**FOREWORD** 

**INTRODUCTION** 

**SODIUM CARBONATE** CAS N<sup>•</sup>: 497-19-8

# SIDS Initial Assessment Report For SIAM 15 (Boston, USA, 22-25 October 2002)

Chemical Name:	Sodium carbonate
CAS No.:	497-19-8
Sponsor country:	Belgium
National SIDS Contact Poin	<b>It:</b> Dr. T. Lakhanisky Ministry of Social Affairs, Public Health and Environment Scientific Institute of Public Health – Division Toxicology Rue J. Wytsman 16, B-1050 Brussels Tel. + 32 2 642 5104, fax. + 32 2 642 5224, e-mail: t.lakhanisky@iph.fgov.be
Process:	The draft dossier was prepared by a consultant (TNO Chemistry, Zeist, The Netherlands). After a quality check of the IUCLID, SIAR, SIAP and Summary Table by the industry, the dossier was submitted in June 2002 to the sponsor country. On behalf of the sponsor country 2 experts (human health, environment) reviewed the dossier. The sponsor country and the industry consortium leader had been working together already for another ICCA HPV chemical (KOH), which facilitated the process.
History:	The substance is an ICCA HPV chemical. Industry did the literature search and collected all references. The consultant received the literature and prepared the draft dossier. The dossier of sodium bicarbonate (CAS number 144-55-8) was developed in parallel using a similar procedure.
No new SIDS testing cond	ucted (X)
New SIDS Testing conduc	ted ()
Comments:	
Date of first Submission: 6	August 2002

# SIDS INITIAL ASSESSMENT PROFILE

CAS No.	497-19-8
Chemical Name	Sodium carbonate
Structural Formula	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>

# SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS OF THE SIAR

Sodium carbonate has a melting point of  $851^{\circ}$ C, it decomposes when heated and therefore a boiling point can not be determined. Sodium carbonate is an inorganic salt and therefore the vapour pressure can be considered negligible. Its water solubility is 215 g/l at 20°C. The average particle size diameter (d<sub>50</sub>) of light sodium carbonate is in the range of 90 to 150 µm and of dense sodium carbonate is in the range of 250 to 500 µm.

#### Human Health

Sodium carbonate is an alkaline substance. The acute oral LD  $_{50}$  in rats is 2,800 mg/kg bw, while the dermal LD  $_{50}$  in rats is >2,000 mg/kg bw. The LC50s for inhalation are 800, 1200 and 2300 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for guinea pig, mice and rat respectively. Sodium carbonate has no or a low skin irritation potential but it is considered irritating to the eyes. Due to the alkaline properties an irritation of the respiratory tract is also possible.

No valid animal data are available on repeated dose toxicity studies by oral, dermal, inhalation or by other routes for sodium carbonate. A repeated dose inhalation study, which was not reported in sufficient detail, revealed local effects on the lungs which could be expected based on the alkaline nature of the compound. Under normal handling and use conditions neither the concentration of sodium in the blood nor the pH of the blood will be increased and therefore sodium carbonate is not expected to be systemically available in the body. It can be stated that the substance will neither reach the foetus nor reach male and female reproductive organs, which shows that there is no risk for developmental toxicity and no risk for toxicity to reproduction. This was confirmed by a developmental study with rabbits, rats and mice. An *in vitro* mutagenicity test with bacteria was negative and based on the structure of sodium carbonate no genotoxic effects are expected.

#### Environment

The hazard of sodium carbonate for the environment is mainly caused by the pH effect of the carbonate ion. For this reason the effect of sodium carbonate on the organisms depends on the buffer capacity of the aquatic or terrestrial ecosystem. Also the variation in acute toxicity for aquatic organisms may be explained for a significant extent by the variation in buffer capacity of the test medium. In general, mortality of the test organisms was found at concentrations higher than 100 mg/l but for Amphipoda, salmon and trout lethal effects were already observed at 67-80 mg/l although these studies had a low reliability.

Individual aquatic ecosystems are characterized by a specific pH and bicarbonate concentration and the organisms of the ecosystem are adapted to these specific natural conditions. Because the natural pH, bicarbonate and also the sodium concentration (and their fluctuations in time) varies significantly between aquatic ecosystems, it is not considered useful to derive a generic PNEC or  $PNEC_{added}$ . To assess the potential environmental effect of a sodium carbonate discharge, the increase in sodium, bicarbonate and pH should be compared with the natural values and their fluctuations and based on this comparison it should be assessed if the anthropogenic addition is acceptable.

The production and use of sodium carbonate could potentially result in an emission of sodium carbonate and it could locally increase the pH in the aquatic environment. However, the pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be adapted easily and therefore a significant increase of the pH of the receiving water is not expected. If emissions of waste water are controlled by appropriate pH limits and/or dilutions in relation to the natural pH and buffering capacity of the receiving water, adverse effects on the aquatic environment are not expected due to production or use of sodium carbonate.

Aquatic sodium emissions originating from uses of sodium carbonate are probably small compared to other sources. It is clear that an environmental hazard assessment of sodium should not only evaluate all natural and anthropogenic sources of sodium but should also evaluate all other ecotoxicity studies with sodium salts, which is beyond the scope of this report.

