities per media:
Remarks:	Docosanoic acid is used as intermediates in chemical industry and plasticizer etc. in polymer industry. Docosanoic acid may be released into water from these users' factories.
(c)	
Source:	Media of release: From consumer products Quantities per media:
Remarks:	The exposure from the migration of docosanoic acid used in polymer as plasticizer etc. is negligible. No information is available on whether cosmetic products are available which contain docosanoic acid. However, this use would be the source of release into the environment.
(d)	
Source:	Media of release: From natural occurrence Quantities per media:
Remarks:	Docosanoic acid is the minor constituent of most seed fats, animal milk fats and marine animal oils, as a triglyceride. Larger amounts of docosanoic acid are found in jamba oil, mustard seed oil and rape oil, as well.
References:	The Merck Index (2001)

#### **1.8 ADDITIONAL REMARKS**

## A. Labelling and Classification

Labelling	Not assigned according to the EC Directive 67/548/EEC
Classification	Not classified

## **B.** Occupational Exposure Limit Value

Exposure limit value: Not established.

Short term exposure limit value: Not established.

## C. Options for disposal

(a)	
Remarks:	Dissolved or mixed the material with a combustible solvent and burned in a chemical incinerator equipped with an afterburner and scrubber.
Reference:	Sigma-Aldrich (1998)
(b)	
Remarks:	For the incineration of the materials, related laws and regulations must be kept. In case of disposal, the waste materials must be properly treated according to the law (Waste Disposal and Public Cleansing Law) and its related

	regulations by contracting with waste-shipping or disposal
	services trader who received the approval of the business
	from municipal governors in Japan.
Reference:	NOF Corporation (2001) Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS)
	of Docosanoic acid.

## **D.** Other remarks

None

## 2. <u>PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL DATA</u>

## 2.1 MELTING POINT

Value:	79.95 °C
Decomposition:	Yes [] No [X] Ambiguous []
Sublimation:	Yes [] No [X] Ambiguous []
Method:	Unknown
GLP:	Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]
Remarks:	
Reference:	The Merck Index (2001)

## 2.2 BOILING POINT

Value:	306 °C
Pressure:	at 60 mmHg
Decomposition:	Yes [] No [X] Ambiguous []
Method:	Unknown
GLP:	Yes [ ] No [ ] ? [X]
Remarks:	
Reference:	The Merck Index (2001)
	( )

## 2.3 **DENSITY** (relative density)

(a)	
Type:	Bulk density []; Density []; Relative Density [X]
Value:	0.8221
Temperature:	100 °C
Method:	Unknown
GLP:	Yes [] No [] ? [X]
Remarks:	Density is relative value when that of the water at 4°C is 1.0.
Reference:	The Merck Index (2001)
(b)	
Type:	Bulk density []; Density []; Relative Density [X]
Value:	0.82
Temperature:	100 °C
Method:	Unknown
GLP:	Yes [] No [] ? [X]
Remarks:	Density is relative value when that of the water at 4°C is 1.0.
Reference:	NOF Corporation (2001) Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS)
	of Docosanoic acid.

#### 2.4 VAPOUR PRESSURE

(a)	
Value:	< 6.6 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> Pa
Temperature:	100 °C

Method:	calculated [ ]; measured [ X ] OECD Test Guideline 104
GLP:	Yes [] No [X] ? []
Remarks:	This test was conducted under the national program.
Reference:	METI (former MITI), Japan (1998)
(b)	
Value:	$6.5 \ge 10^5 \text{ Pa}$
Temperature:	25 °C

Temperature: 25 °C	
Method: calculated [X]; measured []	
GLP: Yes [ ] No [ X ] ? [ ]	
Remarks: Calculated by MPBP v1.40 (Syracuse Research Corporation)	
Reference: Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japa	an
(2001), unpublished data.	

## 2.5 PARTITION COEFFICIENT log<sub>10</sub>Pow

Log Pow: Temperature: Method:	> 5.11 25 °C calculated []; measured [ <b>X</b> ] OECD Test Guideline 107
GLP:	Yes [X] No []?[]
Remarks:	
Reference:	METI (former MITI), Japan (1998), unpublished data, conducted by Chemicals Evaluation & Research Institute (CERI), Japan.
Log Pow: Temperature:	9.91
Method:	calculated [X]; measured [X]
GLP:	Yes [] No [X] ? []
Remarks:	Calculated by KOWWIN v 1.66
Reference:	Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan (2001), unpublished data.

## 2.6 WATER SOLUBILITY

## A. Solubility

Value: Temperature: Description:	0.016 mg/L 25 °C Miscible []; Of very high solubility []; Of high solubility []; Soluble []; Slightly soluble []; Of low solubility []; Of very low solubility [ <b>X</b> ]; Not soluble []
Method:	OECD Test Guideline 105
GLP:	Yes [] No [X] ? []
Remarks:	This test was conducted under the national program.

Reference: METI (former MITI), Japan (1998), unpublished data, conducted by Chemicals Evaluation & Research Institute (CERI), Japan.

## B. pH Value, pKa Value

pH Value:	No data available
Temperature:	25 °C
pKa value	Not determined
Method:	OECD Test Guideline 112
GLP:	Yes [] No [X] ? []
Remarks:	pKa could not be determined by conductometric method
	because of low water solubility (OECD TG 112). This test
	was conducted under the national program.
Reference:	METI (former MITI), Japan (1998), unpublished data,
	conducted by Chemicals Evaluation & Research Institute
	(CERI), Japan.

#### 2.7 FLASH POINT

No data available

## 2.8 AUTO FLAMMABILITY

No data available

#### 2.9 FLAMMABILITY

No data available

#### 2.10 EXPLOSIVE PROPERTIES

No data available

#### 2.11 OXIDISING PROPERTIES

No data available

## 2.12 OXIDATION: REDUCTION POTENTIAL

No data available

## 2.13 ADDITIONAL DATA

## A. Partition co-efficient between soil/sediment and water (Kd)

No data available

## B. Other data

Type: Result: Method: Remarks: Reference:	Koc value 1.35 x 10 <sup>5</sup> calculated [ <b>X</b> ]; measured [] Calculated by PCKOCWIN v1.66 Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan (2001), unpublished data.
Type:	Henry's law constant
Temperature:	25°C
Results:	$1.58 \times 10^4$ atm-m <sup>3</sup> /mole by bond estimation method
	$2.94 \times 10^4$ atm-m <sup>3</sup> /mole by group estimation method
Method:	calculated [X]; measured []
Remarks:	Calculated by HENRYWIN v3.10
Reference:	Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan
	(2001), unpublished data.

#### 3. <u>ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND PATHWAYS</u>

## 3.1 STABILITY

#### **3.1.1 PHOTODEGRADATION**

Туре:	Air [X]; Water []; Soil []; Other []
Temperature:	25 °C
Indirect Photolysis:	
Type of sensitizer:	OH radical
Concentration of sensitizer:	$5 \times 10^5$ molecule/cm <sup>3</sup>
Rate constant (radical):	$2.81 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^3$ /molecule-sec
Method:	calculated [X]; measured []
GLP:	Yes [] No [X] ? []
Remarks:	The rate constant for gas-phase reaction between OH radical and the test substance was calculated by AOP Win v1.86 and
	the half-life time of 13.7 hours was calculated with the daily average concentration of OH radical of $5 \times 10^5$ molecule/cm <sup>3</sup>
	in atmosphere.
Reference:	Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan
	(2001) unpublished data.

## 3.1.2 STABILITY IN WATER

Stability: Temperature:	Stable (Hydrolysis was not observed in water) $50 ^{\circ}\text{C}$
Concentration:	2 mg/L
pH Value:	pH 4, 7 and 9
Method:	modified OECD Test Guideline 111 (Hydrolysis as a function
	of pH)
GLP:	Yes [] No [X] ? []
Remarks:	Each buffer solution contained 1 % Tetrahydrofuran. Stability of the substance in water was tested under the preliminary condition similar to OECD Test Guideline 111.
Reference:	METI (former MITI), Japan (1998) Unpublished data, conducted by Chemicals Evaluation & Research Institute (CERI), Japan.

#### 3.1.3 STABILITY IN SOIL

No data available

## 3.2 MONITORING DATA (ENVIRONMENTAL)

(a)	
Type of Measurement:	Background [ ]; At contaminated site [ ]; Other [X]
Media:	Air
Results:	At residential area in Wilrijk (Belgium):
	10.3 ng.m <sup>3</sup> in 59.6 ug/m <sup>3</sup> of TSP on October in 1976
	$15.8 \text{ ng/m}^3$ in 116.8 ug/m <sup>3</sup> of TSP on December in 1976.

Remarks: Reference:	At rural area in Botrange (Belgium): 3.2 ng/m <sup>3</sup> in 34.5 ug/m <sup>3</sup> of TSP on June in 1977 3.8 ng/m <sup>3</sup> in 44.2 ug/m <sup>3</sup> of TSP on July in 1977 The sample was collected as particulate matter. Van Vaeck L. et al. (1979)
Kelelence.	Vali Vacek L. et al. (1979)
(b)	
Type of Measurement:	Background [ ]; At contaminated site [ ]; Other [ X ]
Media:	Air
Results:	At residential city area:
	3.2-8.4 ng/m <sup>3</sup> in 40.6-59.9 ug/m <sup>3</sup> of TSP
	In Bolivia at 5,200m altitude:
	0.29-0.69 ng/m <sup>3</sup> in 13.3-20.2 ug/m <sup>3</sup> of TSP
Remarks:	
Reference:	Cautreels W. et al. (1977)
(c)	
Type of Measurement:	Background []; At contaminated site [X]; Other []
Media:	Air
Results:	On freeway-interchange on October-November, 1963
	(sampled in Detroit, Mich.): 61.6 ng/m3 air
	At high traffic city location on February 1964 (sampled in
	New York): 119.2 ng/m3 air
Remarks:	Determined in the particulate matter of air pollutant samples.
Reference:	Hoffman, D. and Wynder, E.L. (1968)
itererenee.	101111au, 2. and () judol, 2.2. (1900)

#### 3.3 TRANSPORT AND DISTRIBUTION BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPARTMENTS INCLUDING ESTIMATED ENVIRONMENTAL CONCENTRATIONS AND DISTRIBUTION PATHWAYS

#### 3.3.1 TRANSPORT

No data available

## 3.3.2 THEORETICAL DISTRIBUTION (FUGACITY CALCULATION)

Media:	Air-biota []; Air-biota-sediment-soil-water [X];
	Soil-biota [ ];
	Water-air []; Water-biota []; Water-soil []; Other []
Method:	Fugacity level I [ ]; Fugacity level II [ ]; Fugacity level III [
	X ]; Fugacity level IV [ ]; Other (calculation) [ ]; Other
	(measurement) [ ]

Results:

Compartme	Release : 100 % to air	Release : 100 % to	Release : 100 % to soil
nt	100 % to all	water	100 % to soli
Air	19.7 %	0.4 %	0.0 %
Water	6.8 %	61.7 %	0.0 %
Soil	69.6 %	1.6 %	100.0 %
Sediment	4.0 %	36.3 %	0.0 %

Remarks:	The detailed results and the input parameters used in the
	calculation are shown in Appendix 1, in which the calculated
	value by MPBP v1.40 (Syracuse Research Corporation) was
	used for vapour pressure instead of the measured lower limit
	value.
Reference:	Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan (2001), unpublished data.