#### Exposure

Sodium carbonate is produced on all continents of the world and the global number of production sites is estimated to be 50-70. The total world demand of sodium carbonate in 1999 was 33.4 million metric tonnes.

Sodium carbonate is used for the production of glass, soaps and detergents and other chemicals and it also used by the 'metals and mining' industry and the 'pulp and paper' industry. Sodium carbonate is not only used by industry but is also used by consumers. It may be used directly in solutions of sodium carbonate for soaking of clothes, dishwashing, floor washing and for degreasing operations but it is also present in a large number of consumer products like cosmetics, soaps, scouring powders, soaking and washing powders. Sodium carbonate is also a food additive.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

The chemical is currently of low priority for further work.

#### **RATIONALE FOR THE RECOMMENDATION AND NATURE OF FURTHER WORK RECOMMENDED**

This chemical is currently of low priority for further work because of its low hazard potential. However, reversible eye and respiratory tract irritation is noted.

CARI	N° 497-19-8	FULL SIDS S	RESULTS		
		PROTOCOL	KESUL15		
PHY	SICO-CHEMICAL				
2.1	Melting point	No data	851°C		
2.2	Boiling point	No data	Decomposition		
2.3	Density	No data	2.532 (at 20°C)		
2.4	Vapour pressure	No data	Negligible, ionizable inorganic	compound	
2.5	Partition coefficient	No data	Not relevant, ionizable inorgan	ic compound	
2.6	Water solubility	No data	215 g/l (at 20°C)		
2.11	Oxidising properties	No data	Notoxidizing		
2.12	Additional remarks	Strong alkaline compound	with a pH of 11.6 for a 0.1M a	queous solution.	
	RONMENTAL FATE AND IWAY				
3.1.1	Photodegradation	Not applicable			
3.1.2	Stability in water		lsorb to particulate matter, but 1	remains in the equation	
5.1.4	Subility in water		ate ions will re-equilibrate until		
		established.	and some with the equilibrate until	an equilibrium is	
		The main equilibria are:			
		$HCO_3^- \leftrightarrow CO_3^{2-} + H$	$^{+}$ pKa = 10.35		
		$CO_2 + H_2O \leftrightarrow HCO_3 +$			
			e incorporated into the inorgani	c and organic carbon	
		cycle.			
3.2	Monitoring data		bicarbonate concentration for a		
		rivers in North-America, South-America, Asia, Africa, Europe and Oceania. The			
		$10^{\text{th}}$ -percentile, mean and $90^{\text{th}}$ -percentile were 20, 106 and 195 mg/l,			
		respectively.			
			was reported for a total number		
		and South America, Africa	, Asia, Europe and Oceania, wi	ith a 10 <sup>m</sup> -percentile of	
2.2			nd 90 <sup>th</sup> -percentile of 68 mg/l (U	JNEP, 1995).	
3.3	Transport and Distribution	Not applicable.	• 1		
3.5	Biodegradation	Not applicable, as it is an in		T	
ECO	FOXICOLOGY	SPECIES	PROTOCOL	RESULTS	
4.1	Acute/prolonged toxicity to	Mosquitofish Gambusia	96 hour median tolerance	TLm (LC50) 96 hr: 740	
	fish	affinis	limit test	mg/l	
		Bluegill sunfish Lepomis	96 hour exposure, three	TLm: 300 mg/l for all	
		macrochirus	different size ranges	three sizes	
			120.1		
		Minnows <i>Notropis a. atherinoides</i> and spotfin	120 hr exposure	Minimum lethal	
		shiners Notropis		concentrations: 250 mg/l	
		spilopterus			
4.2	Acute toxicity to aquatic	Cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	48 hr immobilisation test	EC50 200 and 227 mg/l	
	invertebrates	cf. Dubia	.o in minisonisutori dot	2000 200 und 227 mg/1	
4.3	Toxicity to aquatic plants	No data available	<b>I</b>		
	e.g. algae				
4.5.2	Chronic toxicity to aquatic	No data available			
	invertebrates				
4.6	Toxicity to terrestrial	No data available			
	organisms				

MAM	MALIAN TOXICOLOGY	SPECIES	PROTOCOL	RESULTS
5.1.1	Acute Oral	Rat	No data	LD50: 2800 mg/kg bw
5.1.2	Acute Inhalation	Rat Mouse Guinea pig	Dose range tested: 800-4600 mg/m <sup>3</sup> 600-3000 mg/m <sup>3</sup> 500-3000 mg/m <sup>3</sup> whole-body exposure,	LC50: 2300 mg/m <sup>3</sup> LC50: 1200 mg/m <sup>3</sup> LC50: 800 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
5.1.3	Acute Dermal	Rabbit	2 hours, aerosols EPA 16 CFR 1500.40	LD50: >2000 mg/kg bw
5.2.1	Skin irritation/corrosion	Rabbit	EPA 16 CFR 1500.40 EPA 16 CFR 1500.3	Not irritating
		Rabbit	Comparable to OECD 404	Not irritating
5.2.2	Eye irritation/Corrosion	Rabbit	EPA 16 CFR 1500.42	Irritating
		Rabbit	Comparable to OECD 405	Conjunctival redness and chemosis
		Rabbit	Comparable to OECD 405	Highly irritating
5.3	Sensitisation	No data available		
5.4	Repeated dose	Rat	$70 \pm 2.9 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , 4h/day, 5 days/week for 3.5 months, particle size = 5 $\mu$ m	Histopathological changes in respiratory tract at 70 mg/m <sup>3</sup> ; No effects at 10-20 mg/m <sup>3</sup> in preliminary study.
5.5	Genetic Toxicity In vitro	Escherichia coli	Chromotest –S9, 0.11- 11000 µg/ml, triplicate	No induction of DNA damage
5.6	Genetic Toxicity In vivo	No data available		
5.7	Reproduction Toxicity	No data available		
5.8	Developmental Toxicity	Mouse Rat Rabbit	Dose range tested: 3.4-340 mg/kg 2.45-245 mg/kg 1.79-179 mg/kg Oral intubation	No effects on implantation, survival of dams/foetuses, or incidence of tissue anomalies.
5.11	Human experience	Some data are available carbonate was not irrita	e on skin irritation tests with h	uman volunteers. Sodium

# **SIDS Initial Assessment Report**

## 1. **IDENTITY**

Name:	Sodium carbonate
CAS number:	497-19-8
EINECS number:	207-838-8
Molecular formula:	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>
Molecular weight:	106
Synonyms:	A synonym, which is widely used, is soda ash. Other synonyms are carbonic acid disodium salt, disodium carbonate, calcined soda (Clayton and Clayton, 1993; The Merck Index, 1983; Johnson and Swanson, 1987).

In addition to the anhydrous form, the monohydrate form (CAS nr. 5968-11-6) and the decahydrate form (CAS nr. 6132-02-1) do exist and are placed on the market in very small quantities (compared to the anhydrous form). Sodium carbonate decahydrate is known as sal soda or washing soda. Although sodium carbonate monohydrate has a different CAS number than anhydrous sodium carbonate, several studies on the sodium carbonate monohydrate have been included in this dossier because the intrinsic properties are expected to be similar to the anhydrate.

# 1.1 Composition

Sodium carbonate is a white, crystalline and hygroscopic powder with a purity of > 98 %. There are two forms of sodium carbonate available, light soda and dense soda. Impurities of sodium carbonate may include water (< 1.5 %), sodium chloride (< 0.5 %), sulphate (< 0.1 %), calcium (< 0.1 %), magnesium (< 0.1 %) and iron (< 0.004 %). The purity and the impurity profile depends on the composition of the raw materials, the production process and the intended use of the product. For example the purity of the pharmaceutical grade must be higher than 99.5 % in Europe (Pharmacopée Européenne, 1996).

# **1.2** Physical chemical properties

Sodium carbonate has a melting point of 851°C (CRC Handbook, 1986; The Merck Index, 1983), it decomposes when heated at > 400 °C and therefore a boiling point cannot be determined. Sodium carbonate is an inorganic salt and therefore the vapour pressure can be considered negligible. Its density is 2.532 (20°C) and its water solubility is 71 g/l water at 0°C, 215 g/l water at 20°C and 455 g/l water at 100°C (CRC Handbook, 1986). The octanol water partition coefficient (log Pow) is not relevant for an inorganic substance which dissociates. The average particle size diameter (d<sub>50</sub>) of light sodium carbonate is in the range of 90 to 150  $\mu$ m and of dense sodium carbonate is in the range of 250 to 500  $\mu$ m.

Sodium carbonate is a strong alkaline compound with a pH of 11.6 for a 0.1M aqueous solution (The Merck Index, 1983; Johnson and Swanson, 1987). The pKa of  $CO_3^{2^2}$  is 10.33, which means that at a pH of 10.33 both carbonate and bicarbonate are present in equal amounts.

# 2. GENERAL INFORMATION ON EXPOSURE

Sodium carbonate is produced on all continents of the world and the global number of production sites is estimated to be 50-70. The total world demand of sodium carbonate in 1999 was 33.4 million metric tons (Morrin, 2000).

Sodium carbonate can be produced from minerals which contain sodium carbonate. It is present in large deposits in Africa and the United States as either carbonate or trona, a mixed ore of equal molar amounts of the carbonate and bicarbonate. However, about 70 % of the world production capacity of sodium carbonate is manufactured by the Solvay (ammonia soda) process, whereby ammonia is added to a solution of sodium chloride. Carbon dioxide is then bubbled through to precipitate the bicarbonate, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The sodium bicarbonate is decomposed by heat producing sodium carbonate. The traditional Solvay process is utilised in most parts of the world, with the exception of the U.S., where all production is based on the minerals which contain sodium carbonate. Different qualities of the sodium carbonate are produced based on the final use of the substance (Morrin, 2000; Clayton and Clayton, 1993).Technical, food and pharmaceutical grades are placed on the market.