## 3.4 IDENTIFICATION OF MAIN MODE OF DEGRADABILITY IN ACTUAL USE

No data available

## 3.5 **BIODEGRADATION**

(a)	
Type:	aerobic [X]; anaerobic []
Inoculum:	adapted [ ]; non-adapted [ X ];
Concentration of the chemical	
	100 mg/L related to COD [ ]; DOC [ ]; test substance [ X ]
Medium:	water [X]; water-sediment []; soil [];
	sewage treatment []
Degradation:	48-56 % (by BOD) after 28 days
C	67-80 % (by GC) after 28 days
Results:	readily biodeg. [ ]; inherently biodeg. [ ]; under test
	condition no biodegradation observed [ ], other [X]
Method:	OECD Test Guideline 301C
GLP:	Yes <b>[X]</b> No <b>[</b> ] ? <b>[</b> ]
Test substance:	Docosanoic acid, purity: 96.5 %
Remarks:	The results suggest that the chemical is not readily
	biodegradable.
	Concentrations of activated sludge and the chemical
	substance were 30 mg/L and 100 mg/L, respectively, as
	shown in the Test Guideline.
	The biodegradation was in progress at the termination of the
	test.
Reference:	METI (former MITI), Japan (1997), unpublished data,
	conducted by Chemicals Evaluation & Research Institute
	(CERI), Japan.
(b)	
Type:	aerobic [X]; anaerobic []
Inoculum:	adapted [ ]; non-adapted [ X ];
	30 mg/L related to COD [ ]; DOC [ ]; test substance [ X ]
Medium:	water [X]; water-sediment []; soil []; sewage treatment []
Degradation:	79-96 % (by BOD) after 28 days
	94-95% (by GC) after 28 days
Results:	readily biodeg. [ ]; inherently biodeg. [ X ]; under test
	condition no biodegradation observed [ ], other [ ]
Method:	OECD Test Guideline 302C
GLP:	Yes [] No [X] ? []
Test substance:	Docosanoic acid, purity: 96.5 %

Remarks: The results indicate that the chemical is inherently biodegradable. Reference: METI (former MITI), Japan (1997), unpublished data, conducted by Chemicals Evaluation & Research Institute (CERI), Japan.

## 3.6 BOD5, COD OR RATIO BOD5/COD

No data available

#### **3.7 BIOACCUMULATION**

No data available

## 3.8 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

#### A. Sewage treatment

No data available

**B.** Other information

No data available

## 4. <u>ECOTOXICITY</u>

## 4.1 ACUTE/PROLONGED TOXICITY TO FISH

(a)	
Type of test:	static []; semi-static [X]; flow-through []; other []
Species:	open-system []; closed-system [] Oryzias latipes (Medaka)
Exposure period:	96 hour
Results:	$LC_{50}$ (96h) : > 5.00 mg/L
Results.	$LC_{0}(96h) :> 5.00 \text{ mg/L}$
Analytical monitoring:	Yes [X] No []?[]
GLP:	Yes [X] No [] ? []
Test substance:	docosanoic acid, Purity: 96.5 %
Remarks:	HCO-40 was used in this test to prepare the test solution due to low water solubility and the limit test at 5.00 mg/L was
	performed in order to keep the recommended concentration of vehicle (i.e. 100 mg/L in the final test solution). $LC_{50}$ (96h) was greater than the concentration used in the limit test
	because there was no mortality during the exposure.
Reference:	MOE, Japan (1998).
(b)	
Type of test:	static []; semi-static [X]; flow-through []; other []
	open-system []; closed-system []
Species:	Oryzias latipes (Medaka)
Exposure period:	14 day
Results:	$LC_{50}$ (14d) : > 4.99 mg/L
	$LC_0$ (14d) : > 4.99 mg/L
	NOEC(14d, Grt, Beh) : > 4.99 mg/L
Analytical monitoring:	Yes [X] No []?[]
GLP:	Yes [X] No [] ? []
Test substance:	docosanoic acid, Purity: 96.5 %
Remarks:	HCO-40 was used in this test to prepare the test solution due
	to low water solubility and the limit test at 4.99 mg/L was
	performed in order to keep the recommended concentration
	of vehicle (i.e. 100 mg/L in the final test solution). $LC_{50}$
	(14d) was greater than the concentration used in the limit test
	because there was no mortality. NOEC (14d, Grt, Beh) was
	greater than 4.99 mg/L since there was no abnormal response
	during the exposure and no statistically significant difference
	in body weight and body length at the end of the test between
Deferrer	the test concentration and the controls.
Reference:	MOE, Japan (1998).

\_\_\_\_\_

## 4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES

(a)	
Type of test:	static [X]; semi-static []; flow-through []; other [];
	open-system [ ]; closed-system [ ]

Species: Exposure period: Results: Analytical monitoring: GLP: Test substance: Remarks:	Daphnia magna 48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (48h): > 5.00 mg/L Yes [X] No [] ? [] Yes [X] No [] ? [] docosanoic acid, Purity: 96.5 % HCO-40 was used in this test to prepare the test solution due to low water solubility and the limit test at 5.00 mg/L was performed in order to keep the recommended concentration of vehicle (i.e. 100 mg/L in the final test solution). EC <sub>50</sub> (48h) was greater than the concentration used in the limit test
Reference:	(48n) was greater than the concentration used in the limit test because there was only 10 % immobility during the exposure. MOE, Japan (1998).

## 4.3 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS, e.g. algae

Species:	Selenastrum capricornutum
Endpoint:	Biomass []; Growth rate [X]; Other []
Exposure period:	72 hour
Results:	$EC_{50}$ (72 h) > 5.00 mg/L
	NOEC (72h): > 5.00 mg/L
Analytical monitoring:	Yes [X] No []?[]
Method:	open-system [ ]; closed-system [ ]
GLP:	Yes [X] No []?[]
Test substance:	docosanoic acid, Purity: 96.5 %
Remarks:	HCO-40 was used in this test to prepare the test solution due
	to low water solubility and the limit test at 5.00 mg/L was
	performed in order to keep the recommended concentration
	of vehicle (i.e. 100 mg/L in the final test solution). $EC_{50}$ (72h)
	and NOEC (72h) were greater than the concentration used in
	the limit test because there was no growth inhibition during
	the exposure.
Reference:	MOE, Japan (1998).

#### 4.4 TOXICITY TO BACTERIA

No data available

## 4.5 CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC ORGANISMS

## 4.5.1 CHRONIC TOXICITY TO FISH

No data available

## 4.5.2 CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES

Type of test:	<pre>static [ ]; semi-static [ X ]; flow-through [ ]; other [ ];</pre>
	open-system [ ]; closed-system [ ]
Species:	Daphnia magna

Endpoint:	Mortality [ ]; Reproduction rate [ X ]; Other [ ]
Exposure period:	21 day
Results:	$EC_{50}(21d) > 0.84 \text{ mg/L} \text{ (measured)}$
	NOEC (21d): $> 0.84$ mg/L (measured)
Analytical monitoring:	Yes <b>[X]</b> No <b>[</b> ] ? <b>[</b> ]
GLP:	Yes <b>[X]</b> No <b>[</b> ] ? <b>[</b> ]
Test substance:	Docosanoic acid, Purity: 96.5 %
Remarks:	Three test concentrations were prepared at 0.30, 0.55 and 1.00 mg/L as nominal concentration. However, Since the measured concentrations in groups tested at 21 day were different over 20% from their corresponding nominal concentration, the concentrations were expressed by time-weighted as 0.24, 0.49 and 0.84 mg/L, respectively. DMF and HCO-40 were used in this test to prepare the test solution due to low water solubility and the concentration of vehicles used was 40 mg/L in the final test solution which has no significant effect on reproduction as revealed by a vehicle-only control and is less than the recommended concentration of vehicle, i.e. 100 mg/L). No significant difference on cumulative number of dead parental was observed among control, vehicle control and treated groups. NOEC (21d) and EC <sub>50</sub> (21d) were greater than the highest concentration used in the test because there was no statistical difference on cumulative number of juveniles
	produced per adult alive for 21 days.
Reference:	MOE, Japan (1998).

#### 4.6 TOXICITY TO TERRESTRIAL ORGANISMS

#### 4.6.1 TOXICITY TO SOIL DWELLING ORGANISMS

#### No data available

#### 4.6.2 TOXICITY TO TERRESTRIAL PLANTS No data available

# 4.6.3 TOXICITY TO OTHER NON MAMMALIAN TERRESTRIAL SPECIES (INCLUDING AVIAN)

#### No data available

4.7 BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS MONITORING (INCLUDING BIOMAGNIFICATION) No data available

#### 4.8 BIOTRANSFORMATION AND KINETICS No data available

4.9 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

No data available

### 5. <u>TOXICITY</u>

### 5.1 ACUTE TOXICITY

### 5.1.1 ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY

Type: Species/strain: Value:	LD <sub>0</sub> []; LD <sub>100</sub> []; LD <sub>50</sub> [ <b>X</b> ]; LDL <sub>0</sub> []; Other [] Rat/Crj:CD(SD) > 2,000 mg/kg b.w.
Method:	OECD Test Guideline 401 (Limit test)
GLP:	Yes <b>[X]</b> No <b>[</b> ] ? <b>[</b> ]
Test substance:	Docosanoic acid, purity: 85.9 %
	Impurities: $(C_{14}-C_{20})$ fatty acids (10.9 %)
	C <sub>24</sub> fatty acid (2.3 %)
Remarks:	Maximum dose (2,000 mg/kg) was used according to TG 401.
Reference:	MHLW (former MHW), Japan (1998)

### 5.1.2 ACUTE INHALATION TOXICITY

No data available

### 5.1.3 ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY

No data available

## 5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY, OTHER ROUTES OF ADMINISTRATION

No data available

# 5.2 CORROSIVENESS/IRRITATION

### 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION/CORROSION

No data available

# 5.2.2 EYE IRRITATION/CORROSION

No data available

### 5.3 SKIN SENSITISATION

No data available

### 5.4 **REPEATED DOSE TOXICITY**

(a) Species/strain:

Rat/Crj:CD(SD)

Sex:	Female [ ]; Male [ ]; Male/Female [X]; No data []				
Route of Administration:	Oral (Gavage).				
Exposure period:	Males, 42 days; Females, from 14 days prior to mating to da 3 of lactation.				
Fraguancy of treatment:	daily.				
Frequency of treatment: Dose:	0(vehicle), 100, 300, 1,000 mg/kg/day.				
Control group:	Yes $[X]; No []; No data [];$				
Control group.	Concurrent no treatment [ ]; Concurrent vehicle [X];				
	Historical [ ]				
NOAEL:	1,000 mg/kg/da y.				
Results:	Male: No deaths or abnormalities in clinical signs were observed in any of the treated groups. Also, there were no changes related to the dosing of compound in body weight gain and food consumption. No adverse effects were found for haematological, biochemical, gross findings, organ				
	weights and histopathological examinations. Female: No deaths were observed in any of the treated				
	groups. Also, there were no changes related to the dosing of				
	compound in clinical signs, body weight gain and food				
	consumption. No abnormal gross findings, changes of organ				
	weights and histopathology were recognized at autopsy				
	performed on postpartum day 4.				
Method:	OECD Test Guideline 422 (Combined Repeat Dose and				
	Reproductive/ Developmental Toxicity Screening Test)				
GLP:	Yes <b>[X]</b> No <b>[]</b> ? <b>[]</b>				
Test substance:	Docosanoic acid, purity: 85.9 %				
	Impurities: $(C_{14}-C_{20})$ fatty acids (10.9 %)				
Reference:	C <sub>24</sub> fatty acid (2.3 %)				
Reference.	MHLW (former MHW), Japan (1998)				
(b)					
Species/strain:	Rat/Crl:CdB R(SD).				
Sex:	Female []; Male []; Male/Female [X]; No data []				
Route of Administration:	Oral (Feeding)				
Exposure period:	13 weeks.				
Frequency of treatment:	Daily.				
Post exposure observation peri					
Dose:	0 (Corn oil control), 0 (Medium-chain triglyceride oil				
	control), 5.23, 10.23, 15.00 % in diet (w/w)				
	Caprenin consumption:				
	Male: 0, 0, 4,400, 8,700, 13,200 mg/kg/day Female: 0, 0, 4,900, 9,700, 14,600 mg/kg/day				
	Docosanoic acid consumption: Male: 0, 0, 1,980, 3,915, 5,940 mg/kg/day Female: 0, 0, 2,205, 4,365, 6,570 mg/kg/day				
Control group:	Yes [X]; No []; No data [];				
	Concurrent no treatment [ ]; Concurrent vehicle [X];				
	Historical []				
NOAEL:	Fifteen % (5,940 mg/kg/day and 6,570 mg/kg/day as docosanoic acid for male and female rats, respectively).				