Globally the major end uses for soda ash are (Morrin, 2000):

- container glass (28 %)
- flat glass (16 %)
- chemicals (18%)
- soaps and detergents (10 %)
- other glass (7 %)
- metals and mining (3 %)
- pulp and paper (2%)
- others (16 %)

The glass industry is by far the largest single demand sector consuming more than half of the soda ash produced (51%). About 18% of soda ash production is used in the chemical sector, including the production of sodium chromate, sodium silicate and sodium bicarbonate. In the detergent sector, soda ash is used either directly as a builder in detergent formulations, or indirectly in the production of other chemicals used as builders such as sodium tripolyphosphate (STPP) and sodium silicates. Soda ash has environmental applications in effluent and in acid waste neutralisation and is used as a source of alkalinity, in the pulp and paper sectors, in the textiles industry and for brine purification (Morrin, 2000).

The product sodium carbonate is not only used by industry but is also used by consumers. It may be used directly in solutions of sodium carbonate for soaking of clothes, dishwashing, floor washing and for degreasing operations. Furthermore, a large number of consumer products like cosmetics, soaps, scouring powders, soaking and washing powders etc. contain a varying proportion of sodium carbonate. It is also regarded as a 'Generally Recognised as Safe' (GRAS) substance in food with no limitation other than current good manufacturing practice (CFR, 1999).

# 2.1 Environmental Exposure and Fate

The high water solubility and low vapour pressure indicate that sodium carbonate will be found predominantly in the aquatic environment. In water, sodium carbonate dissociates into sodium and carbonate and both ions will not adsorb on particulate matter or surfaces and will not accumulate in living tissues. An emission of sodium carbonate to water will result in an increase in alkalinity and a tendency to raise the pH value.

The carbonate ions will react with water, resulting in the formation of bicarbonate and hydroxide, until an equilibrium is established (McKee et al., 1963). It is obvious that both the sodium and bicarbonate ion have a wide natural occurrence (UNEP, 1995).

# Background concentration of carbonate

If carbonate is dissolved in water a re-equilibration takes place according to the following equations:

 $\begin{array}{ccc} HCO_3^- & \leftrightarrow CO_3^{2^-} + H^+ \\ CO_2 + H_2O & \leftrightarrow HCO_3^- + H^+ \end{array} & pKa = 10.33 \\ pKa = 6.35 \end{array}$ 

Only a small fraction of the dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> is present as  $H_2CO_3$ , the major part is present as CO<sub>2</sub>. The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> in water is in equilibrium with the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere. The CO<sub>2</sub> / HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> / CO<sub>3</sub><sup>-2</sup> equilibria are the major buffer of the pH of freshwater and seawater throughout the world.

Based on the above equations,  $CO_2$  is the predominant species at a pH smaller than 6.35, while  $HCO_3^-$  is the predominant species at a pH in the range of 6.35-10.33 and  $CO_3^{-2-}$  is the predominant species at a pH higher than 10.33.

The natural concentration of  $\text{CO}_2 / \text{HCO}_3^- / \text{CO}_3^{2-}$  in freshwater is influenced by geochemical and biological processes. Many minerals are deposited as salts of the carbonate ion and for this reason the dissolution of these minerals is a continuous source of carbonate in freshwater. Carbon dioxide is produced in aquatic ecosystems from microbial decay of organic matter. On the other hand plants utilise dissolved carbon dioxide for the synthesis of biomass (photosynthesis). Because many factors influence the natural concentration of  $\text{CO}_2 / \text{HCO}_3^- / \text{CO}_3^{2-}$  in freshwater, significant variations of the concentrations do occur.

If the pH is between 7 and 9 then the bicarbonate ion is the most important species responsible for the buffer capacity of aquatic ecosystems. UNEP (1995) reported the bicarbonate concentration for a total number of 77 rivers in North-America, South-America, Asia, Africa, Europe and Oceania. The  $10^{\text{th}}$ -percentile, mean and  $90^{\text{th}}$ -percentile were 20, 106 and 195 mg/l, respectively.

# Background concentration of sodium

The sodium ion is ubiquitously present in the environment and it has been measured extensively in aquatic ecosystems. Sodium and chloride concentrations in water are tightly linked. They both originate from natural weathering of rock, from atmospheric transport of oceanic inputs and from a wide variety of anthropogenic sources. The sodium concentration was reported for a total number of 75 rivers in North and South America, Africa, Asia, Europe and Oceania, with a 10<sup>th</sup> percentile of 1.5 mg/l, mean of 28 mg/l and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of 68 mg/l (UNEP, 1995).

# Anthropogenic addition of sodium carbonate

The use of sodium carbonate could potentially result in an aquatic emission of sodium carbonate and it could locally increase the sodium and carbonate concentration in the aquatic environment. Specific analytical data or other reliable data about the use of sodium carbonate and the related emissions of sodium and carbonate have not been found.

As indicated before, the emission of sodium carbonate to the aquatic environment will increase the pH of the water. To underline the importance of the buffer capacity, a table is included with the concentration of sodium carbonate needed to increase the pH to a value of 9.0, 10.0 and 11.0 at different bicarbonate concentrations. The data of Table 1 were based on calculations (De Groot et al., 2002).

Table 1: Concentration of sodium carbonate (mg/l) ne eded to increase the pH to values of 9.0, 10.0 and 11.0 (De Groot et al., 2002).

Buffer capacity <sup>A</sup>		Final pH <sup>B</sup>	
	9.0	10.0	11.0
$0 \text{ mg/l HCO}_3^-$	1.1 (0.6)	16 (6.1)	603 (61)
(distilled water)			
$20 \text{ mg/l HCO}_3$	2.7 (21)	32 (26)	766 (81)
$(10^{th} \text{ percentile of } 77 \text{ rivers})$			
106 mg/l HCO <sub>3</sub>	9.7 (107)	102 (112)	1467 (167)
(mean value of 77 rivers)			
195 mg/l HCO <sub>3</sub>	17 (196)	175 (201)	2192 (256)
(90 <sup>th</sup> percentile of 77 rivers)			

<sup>A</sup> The initial pH of a bicarbonate solution with a concentration of 20 - 195 mg/l is 8.3 (calculated). <sup>B</sup> Between brackets the final concentration of bicarbonate is given.

# 2.2 Human exposure

The substance has been used for a long time, but no accidental exposures have been reported in the medical literature. The production and use of sodium carbonate may result in inhalation, dermal and/or oral exposure.

# Inhalation

Inhalation of sodium carbonate dust may occur due to occupational exposure to sodium carbonate. Light soda might reach the upper respiratory tract and will then mainly be deposited there, due to the diameter size (see 1.2). It will hardly be able to reach the lower respiratory tract. Dense soda will hardly be able to reach the respiratory tract at all, due to its diameter size and hygroscopic properties. Inhalation is normally considered negligible for consumer applications due to the low exposure duration and due to the negligible dust formation for most of the products which contain sodium carbonate (e.g. cosmetics, liquid cleaning products).

# Dermal exposure

Dermal exposure to sodium carbonate may occur during production and use of the (pure) product sodium carbonate. The pure product is also available to consumers. Solutions of sodium carbonate in water may be used by consumers for soaking of clothes, dishwashing, floor washing and for degreasing operations. Furthermore sodium carbonate is present in many household cleaning products and this can result in dermal exposure. It is also used in cosmetics mostly in bath, skin and hair preparations in concentrations from smaller than 0.1% to concentrations in the range of 10 to 25%. These products may be expected to remain in contact with the skin for an hour at most and may be used repeatedly over a period of many years.

# Oral exposure

Sodium carbonate is used in many countries (e.g. USA and EU) as a food additive. Sodium carbonate is a 'GRAS' direct human food ingredient, with no limitations other than current good manufacturing practices (CFR, 1999).

# 3. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARDS

 $Na_2CO_3$  has been used for many applications, in large number of countries and for a long period of time. The major human health hazard (and the mode of action) of  $Na_2CO_3$  is local irritation and therefore a separate section on skin and eye irritation/corrosion was included in the SIAR, although irritation/corrosion is not a SIDS element.

# 3.1 Toxicokinetics, metabolism and mechanism of action

The major extracellular buffer in the blood and the interstitial fluid of vertebrates is the bicarbonate buffer system, described by the following equation:

 $H_2O + CO_2 \leftrightarrow H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow H^+ + HCO_3\text{-}$ 

Carbon dioxide from the tissues diffuses rapidly into red blood cells, where it is hydrated with water to form carbonic acid. This reaction is accelerated by carbonic anhydrase, an enzyme present in high concentrations in red blood cells. The carbonic acid formed dissociates into bicarbonate and hydrogen ions. Most of the bicarbonate ions diffuse into the plasma. Since the ratio of  $H_2CO_3$  to dissolved  $CO_2$  is constant at equilibrium, pH may be expressed in terms of bicarbonate ion concentration and partial pressure of  $CO_2$  by means of the Henderson-Hasselbach equation:

 $pH = pk + \log [HCO_3]/aPCO_2$ 

The blood plasma of man normally has a pH of 7.40. Should the pH fall below 7.0 or rise above 7.8, irreversible damage may occur. Compensatory mechanisms for acid-base disturbances function to alter the ratio of  $HCO_3^-$  to  $PCO_2$ , returning the pH of the blood to normal. Thus, metabolic acidosis may be compensated for by hyperventilation and increased renal absorption of  $HCO_3^-$ . Metabolic alkalosis may be compensated for by hypoventilation and the excess of  $HCO_3^-$  in the urine (Johnson and Swanson, 1987). Renal mechanisms are usually sufficient to restore the acid-base balance (McEvoy, 1994). The uptake of sodium, via exposure to sodium carbonate, is much less than the uptake of sodium via food. Therefore, sodium carbonate is not expected to be systemically available in the body. Furthermore it should be realised that an oral uptake of sodium carbonate will result in a neutralisation in the stomach due to the gastric acid.

# 3.2 Acute toxicity

# Oral toxicity

An acute oral toxicity study was performed with Wistar rats and sodium carbonate monohydrate to assess the  $LD_{50}$  (Rinehart, 1978a). The rats were dosed with a 20% w/v solution in water by intubation, in concentrations of 1300, 1800, 2600, 3600, and 5000 mg/kg bw. The  $LD_{50}$  was 2800 mg/kg bw. For each of the 5 dose levels, there were 5 males and 5 females. The majority of the animals that died showed oral or nasal discharge, lesions in the liver, mottled lungs, mottle d or pale kidney and red or partly gas-filled gastro-intestinal tract. Several of the surviving animals also had mottled livers. It is not stated whether the study was performed according to specific guidelines.