Results:	There were no treatment-related effects in growth, mortality,				
	haematology and serum biochemistry values, or in				
	anatomical or microscopical pathology.				
Method:	Other				
GLP:	Yes [] No [] ? [X]				
Test substance:	Caprenin (Mixture of caprylic, capric, and docosanoic acid: 23.2 %, 26.6 %, and 45.0 %, respectively)				
Remarks: Reference:	Webb D.R., et al. (1993)				

# 5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY IN VITRO

### A. BACTERIAL TEST

Type:	Bacterial reverse mutation assay				
System of testing:	Salmonella typhimurium TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537				
	Escherichia coli WP2 uvrA				
Concentration:	156-5,000 ug/plate				
Metabolic activation:	With []; Without []; With and Wi	thout [X]; No data []			
Results:					
Cytotoxicity conc.:	With metabolic activation: None				
	Without metabolic activation: None				
Precipitation conc.:	All treatment groups				
Genotoxic effects:		+ ? -			
	With metabolic activation:	[][][X]			
	Without metabolic activation:	[][][X]			
Method:	OECD Test Guidelines 471 and 472,	and Japanese			
	Guidelines for Screening Mutagenicity	Testing of Chemicals			
GLP:	Yes [X] No []?[]				
Test substance:	Docosanoic acid, purity: 85.9 %				
	Impurities: $(C_{14}-C_{20})$ fatty acids (10.9 %)				
	$C_{24}$ fatty acid (2.3 %)				
Remarks:	S9; rat, induced with phenobarbital and 5,6-benzoflavone				
	Procedure; pre-incubation assay				
Reference:	MHLW(former MHW), Japan (1998)				

# **B.** NON-BACTERIAL IN VITRO TEST

Туре:	Chromosomal aberration test
System of testing:	CHL/IU cells
Concentration:	350-2,800 ug/mL (-S9, 24 hr continuous treatment)
	288-2,300 ug/mL (-S9, 48 hr continuous treatment)
	875-3,500 ug/mL (+/- S9, short term treatment)
Metabolic activation:	With []; Without []; With and Without [X]; No data []
Results:	
Cytotoxicity conc.:	With metabolic activation: None
	Without metabolic activation:
	>= 2,703 ug/mL (24 hr), 2,242 ug/mL (48 hr)
	Precipitation conc .: All treatment groups

Genotoxic effects:						
	+ ? -					
	With metabolic activation: [][][X]					
	Without metabolic activation:   [][][X]					
Method:	OECD Test Guideline 473 and Japanese Guidelines for					
	Screening Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals					
GLP:	Yes [X] No [] ? []					
Test substance:	Docosanoic acid, purity: 85.9 %					
	Impurities: $(C_{14}-C_{20})$ fatty acids (10.9 %)					
	$C_{24}$ fatty acid (2.3 %)					
Remarks:	S9; rat, induced with phenobarbital and 5,6-benzoflavone					
Reference:	MHLW(former MHW), Japan (1998)					

### 5.6 GENETIC TOXICITY IN VIVO

No data available

# 5.7 CARCINOGENICITY

No data ava ilable

# 5.8 TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION

Туре:	Fertility [ ]; One-generation study [ ]; Two-generation
	study [];
	Other [X]
Species/strain:	Rat/Crj:CD(SD)
Sex:	Female []; Male []; Male/Female [X]; No data []
Route of Administration:	Oral (Gavage)
Exposure period:	Males, 42 days; Females, from 14 days prior to mating to day
	3 of lactation.
Frequency of treatment:	Daily
Post exposure observation per	iod:
Premating exposure period:	Male: 14 days, Female: 14 days
Doses:	0(vehicle), 100, 300, 1,000 mg/kg/day.
Control group:	Yes [X]; No []; No data [];
	Concurrent no treatment [ ]; Concurrent vehicle [X];
	Historical [ ]
NOAEL Parental:	1,000 mg/kg/day.
NOAEL F1 Offspring:	1,000 mg/kg/day.
Results:	No compound related changes were observed in length of
	gestation period and any parameters during gestation,
	delivery and lactation. The compound showed no adverse
	effects on copulation or fertility. Also, no compound related
	changes were observed on the sex ratio, body weights or
	viability of pups.
Method:	OECD Test Guideline 422 (Combined Repeat Dose and
	Reproductive/ Developmental Toxicity Screening Test)
GLP:	Yes [X] No [] ? []
OLI .	

Test substance:	Docosanoic acid, purity: 85.9 %
	Impurities: $(C_{14}-C_{20})$ fatty acids (10.9 %)
	$C_{24}$ fatty acid (2.3 %)
Reference:	MHLW (former MHW), Japan (1998)

### 5.9 DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY/ TERATOGENICITY

Species/strain: Sex:	Rat/Crj:CD(SD). Female [ ]; Male [ ]; Male/Female [ <b>X</b> ]; No data [ ]
Route of Administration:	Oral (Gavage).
Exposure period:	Males, 42 days; Females, from 14 days prior to mating to day
	3 of lactation.
Frequency of treatment:	Daily.
Doses:	0(vehicle), 100, 300, 1,000 mg/kg/day
Control group:	Yes [X]; No []; No data [];
	Concurrent no treatment [ ]; Concurrent vehicle [X];
	Historical [ ]
NOAEL Maternal Toxicity:	1,000 mg/kg/day.
NOAEL teratogenicity:	1,000 mg/kg/day
Results:	No morphological abnormalities in external and visceral
	observation in pups were observed in any of the treated
	groups.
Method:	OECD Test Guideline 422 (Combined Repeat Dose and
	Reproductive/ Developmental Toxicity Screening Test)
GLP:	Yes [X] No [] ? []
Test substance:	Docosanoic acid, purity: 85.9 %
	Impurities: $(C_{14}-C_{20})$ fatty acids (10.9 %)
	$C_{24}$ fatty acid (2.3 %)
Reference:	MHLW(former MHW), Japan (1998)

# 5.10 OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

### A. Specific toxicities

No data available

#### **B.** Toxicodynamics, toxicokinetics

No data available

### 5.11 EXPERIENCE WITH HUMAN EXPOSURE

Remarks:

A 53-year-old librarian had recurrent palpable purpura on her ankles and legs that was found to be caused by the fumes released from heat-activated photocopy paper at her place of employment. Docosanoic acid was identified as the responsible chemical component through a series of challenge studies that simulated her work exposure. Silver docosanoate was used in the manufacture of heat-activated photocopy

volatilized when heatpaper and docosanoic acid was developed. activated photocopy paper was Absorption through the upper respiratory mucosa was the likely route of entry of this agent. The mechanism of this reaction is unclear. Skin biopsies, complement studies, and immune complex assays failed to confirm a type III immune response. The author concluded that physicians should be aware that chemical fumes released from microfilm copying machines or other devices that use heat-activated photocopy paper may cause palpable purpura (Tencati, J. R., 1983). However, in this case, the suspected substance was silver docosanoate and was not docosanoic acid itself. Also, lack of convincing evidences such as the clinical description and characterization of exposure was noted (Guidotti, T. L., 1983).

Reference:

Tencati, J. R. (1983) and Guidotti, T. L. (1983)

No other human exposure data are reported.

#### 6. **REFERENCES**

- CERI, Japan (2001), Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan (former CITI, Japan), unpublished data.
- Cautreels W. and Van Cauwenberghe K. (1978) Experiments on the distribution of organic pollutants between airborne particulate matter and the corresponding gas phase. Atmospheric Environment, 12, 113-1141.

Guidotti, T.L. (1983) Angiitis and Photocopier Fumes, Ann. Intern. Med., 99, 570.

Hoffman.D. and Wynder, E.L (1968) in Air Pollution, 2, 187-247

- HSDB (2001) Hazardous Substance Data Bank, U.S. National Library of Medicine.
- MHLW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (former MHW), Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals, 6, 236-246.
- MHLW, Japan (1994) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (former MHW), Keshohin syubetsu kyoka kijun
- MOE, Japan (1998) Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data, conducted by Mitsubishi Chemical Safety Institute, Ltd.
- METI, Japan. unpublished data. Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (former MITI).
- METI, Japan (1998) Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (former MITI), conducted by Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Japan (former CITI, Japan), unpublished data.
- METI, Japan (1997) Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (former MITI), conducted by Chemicals Inspection & Testing Institute, Japan, unpublished data.
- NOF Corporation (2001) Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) of Docosanoic acid.
- Sigma-Aldrich (1998) Sigma-Aldrich Material Safety Data Sheets on CD-ROM Fourth Quarter 1998
- Tencati, J.R., Novey, H. S. (1983) Hypersensitivity angiitis caused by fumes from heatactivated photoc opy. Annals of internal medicine, 98, 320-322.
- The Merck Index (2001) S. Budavari (ed.), 13th ed., Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ.
- Van Vaeck L., Broddin G., Cautreels W. and Van Cauwenberghe K. (1979) Aerosol collection by cascade impaction and filtration: influence of different sampling systems on the measured organic pollutant levels. 11, 41-52.

Webb D.R. et al. (1993) A 91-day feeding study in rats with caprenin., Fd Chem.Toxic., 31, 935-946.

## APPENDIX 1: RESULTS OF THE CALCULATION OF THE THEORETICAL DISTRIBUTION

# Docosanoic acid

### Scenario 1

	Emission rate	Conc.	Amount	Percent	Transformation rate [kg/h]	
	[kg/h]	$[g/m^3]$	[kg]	[%]	Reaction	Advection
Air	1,000	3.3.E-06	3.3.E+04	19.7	5.8E+02	3.3.E+02
Water	0	5.8.E-04	1.2.E+04	6.8	6.6E+00	1.2.E+01
Soil	0	7.4.E-02	1.2.E+05	69.6	6.8E+01	
Sediment		6.8.E-02	6.8.E+03	4.0	1.3E+00	1.4.E-01
		Total amount	1.7.E+05			

### Scenario 2

	Emission rate	Conc.	Amount	Percent	Transformation rate [kg/h]	
	[kg/h]	$[g/m^3]$	[kg]	[%]	Reaction	Advection
Air	0	3.8.E-07	3.8.E+03	0.4	6.5.E+01	3.8.E+01
Water	1,000	2.6.E-02	5.2.E+05	61.7	3.0.E+02	5.2.E+02
Soil	0	8.3.E-03	1.3.E+04	1.6	7.7.E+00	
Sediment		3.1.E+00	3.1.E+05	36.3	5.9.E+01	6.2.E+00
		Total amount	8.5.E+05			

### Scenario 3

	E mission rate	Conc.	Amount	Percent	Transformation rate [kg/h]	
	[kg/h]	[g/m <sup>3</sup> ]	[kg]	[%]	Reaction	Advection
Air	0	3.7.E-10	3.7.E+00	0.0	6.4.E-02	3.7.E-02
Water	0	9.0.E-06	1.8.E+02	0.0	1.0.E-01	1.8.E-01
Soil	1000	1.1.E+00	1.7.E+06	100.0	1.0.E+03	
Sediment		1.1.E-03	1.1.E+02	0.0	2.0.E-02	2.1.E-03
		Total amount	1.7.E+06			

#### Scenario 4

	Emission rate	Conc.	Amount	Percent	Transformatio	n rate [kg/h]
	[kg/h]	$[g/m^3]$	[kg]	[%]	Reaction	Advection
Air	600	2.1.E-06	2.1.E+04	4.0	3.7.E+02	2.1.E+02
Water	300	8.2.E-03	1.6.E+05	30.9	9.5.E+01	1.6.E+02
Soil	100	1.5.E-01	2.5.E+05	46.9	1.4.E+02	
Sediment		9.6.E-01	9.6.E+04	18.2	1.9.E+01	1.9.E+00
		Total amount	5.3.E+05			

25

Temp. [°C]

Molecular weight		340.58	Calculated
Melting point [ °C]		79.95	Measured
Vapour pressure [Pa]		6.50E-05	Calculated
Water solubility [g/m <sup>3</sup> ]		0.016	Measured
Log Pow		5.11	Measured
	In air	40	Estimated
Half life	In water	1200	Estimated
[h]	In soil	1200	Estimated
	In sediment	3600	Estimated

# APPENDIX 1 (continued) Physico-chemical parameters used

# Environmental parameters used

		Volume	Depth	Area	Organic	Lipid content	Density	Residenc e
		[m <sup>3</sup> ]	[m]	[m <sup>2</sup> ]	Carbon [-]	[-]	[kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	Time [h]
	Air	1.0E+13					1.2	100
Bulk air	Particles	2.0E+03						
	Total	1.0E+13	1000	1E+10				
	Water	2.0E+10					1000	1000
Bulk water	Particles	1.0E+06			0.04		1500	
Duik water	Fish	2.0E+05				0.05	1000	
	Total	2.0E+10	10	2E+09				
	Air	3.2E+08					1.2	
Bulk soil	Water	4.8E+08					1000	
Duik son	Solid	8.0E+08			0.04		2400	
	Total	1.6E+09	0.2	8E+09				
Bulk sediment	Water	8.0E+07					1000	
	Solid	2.0E+07			0.06		2400	50000
	Total	1.0E+08	0.05	2E+09				

# **Intermedia Transport Parameters**

			[ m/h]
Air side air-water MTC	5	Soil air boundary layer MTC	5
Water side air water MTC	0.05	Sediment-water MTC	1E-04
Rain rate	1E-04	Sediment deposition	5E-07
Aerosol deposition	6E-10	Sediment resuspension	2E-07
Soil air phase diffusion MTC	0.02	Soil water runoff	5E-05
Soil water phase diffusion MTC	1E-05	Soil solid runoff	1E-08

# ROBUST STUDY SUMMARIES For Docosanoic acid CAS No. 112 - 85 - 6

Sponsor country: Japan DATA of Submission to OECD: 2002.02.08

# OECD SIDS PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL ENDPOINTS

# **Melting Point**

TEST SUBSTANCE	
• Identity:	Docosanoic acid (CAS: 112-85-6)
• Remarks:	Source: not available
METHOD	
Method:	Not specified
• GLP:	Not stated
• Year:	Not stated
Remarks:	Not stated
RESULTS	
• Melting point value :	79.95 °C
• Decomposition:	Not stated
Sublimation:	Not stated
• Remarks:	Not stated
CONCLUSIONS	
Melting point is 79.	95 °C.
DATA QUALITY	
Reliabilities:	Reliable with restriction
Remarks:	
DEPEDENCES	
REFERENCES	The Merck Index (2001), S. Budavari (ed.), 13th ed., Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ
OTHER	
OTHER	
• Last changed	
• Order number for sorting	
Remarks:	

# **Boiling Point**

TEGT CUDGT A NICE	
TEST SUBSTANCE	
• Identity:	Docosanoic acid (CAS: 112-85-6)
• Remarks:	Source: not available
METHOD	
• Method:	Not specified
• GLP:	No
• Year:	
Remarks:	
RESULTS	
Boiling point value:	306 °C
• Pressure:	60
Pressure unit:	mmHg
• Decomposition:	No
Remarks:	
• Remarks.	
CONCLUSIONS	
Boiling point is 306 °C	C at 60 mmHg.
DATA QUALITY	
Reliabilities:	Reliable with restriction
	Reliable with restriction
Remarks:	
REFERENCES	The Merck Index (2001), S. Budavari (ed.), 13th ed., Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ
OTHER	
-	
• Last changed:	
• Order number for sorting:	
Remarks:	

# Vapour Pressure

TEST SUBSTANCE				
• Identity:	Docosanoic acid (CAS: 112-85-6)			
• Remarks:	Source: Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd., - Purity: 96.5 %, kept at 5 °C until use. The structure was identified by infrared red spectroscopy.			
METHOD				
• Method:	OECD TG104 (Gas saturation method)			
• GLP:	No			
• Year:	1998			
• Remarks:	Vapour pressure was measured at 100 °C. The vapour pressure at 100 °C was below the detection limit of gas saturation method ( $6.6 \times 10^3$ Pa). This test was conducted under the national program.			
RESULTS				
• Vapour Pressure value:	< 6.6 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> Pa (at 100°C)			
<ul><li>Decomposition:</li><li>Remarks:</li></ul>	No			
CONCLUSIONS				
The vapour pressure	at 100 °C is below 6.6 x $10^3$ Pa.			
DATA QUALITY				
Reliabilities:	Reliable without restrictions			
• Remarks:	Well conducted study			
REFERENCES	Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI, former MITI), Japan (1998) unpublished data, conducted by Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan			
OTHER				
Last changed:				
• Order number for sorting:				

### **Partition Coefficient**

<ul><li>TEST SUBSTANCE</li><li>Identity:</li></ul>	Docosanoic acid (CAS: 112-85-6)
• Remarks:	Source: Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd., - Purity: 96.5 %, kept at 5 °C until use. The structure was identified by infrared red spectroscopy.
METHOD	1 10
• Method:	OECD TG 107 (Flask shake method)
• GLP:	Yes
• Year:	1998
• Remarks:	After partition equilibrium of the test substance was established between n-octanol and water at three volume ratios, the concentrations of the test substance of both phases were determined with GC.

### RESULTS

- Log Pow: > 5.11 25 °C
- Temperature :
- Remarks:

Concentration in n-octanol and water phases under three conditions (mg/L):

Condition	Run 1		Run 2	
	Water phase	Octanol phase	Water phase	Octanol phase
1	<0.0237	3,040	<0.0237	3,070
2	<0.0237	1,520	<0.0237	1,550
3	< 0.0237	739	<0.0237	803

The concentration of the test substance in water phase was below the detection limit (< 0.0237 mg/L).

# CONCLUSIONS

Log  $P_{ow}$  is > 5.11.

# DATA QUALITY

Reliabilities:	Reliable without restrictions
Remarks:	Well conducted study
REFERENCES	Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI, former MITI), Japan (1998) unpublished data, conducted by Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan
OTHER	
Last changed:	
• Order number for sorting:	
• Remarks:	

# Water Solubility

	EST SUBSTANCE	
	Identity:	Docosanoic acid (CAS: 112-85-6)
•	Remarks:	Source: Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd., - Purity: 96.5 %, kept at 5 °C until use. The structure was identified by infrared red spectroscopy.
M	ETHOD	
•	Method:	OECD TG 105 (Flask method)
•	GLP:	No
•	Year:	1998
•	Remarks:	50 mg of the test substance was added in duplicate to 500 mL of water in glass vessel. The vessel was tightly stopped and then shaken at 30 °C for 24, 48 and 72 hours and then equilibrate for 24 hours at 25 °C with occasional shaking. After the aqueou phase was extracted with chloroform, the test substance was methylated with diazomethane. The concentration of the test substance was determined with GC. This test was conducte under the national program.
R	ESULTS	
•	Value :	0.016 mg/L at 25 °C
•	<b>Description of solubility:</b>	Of very low solubility
•	pH value:	
•	pKa value :	Not determined at 25 °C
•	Remarks:	No dissociation was observed by conductometric method (OECD TG 112)
C	ONCLUSIONS	
	Water solubility is 0.0	016 mg/L.
D	ATA QUALITY	
•	Reliabilities:	Reliable with restrictions
•	Remarks:	Well conducted study
R	EFERENCES	Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI, former MITI), Japan (1998) unpublished data, conducted by Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan
O' • •	ГНЕR Last changed: Order number for sorting: Remarks:	

# **OECD SIDS Environmental Fate Endpoints**

# Photodegradation

TEST SUBSTANCE	
• Identity:	Docosanoic acid (CAS: 112-85-6)
• Remarks:	Source: not applicable.
METHOD	
• Method:	Calculation by AOP Win v1.86 (Syracuse ResearchCorporation)
• <b>Type:</b>	Indirect photodegradation
• GLP:	No
• Year:	2001
• Type of Sensitizer:	OH radical
Concentration of Sensitizer:	$5 \times 10^5$ molecule/cm <sup>3</sup>
• Remarks:	The rate constant for gas-phase reaction between photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals and the test substance in atmosphere was calculated by AOP Win v1.86, which is based on the structure activity relationship methods developed by Dr. Roger Atkinson and co-workers. The half-life time of the substance was calculated with the daily average concentration of OH radical of $5 \times 10^5$ molecule/cm <sup>3</sup> in atmosphere.
RESULTS <ul> <li>Rate Constant:</li> <li>Degradation:</li> <li>Remarks:</li> </ul>	2.81 x $10^{11}$ cm <sup>3</sup> /molecule-sec 50 % after 13.7 hours
CONCLUSIONS	
The half-life time of the radicals in air is 13.7 h	e substance by the reaction with photochemically produced OH ours.
DATA QUALITY • Reliabilities: • Remarks:	Reliable without restrictions
REFERENCES	Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan (2001), unpublished data.
OTHER • Last changed • Order number for sorting • Remarks:	

# Stability in water

	EST SUBSTANCE				
•	Identity:	Docosanoic acid			
•	Remarks:			Co., Ltd., - Purity: 96.5 vas identified by infrare	
		spectroscopy.			A 100
Μ	ETHOD				
•	Method/guideline:	Modified OECD	TG 111		
•	Туре:	Hydrolysis as a fu	unction of pH		
•	GLP: Voor	No 1008			
•	Year: Remarks:	1998 Stability of the su	ihstance in u	rater was tested under t	he
•	ivillal 135			OECD Test Guidelin	
		•		d at 2 mg/L and at 50	
		days in each buff	er of pH 4.0,	7.0 and 9.0. Each buff	er solution
			•	lan. All tests were perf	
				as determined with G	
				eliminary test at all pH	s. This
<b>B</b>	ESULTS	test was conduct	eu under the l	iauonai program.	
•	Nominal concentration:	2 mg/L			
•	Measured value:	Not stated			
•	<b>Degradation %:</b>	Residue % after 5	5 days at 50°	С	
		pH 4.0	104	102	
		pH 7.0	104	97.0	
		pH 9.0	94.4	96.1	
•	Breakdown products: Remarks:	Not studied			
•	Kemarks:				
C	ONCLUSIONS				
	Docosanoic acid is st	able (half-life time >	> 1 year) at p	H 4.0, 7.0 and 9.0.	
D	ATA QUALITY				
•	<b>Reliabilities:</b>	Reliable with rest			
•	Remarks:	Well conducted s	tudy		
R	EFERENCES			and Industry (METI, fo	
			· •	hed data, conducted by itute (CERI), Japan	Chemicals
0	ГНЕR			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-	Last changed:				
•					
•	Order number for sorting:				

# **Transport between Environmental Compartments (Fugacity)**

TEST SUBSTA	NCE					
• Identity:		sanoic acid (CAS: 112-85-6)				
• Remarks:	Sourc	e: not applicable				
METHOD						
• Test (test typ						
<ul> <li>Method: Fugacity level III</li> <li>Year: 2001</li> </ul>						
• Year: 2001 • Remarks:						
RESULTS <ul> <li>Media:</li> </ul>	Air w	vater, soil and sediment				
		<b>Concentration under three</b>	emission scenarios.			
- Estimateu Di	su ivulivii allu ivičula		chilippioni scenai 103.			
Compartment	Release 100 % to air	Release 100 % to water	Release 100 % to soil			
Air	19.7 %	0.4 %	0.0 %			
Water	6.8 %	61.7 %	0.0 %			
Soil	69.6 %	1.6%	100.0 %			
Sediment	4.0 %	36.3 %	0.0 %			
• Remarks:	Apper v1.40	arameters used in the fugacity candix 1, in which the calculated v (Syracuse Research Corporation) ure instead of the measured lower	alue by MPBP n) was used for vapour			
CONCLUSION	S					
		kely to be distributed into water of it is likely to be distributed in				
<ul><li>DATA QUALIT</li><li>Reliabilities:</li><li>Remarks:</li></ul>		ble without restrictions				
REFERENCES		nicals Evaluation and Research I ), unpublished data.	nstitute (CERI), Japan			
OTHER • Last changed • Order number • Remarks:	l: er for sorting:					

Molecula	Molecular weight		Calculated
Melting p	oint [°C]	79.95	Measured
Vapour pro	essure [Pa]	6.50E-05	Calculated
Water solut	oility [g/m <sup>3</sup> ]	0.016	Measured
Log	Log Pow		Measured
	In air	40	Estimated
Half life [h]	In water	1200	Estimated
	In soil	1200	Estimated
	In sediment	3600	Estimated

# Appendix 1 The parameters used in the fugacity calculation Physico -chemical parameters used

Temp. [℃]