# Dermal toxicity

Six New Zealand rabbits were exposed to sodium carbonate monohydrate to assess the dermal  $LD_{50}$  (Rinehart, 1978b). Thirty percent of the body surface area was exposed to 2,000 mg/kg bw, administered as an aqueous slurry with concentration 1,000 mg/ml. Three animals had abraded skin

and 3 animals had non-abraded skin. After 24 hrs of occluded exposure, the test area was wiped clean. There was no mortality, but lethargy and hypernea were observed in the animals during the first 24 hrs following compound administration, and well-defined to severe erythema and slight to severe oedema were observed in all six animals 24 hours after compound administration. Three of 6 animals lost or did not gain weight during the observation period. The study was performed according to EPA 16 CFR 1500.40. It can be concluded that the LD<sub>50</sub> was higher than 2,000 mg/kg bw.

# Inhalation toxicity

In an attempt to establish a LC<sub>50</sub> for sodium carbonate, a series of whole -body inhalation exposures of male rats (Sprague -Dawley and Wistar strains), male mice (Swiss-Webster) and male guinea pigs (Hartley-albino) to varying concentrations were performed (Busch et al., 1983). The animals exhibited respiratory impairment when exposed for 2 hours to aerosols of sodium combustion products (1  $\mu$ m aerodynamic equivalent diameter), the major constituent of which was shown to be sodium carbonate (rats 91% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, dose range 800-4600 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, mice 95% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, dose range 600-3000 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, guinea pigs 95% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, dose range 500-3000 mg/m<sup>3</sup>). Clinical signs included dyspnoea, wheezing, excessive salivation and distention of abdomen. Mortality occurred mainly in two periods, namely during exposure and within 1-2 hours afterwards or beginning at 1 day after exposure peaking at 5-7 days and continuing to 9-10 days after exposure. Lesions in animals that died during or shortly after exposure were present in the posterior pharynx and larynx and included accumulation of the anterior trachea, haemorrhage in the lungs, and severe gastric tympany. For animals that survived, lesions in the respiratory tract were limited to the laryngeal mucosa. The LC<sub>50</sub>s for guinea pigs, mice and rats were calculated to be 800, 1200 and 2300 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively.

# Conclusion

An acute oral toxicity study with sodium carbonate monohydrate and rats revealed an  $LD_{50}$  of 2,800 mg/kg bw. The acute dermal toxicity of sodium carbonate monohydrate is also low ( $LD_{50} > 2,000$  mg/kg bw). These studies were done with sodium carbonate monohydrate but due to the relatively low water content of sodium carbonate monohydrate, the toxicity of sodium carbonate is not expected to be significantly different. The  $LC_{50}$ s for guinea pigs, mice and rats were 800, 1200 and 2300 mg/m<sup>3</sup> when male animals were exposed for 2 hours to sodium combustion products containing mainly sodium carbonate.

The low toxicity of sodium carbonate is confirmed by the human experience. Although sodium carbonate has been used widely and for a long time, no cases of acute oral poisoning have been found in the published literature. The low oral toxicity of sodium carbonate can be explained by the neutralisation of sodium carbonate in the stomach.

# 3.3 Skin irritation

# Animal data

In a study performed according to EPA 16 CFR 1500.3 guide lines, the skin irritation potential of sodium carbonate monohydrate was examined in 6 New Zealand White rabbits (Rinehart, 1978c). Each rabbit had two patches clipped for hair, one abraded and one left intact. An amount of 0.5 g was applied as a 1 g/ml aqueous slurry, and covered by an occlusive patch for 24 hrs. It is not reported whether the area was cleaned when the patches were removed. The average erythema and oedema score was 0, and the Primary Dermal Irritation Index 0.

A skin irritation study was performed with six New Zealand White rabbits (Chibanguza, 1985a). A quantity of 0.5 g sodium carbonate was applied to intact and abraded skin  $(6.25 \text{ cm}^2)$  and covered

with an occlusive bandage for 4 hours. After this period the skin was washed. Thirty min, sixty min, 24, 48 and 72 hours after exposure no signs of erythema or oedema were observed. The method used in this study was comparable to OECD guideline 404.

In addition to the 2 valid guideline studies mentioned before, another skin irritation test was done which was not documented in sufficient detail. An aqueous solution of sodium carbonate (50% w/v) was applied to the skin (intact and abraded) of six rabbits and six guinea pigs for 4 hours (Nixon *et al.*, 1975). The animals were examined at 4, 24 and 48 hours after application of the solution for erythema and oedema. The abraded skin of the rabbits had slight erythema and oedema, and those of the guinea pigs were negligibly affected. There were no signs of erythema or oedema in the intact skins.

Six New Zealand rabbits were exposed to sodium carbonate monohydrate to assess the dermal  $LD_{50}$  (Rinehart, 1978b). Thirty percent of the body surface area was exposed to 2,000 mg/kg bw, administered as an aqueous slurry with concentration 1,000 mg/ml. Three animals had abraded skin and 3 animals had non-abraded skin. After 24 hrs of occluded exposure, the test area was wiped clean. Well-defined to severe erythema and slight to severe oedema were observed in all six animals 24 hours after compound administration.

# Human data

A human patch (skin irritation) test with 98% sodium carbonate was performed using 26 human volunteers and exposing them for 15, 30 or 60 minutes through to 2, 3 and 4 hours (York *et al.*, 1996). The patch test involved the application of 0.2 g on to a plain Hill Top Chamber and treated sites were assessed 24, 48 and 72 hours after patch removal. The results showed no reactivity among the volunteers and therefore these solutions of sodium carbonate were not classified as irritant based on the human patch test.

An aqueous solution of sodium carbonate (50% w/v) was applied to the skin (intact and abraded) of six human volunteers for 4 hours (Nixon *et al.*, 1975). The volunteers were examined at 4, 24 and 48 hours after application of the solution for erythema and oedema. Categorisation of irritancy to human skin was based on the Primary Irritation Index (PII). The abraded skin had erythema and oedema (mean score > 2) with two subjects having a maximum grade than 4. There were no signs of erythema or oedema in the intact skins (mean PII >1.0).

# Conclusion

Skin irritation studies have been performed with solid sodium carbonate and a 50 % solution of sodium carbonate with both animals and human volunteers. Erythema and oedema were not observed for the intact skin and therefore sodium carbonate has no or a low skin irritation potential.

# 3.4 Ocular irritation

The ocular irritation potential of sodium carbonate monohydrate was assessed in a study performed on 9 New Zealand rabbits (Rinehart, 1978d). A volume of 0.1 ml was instilled in one eye of each animal. After approximately 4 seconds the treated eyes of 3 rabbits were rinsed with 30 ml distilled water, while the remaining rabbit's eyes were not irrigated during the 14 days observation period. Among the animals with unwashed eyes, 2 suffered ruptured eyes and the remaining 4 still had signs of irritation at the termination of the study. One of the animals with washed eyes had signs of irritation at the termination of the study, while the exposed eye appeared normal in the remaining 2 animals from day 2 and 14, respectively. According to the scoring system employed by the authors of the study the responses were either positive or negative. 6/6 animals with unwashed eyes had a positive cornea score, iris score, conjunctivitis (redness and chemosis) score. Among the animals with washed eyes 1/3 rabbits had a positive cornea score, iris score and conjunctivitis (redness and chemosis) score. Based on the results sodium carbonate was considered irritating for the eyes. The scoring system complied with the EPA 16 CFR 1500.42 guideline.

An eye irritation study was performed with six New Zealand White rabbits (Chibanguza, 1985b). Ocular irritancy was tested by instilling 0.1 g sodium carbonate into the left eye (conjunctival sac) of each animal, the right eye served as the untreated control. After 1 hour, 24, 48 and 72 hours the eyes were examined for observations of the conjunctivae, cornea and iris. Ocular irritation was scored according to the scale by Draize. The mean Draize intensity score was for conjunctival redness 1.67, for conjunctival chemosis 1.38 and for the iris 0.25. The method used

in this study was comparable to OECD guideline 405.

Ocular irritation of sodium carbonate was evaluated in two groups of at least six New Zealand albino rabbits (male and female) based on the methodology of Draize (Murphy's *et al.*, 1982). Sodium carbonate (0.1 ml) was administered to the right eye directly on the central portion of the cornea, the left eve served as the untreated control. The eves of the first group of rabbits were rinsed for 2 minutes, 30 seconds after instillation (rinsed eyes), the eyes tested in the second group were not rinsed after instillation (unrinsed eyes). Control and treated eyes were scored at 1 h and 1, 2, 3 and 7 days after exposure according to the scale of Draize. Corneal opacities were produced in unrinsed eyes within 1 h after exposure to sodium carbonate and the severest effect was noted by day 3 (mean Draize intensity score 3.8), the severity was maintained through day 7. In rinsed eyes, corneal opacities were observed on day 2 (mean Draize intensity score 0.8) and had disappeared by day 7. Iritis was observed in unrinsed eyes at 1 h after exposure to sodium carbonate and a mean draize score of 2 was reported on days 1, 2, 3 and 7. In rinsed eyes, iritis was observed at 1 hr after exposure (mean Draize intensity score 1.0) and had disappeared by day 3 after exposure. Sodium carbonate produced conjunctivitis which lasted through day 7 in all animals tested. It also produced pannus in 6/12 unrinsed eyes and keratoconus in 2/12 unrinsed eyes. The method used in this study was mainly comparable to OECD guideline 405. Based on the results of the test sodium carbonate was considered highly irritating.

### Conclusion

The available eye irritation tests revealed different results. Studies using a dose of 0.1 ml sodium carbonate monohydrate and sodium carbonate (anhydrous) resulted in a classification of irritating and highly irritating, respectively. However, based on a study with a dose of 0.1 g sodium carbonate it was not classified as an ocular irritant. Based on the overall results sodium carbonate is considered irritating to the eyes.

# **Repeated dose toxicity**

### Oral and dermal toxicity

No animal data are available on repeated dose toxicity studies by oral or dermal routes for sodium carbonate.