25

# Environmental parameters used

		Volume	Depth	Area	Organic	Lipid content	Density	Residence
		[m <sup>3</sup> ]	[m]	[m <sup>2</sup> ]	Carbon [-]	[-]	[kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	time [h]
	Air	1.0E+13					1.2	100
Bulk air	Particles	2.0E+03						
	Total	1.0E+13	1000	1E+10				
	Water	2.0E+10					1000	1000
Bulk water	Particles	1.0E+06			0.04		1500	
Duik water	Fish	2.0E+05				0.05	1000	
	Total	2.0E+10	10	2E+09				
	Air	3.2E+08					1.2	
Bulk soil	Water	4.8E+08					1000	
Duik soii	Solid	8.0E+08			0.04		2400	
	Total	1.6E+09	0.2	8E+09				
D11-	Water	8.0E+07					1000	
Bulk sediment	Solid	2.0E+07			0.06		2400	50000
Scament	Total	1.0E+08	0.05	2E+09				

# Intermedia Transport Parameters

			[m/h]
Air side air-water MTC	5	Soil air boundary layer MTC	5
Water side air water MTC	0.05	Sediment-water MTC	1E-04
Rain rate	1E-04	Sediment deposition	5E-07
Aerosol deposition	6E-10	Sediment resuspension	2E-07
Soil air phase diffusion MTC	0.02	Soil water runoff	5E-05
Soil water phase diffusion MTC	1E-05	Soil solid runoff	1E-08

# Biodegradation

Docosa	anoic acid (CAS: 1	12-85-6)					
5 °C ur	ntil use. The struct	•	-				
OECD	TG 301C and 30	2C					
Aerobio	c						
Yes (30	02C: No)						
1997							
•							
	-						
and 9 n test me were cu and abio oxygen termina was de	and 9 mg as MLSS of activated sludge were added to 300 mL of test medium (OECD TG 301C). The test and reference solutions were cultivated in BOD meter together with the inoculum blank and abiotic control ones at 25°C for 28 days, during which the oxygen consumption was continuously measured. After termination of the test, the residual amount of the test substance was determined with GC. The biodegradability was calculated						
condition to 300 biodegr the resi	conditions (9 mg of test substance and 30mg of activated sludge to 300 mL of test medium at 25°C), in which the biodegradability of the test substance was estimated only from the residual amount of the test substance determined with GC after 28 days. Also, BOD was continuously measured during the						
<ul> <li>Degradation after 28 days: 48, 56 and 52 % by BOD and 67, 80 and 73 % by GC under OECD TG 301C con 96, 79 and 93 % by BOD and 94, 95 and 95 % by GC under OECD TG 302C con inherently biodegradable</li> <li>Kinetic: Percent biodegradability of reference substance by I</li> </ul>							
			28 days				
65 %	74 %	74 %	74 %				
<ul> <li>Breakdown products: No</li> <li>Remarks</li> <li>CONCLUSIONS         This chemical is inherently biodegradable.     </li> </ul>							
	Source $5 ^{\circ}$ C un spectro Source $5 ^{\circ}$ C un spectro Aerobia Yes (30 1997 28 days Activat 30 mg of and 9 m test me were ch and abia oxygen termina was de from th The ad conditionation to 300 biodegy the resist after 28 test. 28 days: 48, 56 a 67, 80 a 96, 79 a 94, 95 a inheren Percemi	Source: Tokyo Kasei Ko, 5 °C until use. The struct spectroscopy. OECD TG 301C and 30 Aerobic Yes (302C: No) 1997 28 days Activated sludge cultivata 30 mg of the test substan and 9 mg as MLSS of ac test medium (OECD TG were cultivated in BOD r and abiotic control ones at oxygen consumption was termination of the test, the was determined with GC from the oxygen consumption The additional test was c conditions (9 mg of test s to 300 mL of test medium biodegradability of the test the residual amount of th after 28 days. Also, BOE test. <b>28 days:</b> 48, 56 and 52 % by BOE 67, 80 and 73 % by GC u 96, 79 and 93 % by BOE 94, 95 and 95 % by GC u inherently biodegradability 7 days 14 days 65 % 74 %	<ul> <li>OECD TG 301C and 302C Aerobic Yes (302C: No) 1997 28 days Activated sludge cultivated for OECD TG 3010 30 mg of the test substance or aniline (as refere and 9 mg as MLSS of activated sludge were ad test medium (OECD TG 301C). The test and r were cultivated in BOD meter together with the and abiotic control ones at 25°C for 28 days, du oxygen consumption was continuously measure termination of the test, the residual amount of th was determined with GC. The biodegradability from the oxygen consumption and the residual a</li> <li>The additional test was conducted under OECI conditions (9 mg of test substance and 30mg of to 300 mL of test medium at 25°C), in which th biodegradability of the test substance was estim the residual amount of the test substance determ after 28 days. Also, BOD was continuously me test.</li> <li><b>18 days:</b> 48, 56 and 52 % by BOD and 67, 80 and 73 % by GC under OECD TG 301C 96, 79 and 93 % by BOD and 94, 95 and 95 % by GC under OECD TG 302C inherently biodegradability Percent biodegradability of reference substance</li> </ul>				

DATA QUALITY <ul><li>Reliabilities:</li><li>Remarks:</li></ul>	Reliable without restrictions Well conducted study
REFERENCES	Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI, former MITI), Japan (1998), unpublished data, conducted by Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (CERI), Japan
OTHER • Last changed: • Order number for sorting: • Remarks:	

# **ECOTOXICITY ELEMENTS**

# ACUTE TOXICITY TO FISH

# TEST SUBSTANCE

TE	EST SUBSTANCE	
•	Identity:	Docosanoic acid
•	Remarks:	Source: Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd.,
		Lot No. FBW01, purity; 96.5 % , melting point; 79.5 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
M	ETHOD	
•	Method/guideline followed:	
•	Type:	96-hr mortality
•	GLP:	Yes
•	Year:	1998
•	Species/Strain/Supplier:	Oryzias latipes (Medaka); obtained from commercial
		hatcheries
•	Analytical monitoring:	Measured by gas chromatography at the preparation and the
	<b>F</b> • •	renewal of the test solution (after 24 hr).
•	Exposure period:	96 hr
•	Statistical methods:	
•	Remarks field for Test Cond	
	– Test fish:	Acclimated for 7 d before testing; any groups showing $> 5$ %
		mortality during this period were not used for testing; fish
		with 22-32 mm in body length were selected at random.
	– Test conditions :	
	$\cdot$ Details of test:	Semi-static
		: Dechlorinated tap water
		stry: Hardness: 63 mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> ; pH 7.8
	$\cdot$ Stock and test solution	
		The test substance (100 mg) and HCO-40 (2,000 mg) was
		dissolved in pure water to produce the stock solution of 1,000
		mg/L and the appropriate amount of the stock solution was
		added into the test water.
	<ul> <li>Concentrations dosing</li> </ul>	g rate, flow-through rate, in what medium:
		One concentration of 5.00 mg/L, vehicle control and control
		were tested.
1		oncentrations: HCO-40 (100 mg/L)
	2	emical solutions: Not described.
1	· Exposure vessel type	6
		fish per replicate: 10 fishes per replicate
		est: DO 5.2-8.3 mg/L; pH 7.2-7.8
1	– Test temperature ran	ge: 23.5-24.2°C (Containers used for testing were placed in an
		incubator)
RF	ESULTS	
•	Nominal concentrations (as r	
•		s mg/L): 4.78(Day 0)-5.08(Day 1)
•		nat unit]: % survival after 24, 48, 72, 96 h
•	Element value	$LC_{50}$ at 96 hours is greater than 5.00 mg/L based on nominal
		concentration
•	Statistical results :	Not described.
•	<b>Remarks field for Results:</b>	

- **Biological observations:** No mortality and abnormal response at the test concentration during the exposure

### - Table showing cumulative mortality:

Nominal	Measured	Cumulative mortality (%)			
concentration	concentration	24 hours	48 hours	72 hours	96 hours
(mg/L)	(mg/L)				
Control	-	0	0	0	0
S.control <sup>a</sup>	-	0	0	0	0
5.00	4.93 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	0	0

a : Solvent control

b : geometric mean

# – Lowest test substance concentration causing 100 % mortality: $> 5.00 \ \text{mg/L}$

- Mortality of controls: No mortality at the controls
- Abnormal responses: No abnormal responses at the test concentration and controls
- Reference substances (if used) results :  $LC_{50}$  of copper sulfate pentahydrate at 96 hours = 0.73 mg/L

 Any observations, such as precipitation that might cause a difference between measured and nominal values: Not described

# CONCLUSIONS

HCO-40 was used in this test to prepare the test solution due to the substance with low water solubility and the limit test at 5.00 mg/L was performed in order to keep the recommended concentration of vehicle (i.e. 100 mg/L in the final test solution).  $LC_{50}$  (96h) and  $LC_0$  (96h) were greater than the concentration used in the limit test because there was no mortality during the exposure.

# DATA QUALITY

- Reliabilities:
- Reliable without restrictions
- Remarks field for Data Reliability:

### REFERENCES

Ministry of the Environment (MOE), Japan (1998), conducted by Mitsubishi Chemical Safety Institute Ltd., Japan

# OTHER

- Last changed
- Order number for sorting
- Remarks field for General Remarks

# ACUTE TOXICITY TO FISH

TI	EST SUBSTANCE	
•	Identity:	Docosanoic acid
•	Remarks:	Source: Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd.,
		purity ; 96.5 %, melting point ; 79.5°C
М	ETHOD	
•	Method/guideline followed:	OECD TG 204
•	Type :	14-d mortality
•	GLP:	Yes
•	Year:	1998
•	Species/Strain/Supplier:	<i>Oryzias latipes</i> (Medaka); obtained from commercial hatcheries.
•	Analytical monitoring:	Measured by gas chromatography 3 times during the
		exposure.
•	Exposure period:	14 days
•	Statistical methods:	Dunnett test for multiple comparison
•	Remarks field for Test Cond	
	– Test fish:	Acclimated for 14 d before testing; any groups showing $> 5$ %
		mortality during this period were not used for testing; fish with 20-
		25 mm in length were selected at random.
	– Test conditions	
	$\cdot$ Details of test:	Flow-through
		: Dechlorinated tap water
		stry: Hardness: 63 mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> ; pH 7.8
		n: the test substance (2.25 g) and HCO-40(45.00 g) was dissolved
	Stook and test solutio	in pure water to produce the stock solution of 2,250 mg/L.
	· Concentrations dosing	g rate, flow-through rate, in what medium: One concentration of
	Concentrations dosing	4.99 mg/L, vehicle control and control were tested. The flow-
		through rate was about 6 times a day.
	· Vehicle/solvent and c	oncentrations : HCO-40 (100 mg/L)
		emical solutions: Not described.
		: 5-L glass beaker with a siphon drain
		, fish per replicate: ten fish per a container
		est ( $O_2$ , pH) in the control and one concentration where effects were
	water chemistry in t	observed DO 6.2-8.3 mg/L; pH 7.47.8
	· Feeding:	Tetramin <sup>®</sup> , 2 % body weight /day
		<b>ge :</b> 24.0-24.6°C (Containers used for testing were placed in an
	= Test temperature ran	incubator)
рі	ESULTS	
	Nominal concentrations (as 1	<b>ng/L):</b> 4.99
•		s mg/L): 4.91(Day 0), 5.22(Day 7), 5.04(Day 14)
•		hat unit]: % survival after 7, 14 d
•	Element value :	$LC_{50}$ at 7 and 14 d is greater than 4.99 mg/L
•	Element value.	6 6
	Statistical magnity -	based on nominal concentration.
•	Statistical results :	Not described.
•	<b>Remarks field for Results:</b>	
	- Biological observation	s: mean body weight and body length at the end of the test:
1		

 •					
Group	Samples	Mean	S.E.	S.D.	Variance
Control	10	0.2268	0.0139	0.0440	0.0019
S.control	10	0.2093	0.0076	0.0242	0.0006
4.99mg/L	10	0.2224	0.0105	0.0332	0.0011

#### Body length (cm)

Group	Samples	Mean	S.E.	S.D.	Variance
Control	10	2.3680	0.0430	0.1359	0.0185
S.control	10	2.3130	0.0372	0.1177	0.0138
4.99mg/L	10	2.3580	0.0380	0.1202	0.0144

S.E.: Standard error

S.D.: Standard deviation

#### - Table sho wing cumulative mortality:

Nominal	Measured		Cumulative mortality (%)					
concentration	concentration	1	2	3	7	9	10	14
(mg/L)	(mg/L)	day	days	days	days	days	days	days
Control	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S.control <sup>a</sup>	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.99	5.06 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a : Solvent control

b : geometric mean

- Lowest test substance concentration causing 100 % mortality: > 4.99 mg/L

- Mortality of controls: No mortality in the controls

- Abnormal responses: No abnormal responses in the test concentration and the controls

- **Reference substances (if used)** - **results :** LC<sub>50</sub> of copper sulphate pentahydrate at 96 hours = 0.73 mg/L

- Any observations, such as precipitation that might cause a difference between measured and nominal values: Not described.

#### CONCLUSIONS

HCO-40 was used in this test to prepare the test solution due to the substance with low water solubility and the limit test at 4.99 mg/L was performed in order to keep the recommended concentration of vehicle (i.e. 100 mg/L in the final test solution). There was no mortality during the exposure and  $LC_{50}$  (14d) and  $LC_0$  (14d) were greater than the concentration used in the limit test. There were no abnormal responses during the exposure and no statistically significant differences observed in body weight and body length at the end of the test between the test concentration and the controls. So NOEC (14d) was greater than 4.99 mg/L.

#### DATA QUALITY

- Reliabilities:
- Reliable without restrictions Remarks field for Data Reliability: Well conducted study

#### REFERENCES

Ministry of the Environment (MOE), Japan (1998), conducted by Mitsubishi Chemical Safety Institute, Ltd. Japan

#### **OTHER**

- Last changed:
- Order number for sorting:
- **Remarks field for General Remarks:**

# ACUTE TOXICITY INVERTEVRATES (E.G., DAPHNIA)

TEST SUBSTANCE <ul> <li>Identity:</li> <li>Remarks:</li> </ul>	Docosanoic acid Source: Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd., Lot No. FBW01, purity ; 96.5 %, melting point: 79.5°C
METHOD	
• Method/guideline followed:	OECD TG 202
• Type :	48-h immobility
• GLP:	Yes
• Year:	1998
• Species/Strain/Supplier:	Daphnia magna;
	obtained from National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES).
Analytical monitoring:	Measured by gas chromatography at start and end of the test.
• Exposure period (h):	48
Statistical methods:	Not described
<ul> <li>Remarks field for Test Cond</li> </ul>	litions:
– Test organisms:	
· Source, supplier:	Supplied by NIES
· Pre-treatment:	The group of parents, which showed less than 5% mortality for
	14 days prior to test, was used.
$\cdot$ Age at study initiation	1:<24h old
<ul> <li>Test conditions</li> <li>Stock and test solution</li> </ul>	n: the test substance (100 mg) and HCO-40 (2,000 mg) was dissolved in pure water to produce the stock solution of 1,000 mg/L and the appropriate amount of the stock solution was added into the test water.
$\cdot$ Test temperature range	ge: 20.4-20.7 °C
	100 mL test solution in a 100 mL glass beaker; 4 beakers per treatment
· Dilution water source	: Dechlorinated tap water
	stry: Hardness: 63 mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> ; pH: 7.8
· Lighting:	<1,200 lx, 16h: 8h light-darkness cycle
· Water chemistry in te	est: DO= 8.3-8.6 mg/L; pH=7.7-7.9
– Element (unit) basis: 1	Immobilization
– Test design:	Number of replicates=4; individuals per replicate=1; concentrations: One concentration of 5.00 mg/L, vehicle control and control were tested.
– Method of calculating	mean measured concentrations:
– Exposure period:	48 h
- Analytical monitoring:	103 % of the nominal concentration at preparation; 98 % just before the renewal of the test water
RESULTS <ul> <li>Nominal concentrations (as n</li> </ul>	и- <b>Л</b> .). 500

- entrations (as mg/L): 5.00
- Measured concentrations (as mg/L): 5.16(Day 0)-4.88(Day 2) •

- Unit (results expressed in what unit): % immobilization after 24, 48 h
- **Element value :**  $EC_{50}$  at 48 hours is greater than 5.00 mg/L based on nominal concentration
- Statistical results : Not described

# • Remarks field for Results:

# - Biological observations

 $\cdot$  Number immobilised as compared to the number exposed:

Nominal	Measured	Cumulative numbers of immobilized		
concentration	concentration	Daphnia (Perc	cent immobility)	
(mg/L)	(mg/L)	24 hours	48 hours	
Control	-	0 (0)	0 (0)	
S.control <sup>a</sup>	-	0 (0)	0 (0)	
5.00	5.02 <sup>b</sup>	0 (0)	2 (10)	

a : Solvent control

b : geometric mean

- · Concentration response with 95 % confidence limits: Not described
- Cumulative immobilization: 10 % immobility in 5.00 mg/L, 0 % immobility in control and vehicle control
- $\cdot$  Was control response satisfactory (yes/no/unknown) : Yes

# CONCLUSIONS

HCO-40 was used in this test to prepare the test solution due to the substance with low water solubility and the limit test at 5.00 mg/L was performed in order to keep the recommended concentration of vehicle (i.e. 100 mg/L in the final test solution). There was 10% inhibition of immobilization and no abnormal responses during the exposure, and EC<sub>50</sub> (48h) was greater than the concentration used in the limit test.

# DATA QUALITY

- Reliabilities:
- Reliable without restrictions
- Remarks field for Data Reliability:

### REFERENCES

Ministry of the Environment (MOE), Japan (1998), conducted by Mitsubishi Chemical Safety Institute, Ltd., Japan

### **OTHER**

- Last changed:
- Order number for sorting:
- Remarks field for General Remarks:

# TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS (E.G., ALGAE)

r		
TE	EST SUBSTANCE	
•	Identity:	Docosanoic acid
•	Remarks:	Source: Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd
		Lot No. FBW01, purity ; 96.5 %, melting point ; 79.5°C
M	ETHOD	
•	Method/guideline followed:	OECD TG 201
•	Test type:	Static
•	GLP:	Yes
٠	Year:	1998
•	Species/strain # and source:	<i>Selenastrum capricornutum</i> ATCC22662 (purchased from ATCC)
•	Element basis :	Area under the growth curve and growth rate
٠	Exposure period:	72 h
•	Analytical monitoring:	Measured by gas chromatography at start and end of the test
٠	Statistical methods:	Student t test, subsequent to F test for homogeneity of
		variances (because a mean value at 5.00 mg/L was compared to that
		of vehicle control).
•	Remarks field for Test Cond	litions:
	– Test Conditions	
	· Test temperature rang	ge : 22.5-23.4 °C
	· Growth/test medium:	OECD medium
	· Shaking:	100 pm
	· Dilution water source	:
	<ul> <li>Exposure vessel type:</li> </ul>	100 mL medium in a 300 mL conical flask with a cap which
		allow ventilation.
	<ul> <li>Water chemistry in te</li> </ul>	st (pH) in one replicate of each concentration (at start and end of
	the test):	pH=7.9 at start and 10.0 at end of the test (72 h)
	$\cdot$ Stock and test solution	
		dissolved in hot test medium to produce the stock solution of 1,000
		mg/L and the appropriate amount of the stock solution was added
		into the test medium.
		ality during exposure: 4,000-5,000 lx, continuous
	-Test design:	
	• Number of replicates	·
	· Concentrations:	One concentration of 5.00 mg/L, vehicle control and control were
		tested.
	$\cdot$ Initial cell number in o	$cells/mL: 1 \times 10^{+}$
RI	ESULTS	
•	Nominal concentrations (as r	ng/L): 5.00
•	Measured concentrations (a	s mg/L): 4.59 (Day 0)- 3.70 (Day 3)
•	Unit [results expressed in wl	nat unit]: Cell density (cells/mL)
•	Element value :	$ErC_{50} > 5.00 \text{ mg/L} (24-72 \text{ h}); \text{ NOEC}(r) > 5.00 \text{ mg/L},$
		$EbC_{50} > 5.00 \text{ mg/L} (0.72 \text{ h}); \text{ NOEC (b)} > 5.00 \text{ mg/L}$
		calculated based on nominal concentration
•	Was control response satisfa	
	-	control and 2.72 x $10^6$ cells/mL for vehicle control after 72 h
•	Statistical results :	Significant differences were not observed in area under growth
		curve and growth rate between values at 5.00 mg/L and in the
		<u> </u>

# Remarks field for Results:

### - Biological observations

 $\cdot$  Cell density at each flask at each measuring point:

vehicle control

	J		01			
Nominal	Measured	Cell concentration for each exposure				
concentratio	cocentration	$(x10^4 \text{ cells/mL})^c$				
n (mg/L)	(mg/L)	0 hour	24 hours	48 hours	72 hours	
Control	-	1.0	$6.9 \pm 0.3$	$41.0 \pm 2.6$	268.9±	
					7.8	
S.control <sup>a</sup>	-	1.0	$7.0 \pm 0.4$	$51.2 \pm 2.0$	271.6±	
					3.5	
5.00	4.59-3.70 <sup>b</sup>	1.0	$7.5 \pm 0.1$	54.7 ± 1.9	312.3±18.	
					9	

a : Solvent control

b : value at start and end of the test

 $c : mean \pm standard deviation$ 

 $\cdot$  Growth curves:

• Percent biomass/growth rate inhibition per concentration: -12.7 % for area under growth curve, 0.4 % for growth rate (24-48 h), -1.7 % growth rate (48-72 h)

**Analytical observations:** The concentration of the test substance in the test solution at the end of the test was 3.70 mg/L. The value was 74% and not within  $\pm 20\%$  of the nominal concentration. Precipitation or uptake to algal cells of the test substance might cause the reduction in the test substance concentration between freshly prepared and after 72 hours. The results were based on the nominal concentration because the test substance concentration at 0 hour was within  $\pm 20\%$  of the nominal concentration.

# CONCLUSIONS

HCO-40 was used in this test to prepare the test solution due to the substance with low water solubility and the limit test at 5.00 mg/L was performed in order to keep the recommended concentration of vehicle (i.e. 100 mg/L in the final test solution). There was no statistical significant difference in inhibition rate compared with the vehicle control and both  $EC_{50}$  (72h) and NOEC (72h) were greater than the concentration used in the limit test.

# DATA QUALITY

Reliabilities: Reliable without restrictions
Remarks field for Data Reliability:

### REFERENCES

Ministry of the Environment (MOE), Japan (1998), conducted by Mitsubishi Chemical Safety Institute Ltd., Japan

# OTHER

- Last changed:
- Order number for sorting:
- Remarks field for General Remarks

# CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES (E.G., DAPHNIA)

# **TEST SUBSTANCE**

Docosanoic acid ource: Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd., ot No. FBW01, purity ; 96.5 %, melting point ; 79.5 °C DECD TG 211 1-d reproduction test Yes 998 Measured by gas chromatography 4 times during the xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water) Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). Berni-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way ANOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison etreatment, breeding method: Supplied by NIES
ot No. FBW01, purity ; 96.5 %, melting point ; 79.5 °C DECD TG 211 1-d reproduction test Zes 998 Measured by gas chromatography 4 times during the xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water) Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). demi-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
DECD TG 211 1-d reproduction test Yes 998 Measured by gas chromatography 4 times during the xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water) Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). Berni-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
1-d reproduction test Yes 998 Measured by gas chromatography 4 times during the xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water) Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). Bemi-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
1-d reproduction test Yes 998 Measured by gas chromatography 4 times during the xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water) Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). Bemi-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
Ves 998 Measured by gas chromatography 4 times during the xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water) Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). Berni-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
998 Measured by gas chromatography 4 times during the xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water) Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). Bemi-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
Measured by gas chromatography 4 times during the xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water) Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). demi-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
Measured by gas chromatography 4 times during the xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water) Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). demi-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
<ul> <li>xposure (before and after the replacement of the test water)</li> <li><i>Daphnia magna</i>;</li> <li>btained from National Institute for environmental Studies</li> <li>NIES).</li> <li>demi-static (water renewal: 3 times a week)</li> <li>Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way</li> <li>NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison</li> </ul>
Daphnia magna; btained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). Berni-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way ANOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
brained from National Institute for environmental Studies NIES). Jemi-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
NIES). Jemi-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
Berni-static (water renewal: 3 times a week) Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way ANOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
Bartlett test for homogeneity of variances, one-way NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
NOVA, Dunnett or Williams test for multiple comparison
etreatment, breeding method: Supplied by NIES
etreatment, breeding method: Supplied by NIES
circainent, orecang method. Supplied by Mills
ion and stability: HCO-40 and DMF used
: 19.9-20.6 °C
0 mL test solution in a 100 mL glass beaker; 10 beakers
per treatment
Elendt M4
y: Hardness: 225-275 mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>
1,200 lx, 16h : 8h light-darkness cycle
: DO= 6.0-8.6 mg/L; pH=7.0-8.0
Chlorella vulgaris, 0.15 mgC/day/individual
ative numbers of juveniles produced per adult
iction)
······ ,
10
:1
.30, 0.55 and 1.00 mg/L, because $EC_{50}$ (48 h Immobilization test)
vas greater than 5.00 mg/L
ured concentrations : time-weighted mean
1 days
•
3-103 % of the nominal concentration at preparation; 71-98 % just efore the renewal of the test water.

#### RESULTS

Nominal concentrations (as mg/L): 0.30, 055, 1.00 ٠

#### • Measured concentrations (as mg/L):

Nominal					Measured	concentrat	ion (mg/L)				
	concentration (mg/L)	0 day <sup>a</sup>	2 days <sup>b</sup>	7 days <sup>a</sup>	9 days <sup>b</sup>	9 days <sup>a</sup>	12 days <sup>b</sup>	19 days <sup>a</sup>	21 days <sup>b</sup>	Mean <sup>c</sup>	
	Control	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	<0.06	< 0.06	-	
	S.control	<0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	<0.06	< 0.06	-	
	0.30	0.30	0.27	0.26	0.29	0.31	0.25	0.26	0.23	0.27	
	0.55	0.56	0.48	0.51	0.50	0.55	0.46	0.48	0.44	0.49	
	1.00	1.00	0.81	0.88	0.98	0.88	0.80	0.83	0.71	0.84	

a : freshly prepared test solution

b : old test solution before renewal

c: time-weighted mean

• Unit [results expressed in what unit]: Mean cumulative numbers of juveniles produced per live

adult after 21 d

> 0.84 mg/L, EC <sub>50</sub> (14 d, reproduction) ; $> 0.84$ mg/L, EC <sub>50</sub> (21 d,
reproduction); > 0.84 mg/L, LC <sub>50</sub> for parental <i>Daphnia</i> (14 d); >
0.84 mg/L, LC <sub>50</sub> for parental <i>Daphnia</i> (21 d); $> 0.84$ mg/L
calculated based on measured concentrations
Differences in mean cumulative numbers of young produced per
adult alive between vehicle control and Daphnia treated with 0.30,
0.55 and 1.00 mg/L were not statistically significant.

# • Remarks field for Results:

### - Biological observations

· Cumulative number of dead parental Daphnia:

Nominal	Measured	Cumulative number of dead parental Daphnia						
concentration (mg/L)	concentration (mg/L)	1 day	2 days	4 days	7 days	14 days	21 days	
Control	-	0	0	0	0	1	1	
S. Control <sup>a</sup>	-	0	0	0	0	2	2	
0.30	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	0	0	1	1	
0.55	0.49 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	0	0	2	2	
1.00	0.84 <sup>b</sup>	0	0	0	0	1	2	

a : Solvent control

b: time-weighted mean

### • Time of the first production of young:

	1	5 0			
Nominal	Measured	Time of the first production of young			
concentration (mg/L)	concentration (mg/L)	Min. (days)	Max. (days)		
Control	-	7	8		
S.control <sup>a</sup>	-	7	10		
0.30	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	7	10		
0.55	0.49 <sup>b</sup>	7	10		
1.00	0.84 <sup>b</sup>	7	11		

a : Solvent control

b: time-weighted mean

Nominal	Measured	Mean cumulative	numbers of young	
	concentration	produced per adult alive		
concentration (mg/L)	(mg/L)	14 days	21days	
Control	-	79.0	137.4	
S.control <sup>a</sup>	-	75.0	135.9	
0.30	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	82.7	146.7	
0.55	0.49 <sup>b</sup>	88.1	154.9	
1.00	0.84 <sup>b</sup>	73.4	137.5	

a : Solvent control

b: time-weighted mean

· Was control response satisfactory. Yes

### CONCLUSIONS

HCO-40 and DMF were used in this test to prepare the test solution due to the substance with low water solubility. There was no statistically significant difference in mean cumulative numbers of young produced per adult alive between the test concentrations and the vehicle control. Also, no significant difference between treated control, vehicle control and treated groups was observed on cumulative number of dead parental. Hence, both EC<sub>50</sub> (21d) and NOEC (21d) values of docosanoic acid on chronic *Daphnia* reproduction test were greater than 0.84 mg/L as the concentration of time-weighted mean.

### DATA QUALITY

Reliabilities:

Reliable without restrictions

• Remarks field for Data Reliability:

#### REFERENCES

Ministry of the Environment (MOE), Japan (1998), conducted by Mitsubishi Chemical Safety Institute, Ltd., Japan

#### OTHER

- Last changed:
- Order number for sorting:
- Remarks field for General Remarks:

# HEALTH ELEMENTS ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY

ТТ	EST SUBSTANCE	
•	Identity:	Docosanoic acid (CAS No 112-85-6)
•	Remarks:	Source: NOF CORPORATION, Lot No. 60805X, Purity:
		85.9 %,
		Impurities: $(C_{14}-C_{20})$ fatty acids (10.9 %) and $C_{24}$ fatty acid
		(2.3%), Kept at room temperature until use.
	ETHOD	
•	Method/guideline	OECD TG 401
•	Test type: GLP:	Acute oral toxicity Yes
•	GLP: Year:	1998
•	Species:	Rat
•	Species: Strain:	Cή:CD (SD)
•	Route of administration:	Oral (gavage)
•	Doses/concentration levels:	
•	Sex:	Male & female
•	Vehicle:	Corn oil
•	Control group and treatmen	
•	Post exposure observation	
•	Statistical methods:	Not applicable because of no fatality.
	<ul> <li>Age at study initiation</li> <li>No. of animals per set</li> </ul>	: 5 weeks ex per dose: 5 per sex per dose group
	Study Design	
	– Study Design: Vehicle:	Corn oil. 20 w/v% for 2,000 mg/kg.
		easons they were added: none
	<b>U</b>	performed and frequency:
		Clinical signs were observed continuously up to 1 hour after
		the treatment. Then each rat observed once an hour from 1
		to 6 hour and once a day from day 2 to day 15.
п		
KI	ESULTS	Mala > 2000 malka
•	LD 50:	Male: $> 2,000 \text{ mg/kg}$ Female: $> 2,000 \text{ mg/kg}$
		remate. > 2,000 mg/kg
RI	EMARKS FIELD FOR RESU There were no treatment-	
	– Body weight:	No compound-related effects were observed. Body weight
	Food/water concerns	changes in treated groups were similar to that of the control.
	<ul> <li>Food/water consump</li> <li>Clinical signs:</li> </ul>	Although soft faeces were observed in treated groups due to
	Chincal Signs,	the solvent consumption, the same finding was recognized in
		and solvent consumption, the same minding was recognized in

control group. The effects were considered to be attributed to

		corn oil as a vehicle.		
—	Haematology:	Not examined.		
—	<b>Biochemistry:</b>	Not examined.		
—	<b>Ophthalmologic find</b>	dings: Not examined		
—	Mortality and time to death: None			
_	Gross pathology inc	tidence and severity: No treatment-related abnormalities.		
_	Organ weight chang	ges: Not examined.		
—	Histopathology:	Not examined.		
CONCLU	SIONS			
Th	ere were no treatment rela	ated abnormalities. $LD_{50}$ is greater than 2,000 mg/kg for both		
sex	xes.			
DATA QU	ALITY			
Reliabi	lities:	Reliable without restriction		
• Remarks field for Data Reliability: Well conducted study, carried out by Hatano				
		Research Institute, Food and Drug Safety Center (Japan).		
REFEREN	ICES			
		Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW, former		
		MHW), Japan (1998), Toxicity Testing Reports of		
		Environmental Chemicals 6, 236-246.		
OTHER				
• Last changed:				
• Order number for sorting :				
Remarks field for General Remarks:				

# **REPEATED DOSE TOXICITY**

TECT CLIDCT ANOT				
TEST SUBSTANCE				
• Identity: Remarks:	Docosanoic acid (CAS No 112-85-6)			
Kemarks:	Source: NOF CORPORATION, Lot No. 60805X, Purity: $85.9\%$ , Impurities: (C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>20</sub> ) fatty acids (10.9%) and C <sub>24</sub> fatty acid			
	(2.3%), Kept at room temperature until use.			
	(2.570), Kept at toom temperature until use.			
METHOD				
Method/guideline:	OECD TG 422			
• Test type:	OECD Combined Repeat Dose and			
	Reproductive/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test			
• GLP:	Yes			
• Year:	1998			
• Species:	Rat			
• Strain:	Crj:CD (SD)			
Route of administration:	Oral (gavage)			
Duration of test:	Males: 43 days; Females: from 14 days prior to mating to day			
	4 of lactation			
• Doses/concentration levels:	0, 100, 300, 1,000 mg/kg/day (in corn oil)			
• Sex:	Male & female			
• Exposure period:	Males: 42 days; Females: from 14 days prior to mating to day			
	3 of lactation			
• Frequency of treatment:	Daily			
Control group and treatment				
Post exposure observation ]				
Statistical methods:	Dunnett's or Scheffe's test for continuous numerical data, Chi			
	square test for copulated index and fertility index, and Mann-			
	Whitney U test or Fisher's test for histopathological examination data.			
	uala.			
REMARKS FIELD FOR TEST	CONDITIONS			
- Test Subjects:				
• Age at study initiation:	8 weeks old			
• No. of animals per sex	per dose: 13 animals per sex per dose group			
– Study Design:				
· Vehicle:	Corn oil			
	asons they were added : none			
<b>0</b>	erformed and frequency: Clinical signs were observed at least			
	once a day, body weights were basically determined once a week.			
	Also, food consumption was measured nearly once a week except			
	for mating period.			
Hematologicalexaminations (only for males): Red blood cell count (RBC), white blood				
cell count (WBC), platlet count, hemoglobin (Hb), hematocrit				
(Ht), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular				
	hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration			
(MCHC), differentiation of leukocytes				
Blood chemical examinations (only for males): total protein, albumin, A/G, blood urea				
nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, glucose, total cholesterol, total				

	bilirubin, triglyceride, sodium (Na), potassium (K), chloride (Cl),	
	calcium (Ca), inorganic phosphorus (IP), alkaline phosphatase	
	(ALP), GPT, GOT, ?-GTP.	
Organs examined at necropsy: organ weights: heart, liver, kidneys, thymus, testes,		
	epididymides histopathological examinations: all animals in	
	control and 1,000 mg/kg, and any organs which have	
	histopathological changes at the higher doses: brain, heart, liver,	
	spleen, thymus, kidney, adrenal, testis, epididymis, urinary	
	bladder, ovary (only for females which were non pregnant or not	
	copulated).	
General remarks:	This study was conducted to examine both repeated dose toxicity	
	and reproductive/developmental toxicity as an OECD screening	
	combined study. Therefore, haematological and blood chemical	
	examinations, and urinalysis for females were not performed.	
	Functional observation, estrous cycle length and pattern, and	
	sperm examination were not performed because the test was	
	conducted by the TG adopted in 1990.	
RESULTS		
NOAEL	1,000 mg/kg/day	
LOAEL	>1,000 mg/kg/day	
	× 1,000 mg mg mg	

### **REMARKS FIELD FOR RESULTS**

There were no treatment-related adverse effects though some slight changes in blood biochemistry and histopathology in testes.

- **Body weight:** No treatment-related abnormalities.
- **Food/water consumption:** No treatment-related abnormalities.
- **Clinical signs:** No treatment-related abnormalities.
- Haematology:

<u>Males</u>: Decrease of MCHC at 300 and 1,000 mg/kg (p<0.01). However, this change in both groups was concluded as a casual one, because the degree of the change in both groups was very slight (the same 2.3 % decrease) and no other haematological changes were noted.

### Biochem:

<u>Males</u>: Decrease of serum ALP in treated groups (p < 0.05) and decrease of glucose at 1,000 mg/kg (p < 0.05). However, these changes were considered to be toxicologically meaningless ones since they were slight and related histopathological findings were not observed.

- Ophthalmologic findings: Not examined.
- Mortality and time to death: None
- Gross pathology incidence and severity: No treatment-related abnormalities.
- Organ weight changes: No statistically significant differences from
  - controls in any organs.

### - Histopathology:

<u>Male s</u>: No treatment-related abnormalities in heart, liver, spleen, kidneys, adrenals and epididymides. In testes, atrophy of seminiferous tube was recognized in two of thirteen at 1,000 mg/kg group, but they were considered not to be treatment related specific findings, because they were slight and sometimes observed in historical control data in the laboratory conducting this study. No abnormalities detected in brain, thymus and urinary bladder. <u>Female s</u>: No treatment-related abnormalities in brain, liver, spleen, thymus, kidneys and adrenals. No abnormalities detected in heart, urinary bladder and

# ovaries.

No treatment related adverse effects were found in either dose group up to 1,000 mg/kg. NOAEL is estimated to be 1,000 mg/kg/day for this repeated dose toxicity study.

# DATA QUALITY

CONCLUSIONS

- Reliabilities: Reliable without restriction.
- **Remarks field for Data Reliability:** Well conducted study, carried out by Hatano Research Institute, Food and Drug Safety Center (Japan).

REFERENCES

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW, former MHW), Japan (1998), Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 236-246.

#### OTHER

- Last changed:
- Order number for sorting:
- Remarks field for General Remarks:

# TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION/DEVELOPMENT

TEST SUBSTANCE		
• Identity: Docosanoic acid (CAS No 112-85-6)		
Remarks:	Source: NOF CORPORATION, Lot No. 60805X, Purity:	
- Kennar No.	85.9 %, Impurities: $C_{14}$ - $C_{20}$ fatty acids (10.9 %) and $C_{24}$ fatty	
	acid (2.3 %), Kept at room temperature until use	
METHOD		
Method/guideline	OECD TG 422	
• Test type	OECD Combined Repeat Dose and	
	Reproductive/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test	
• GLP (Y/N):	Yes	
• Year (study performed):	1998	
• Species:	Rat	
• Strain:	Crj;CD (SD)	
Route of administration:	Oral (gavage)	
• Doses/concentration levels:	0, 100, 300, 1,000 mg/kg/day (in corn oil)	
• Vehicle:	Corn oil	
• Sex:	Male & Female	
• Exposure period:	Males: 42 days; Females: from 14 days prior to mating to day 3	
	of lactation.	
• Frequency of treatment:	Daily	
Control group and treatment	t: Concurrent vehicle	
• Duration of test:	Males: 43 days; Females: from 14 days prior to mating to day 4	
	of lactation.	
Statistical methods:	Dunnett's or Scheffe's test for continuous data, Chi square test	
	for copulated index and fertility index, and Mann-Whitney U	
	test or Fisher's test for histopathological examination data.	
REMARKS FIELDS FOR TES	T CONDITIONS	
	8 week old for both sexes. Males were killed on the day after	
	Females were sacrificed on the day 4 of lactation. Females with	
<b>A</b>	ays after the delivery expected date. Females with no copulation	
were sacrificed at the term		
<ul> <li>Weight at study initiation</li> </ul>	: 312.1-363.7 g for males, 205.3 - 230.8 g for females	
<ul> <li>Number of animals per of</li> </ul>		
– Mating procedures:	Male/female per cage; 1/1, length of cohabitation; at the most 14	
	days, until proof of pregnancy (formation of vaginal closing or	
	sperm detection in vagina)	
<ul> <li>Clinical observations per</li> </ul>		
	Parent: Performed once a day	
Paramators assassed durin	Foetus: Performed once a day after birth g study: Body wt (basically once a week), food consumption (basically	
	once a week), No. of pairs with successful copulation, copulation index	
	(No. of pairs with successful copulation/No. of pairs mated x 100),	
	pairing days until copulation, frequency of vaginal estrus, No. of	
	pregnant females, fertility index = (No. of pregnant animals/No. of	
	pairs with successful copulation x 100), No. of corpora lutea, No. of	
	implantation sites, implantation index (No. of implantation sites/No. of	
l	corpora lutea x 100), No. of living pregnant females, No. of pregnant	

females with parturition, gestation length, No. of pregnant females with live pups on day 0, gestation index (No. of females with live pups/No. of living pregnant females x 100), No. of pregnant females with live pups on day 4, delivery index (No. of pups born/No. of implantation sites x 100), No. of pups alive on day 0 of lactation, live birth index (No. of live pups on day 0/No. of pups born x 100), sex ratio (Total No. of male pups/Total No. of female pups), No. of pups alive on day 4 of lactation, viability index (No. of live pups on day 4/No. of live pups on day 0 x 100), body wt. of live pups (on day 0 and 4).

#### - Organs examined at necropsy:

Parent: organ weight: heart, liver, kidneys, thymus, testes, epididymidesHistopathological examinations: all animals in control and 1,000 mg/kg, and any organs which have histopathological changes at the higher doses: brain, heart, liver, spleen, thymus, kidney, adrenal, testis, epididymis, urinary bladder, ovary (only for females which were non pregnant or not copulated).

Foetal: full macroscopic examinations on all of pups

### RESULTS

- NOAEL (NOEL) and LOAEL (LOEL) maternal toxicity: NOAEL: 1,000 mg/kg/day
- NOAEL (NOEL) and LOAEL (LOEL) foetal toxicity: NOAEL: 1,000 mg/kg/day
- Actual dose received by dose level by sex, if available: 0, 100, 300, 1,000 mg/kg/day for both sexes
- Maternal data with dose level (with NOAEL value): No abnormalities were found in all
   reproductive parameters (fertility index, number of
   implantations and implantation index) in each dose group.
- Foetal data with dose level (with NOAEL value): No abnormalities were found in all indexes (No. of pups born, No. of pups alive, pups weight, sex ratio, viability etc.) obtained from pups in each dose group.
- Statistical results, as appropriate: All of the above changes were not statistically significant.
- Remarks for Results.
  - Mortality and day of death: No deaths occurred in all dams through the study period.
  - **Body weight:** No stat. sig. difference from controls
  - Food/water consumption: No stat. sig. difference from controls
  - **Reproductive data:** No stat. sig. difference from controls
  - Fetal data: No stat. sig. difference from controls

### Grossly visible abnormalities, and external abnormalities

No abnormalities were found in all pups examined in either the external observation at day 0 or the autopsy performed at day 4 after birth.

### CONCLUSIONS

There were no treatment related abnormalities. NOAELs for both maternal and foetal toxicity are 1,000 mg/kg/day.

### DATA QUALITY

- **Reliabilities:** Reliable without restriction.
- Remarks field for Data Reliability:

Well conducted study, carried out by Hatano Research Institute, Food and Drug Safety Center (Japan).

# **REFERENCES** (Free Text)

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW, former MHW), Japan (1998), Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 236-246.

**OTHER** 

- Last changed:
- Order number for sorting:Remarks field for General Remarks:

# GENETIC TOXICITY IN VITRO (BACTERIAL TEST)

TEST SUBSTANCE		
• Identity:	Docosanoic acid (CAS No 112-85-6)	
Remarks:	Source: NOF CORPORATION, Lot No. 60805X,	
	Purity: 85.9 %, Impurities: $C_{14}$ - $C_{20}$ fatty acids (10.9 %) and $C_{24}$	
	fatty acid (2.3 %), Kept at room temperature until use	
METHOD		
Method/guideline:	OECD TG 471 and 472, and Japanese Guidelines for	
	Screening Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals	
• Test type:	Reverse mutation assay	
• •		
• GLP:	Yes	
• Year:	1998	
• Species/Strain:	Salmonella typhimurium TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537	
	Escherichia coli WP2 uvrA	
Metabolic activation:	S9 from rat liver, induced with phenobarbital	
	and 5,6-benzoflavone	
• Statistical methods:	No statistic analysis	
<b>REMARKS FIELD FOR TES</b>	T CONDITIONS	
– Study Design:		
Concentration:	-S9: 0, 156, 313, 625, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000 ug /plate	
	+S9: 0, 156, 313, 625, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000 ug /plate	
Number of replicates:	2	
Plates/test:	3	
Procedure:	Pre-incubation	
Solvent:	DMSO	
Positive controls:	-S9 mix; 2-(2-Furyl)-3-(5-nitro-2-furyl) acrylamide	
	(TA100, TA98, WP2 uvrA), Sodium azide (TA1535)	
	and 9-Aminoacridine hydrochloride(TA1537)	
	+S9 mix; 9-Aminoa nthracene (all strains)	
RESULTS		
Cytotoxic concentration:		
	Toxicity was not observed up to 5,000 ug/plate in all strains	
	with or without S9 mix.	
Genotoxic effects:		
• Genotoxic enects.	+ ? -	
– With metabolic activation:	[] [] [X]	
<ul> <li>With metabolic activation</li> <li>Without metabolic activation</li> </ul>		
REMARKS FIELD FOR RES	ULTS	
CONCLUSIONS		
	tation tests showed negative results with and without metabolic	
activation.		
DATA QUALITY		
Reliabilities:	Reliable without restriction.	
Remarks field for Data Relia		
	Research Center, Foods, Drugs and Pesticides (Japan).	

**REFERENCES** (Free Text):

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW, former MHW), Japan (1998), Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 236-246.

# OTHER

- Last changed:
- Order number for sorting:
  Remarks field for General Remarks:

# GENETIC TOXICITY IN VITRO (NON-BACTERIAL IN VITRO TEST)

EST SUBSTANCE	
Identity:	Docosanoic acid (CAS No 112-85-6)
Remarks:	Source: NOF CORPORATION, Lot No. 60805X, Purity:
	85.9%, Impurities: $C_{14}$ - $C_{20}$ fatty acids (10.9%) and $C_{24}$ fatty
	acid (2.3 %), Kept at room temperature until use.
IETHOD	
Method/guideline:	OECD TG 473 and Japanese Guidelines for Screening
	Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals
Test type:	Chromosomal aberration test
GLP:	Yes
Year:	1998
Species/Strain:	CHL/IU cells
Metabolic activation:	S9 from rat liver, induced with phenobarbital and 5,6-
	benzoflavone
Statistical methods:	No statistic analysis
EMARKS FIELD FOR TE	ST CONDITIONS
<ul> <li>Study Design:</li> </ul>	
	cells were treated for 24 or 48 hrs without S9. For short-term
	ed for 6 hrs with and without S9 and cultivated with fresh media
for 18 hrs.	
Concentration:	-S9 (24hr continuous treatment): 0, 350, 700, 1,400, 2,800 ug/mL
	-S9 (48hr continuous treatment): 0, 288, 575, 1,150, 2,300 ug/mL
-	-S9 (short-term treatment): 0, 875, 1,750, 3,500 ug/mL
+	-S9 (short-term treatment): 0, 875, 1,750, 3,500 ug/mL
	2
	1 % Carboxymethylcellulose sodium
	9 mix; Mitomycin C
+	-S9 mix; Cyclophosphamide
RESULTS	
Cytotoxic concentration:	
	f cell proliferation was observed at 2,703 ug/mL for 24hr
	1 at 2,242 ug/mL for 48hr continuous treatment, respectively.
	on was not observed in short-term treatment with or without S9
mix.	
Constavia offector	alastaganiaity naturalaidy
Genotoxic effects:	clastogenicity polyploidy + ? - + ? -
<ul> <li>With metabolic activation:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>With metabolic activation:</li> <li>Without metabolic activati</li> </ul>	
	տու լյ լյ լΔյ լյ [Δ]
REMARKS FIELD FOR RE	SULTS.
	aberrations and polyploidy were not induced up to a maximum
	stance under conditions of continuous treatment, and short-term
	but an exogenous metabolic activation system.
	an enegenous memorie activation system.

# CONCLUSIONS

Chromosomal aberration test in CHL/IU cells was negative with and without metabolic

activation.		
DATA QUALITY		
• Reliabilities:	Reliable without restriction.	
• <b>Remarks field for Data Reliability:</b> Well conducted study, carried out by Biosafety		
	Research Center, Foods, Drugs and Pesticides (Japan).	
<b>REFERENCES</b> (Free Text	):	
	Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW, former	
	MHW), Japan (1998), Toxicity Testing Reports of	
	Environmental Chemicals 6, 236-246.	
OTHER		
• Las	st changed:	
	der number for sorting:	
	marks field for General Remarks:	