A study on developmental toxicity has been reported by the FDA (1974) but this study provides also some information on repeated dose toxicity (see section 3.7). Aqueous solutions of sodium carbonate were administered daily via oral intubation to pregnant mice at doses ranging from 3.4 to 340 mg/kg bw during days 6.15 of gestation. The test substance did not affect the survival, body weight, number of implantations and litter size and weight of dams but the reporting of the study was limited. Similar negative results were reported for rats and rabbits for daily doses from 2.45-245 mg/kg bw and 1.79-179 mg/kg bw, respectively (FDA, 1974).

# Inhalation toxicity

A repeated dose inhalation study has been reported by Reshetyuk and Shevchenko (1966) but this study was not reported in sufficient detail. Male rats were exposed to a 2% aqueous sodium carbonate aerosol for 4 h/day, 5 days/week for 3.5 months. The final concentration was reported to be  $70 \pm 2.9 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , whereas particle size was reported not to exceed 5 µm (no further details given). When compared to controls there were no changes in body weight gain, organ weights, body temperature, or several blood parameters. Pulmonary ascorbic acid levels were decreased.

Deviations in lungs were found in control and experimental animals but only experimental animals displayed hyperplasia and desquamination of bronchiolar epithelium, and perivascular oe dema. The upper respiratory tract was not examined. Other pulmonary changes included thickening of alveolar walls, hyperaemia and lymphoid infiltration but these changes were also observed in about 50% of the controls. A preliminary study of unknown duration at a concentration of 10-20 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, did not induce toxic effects (Reshetyuk and Shevchenko, 1966).

Although this was a limited reported study, the histopathological changes observed in the lungs are not unexpected, in view of the alkaline nature of the solution (0.1 M (ca. 1%), pH = 11.6). However, in view of the histopathological lesions observed in animals exposed during a single 2 h period, which were almost exclusively confined to the upper respiratory tract (pharynx and larynx; Busch *et al.*, 1983), it may be concluded that changes, likely to be present in the upper respiratory tract, would have been more severe than those observed at the pulmonary level in the above described study of 3.5 months.

# Conclusion

A repeated dose inhalation study, which was not reported in sufficient detail, revealed local effects on the lungs which could be expected based on the alkaline nature of the compound. A good quality oral or dermal repeated dose study is not available. However, the long term hazard of sodium for humans is well known and has been focussed on the effects of sodium on the prevention and control of hypertension. Recommendations on daily dietary sodium intake were reported to be 2.0-3.0 g for a moderately restricted intake and 3.1-6.0 g was considered to be a normal intake (Fodor et al., 1999). Carbonate would be neutralised in the stomach by the low pH of the gastric juice. Furthermore, sodium carbonate is not expected to be systemically available in the body due to neutralisation by gastric acid or by blood. Therefore, additional testing for repeated dose toxicity is considered unnecessary for sodium carbonate. Implicitly this has been recognised in the past, because sodium carbonate is considered 'GRAS' in food with no limitation other than current good manufacturing practice (CFR, 1999).

# Genetic toxicity

Olivier and Marzin (1987) examined sodium carbonate for its potential to induce primary DNA damage in the *Escherichia coli* Chromotest. Concentrations of  $0.11 - 11,000 \ \mu g/ml$  were incubated with samples of an exponentially growing culture of *Escherichia coli* PQ37 for 2 h without metabolic activation. Toxicity was observed at 1100  $\mu g/ml$ . It was concluded that sodium carbonate did not induce primary DNA damage in *Escherichia coli* Chromotest without metabolic activation. However, the *Escherichia coli* Chromotest has not been validated for regulatory purposes and therefore it has a limited value.

An Ames test with sodium carbonate is not available but an Ames test with sodium bicarbonate, with and without metabolic activation, has been performed and a negative result was noted (Johnson and Swanson, 1987). Similar results were obtained when sodium sesquicarbonate (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.NaHCO<sub>3</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O) was tested (Blevins et al., 1982).

# OECD SIDS

# Conclusion

The available *in vitro* mutagenicity test with sodium carbonate was negative. When the pH will be kept below 8 to have a good functioning biological test system, mainly bicarbonate will be available. Furthermore sodium bicarbonate is naturally present in cells and both the structure of sodium bicarbonate and sodium carbonate do not indicate a genotoxic potential. Therefore there is no reason to evaluate the potential genotoxicity of sodium carbonate further and no genotoxic effects are expected.

# **Reproduction toxicity**

# **Developmentaltoxicity**

Aqueous solutions of sodium carbonate were administered daily via oral intubation to pregnant mice at doses ranging from 3.4 to 340 mg/kg bw during days 6-15 of gestation. The test substance did not affect implantation nor the survival of dams and foetuses. Soft and skeletal tissue anomalies were noted in the experimental group, but the incidence of these findings did not differ from that of sham-treated controls. Similar negative results were reported for rats and rabbits for daily doses from 2.45-245 mg/kg bw and 1.79-179 mg/kg bw, respectively (FDA, 1974).

This study confirms in three species that there is no concern with regard to developmental toxicity, which supports the general consideration that the substance will usually not reach the foetus when exposed to sodium carbonate, as it does not become systemically available.

# **Reproduction toxicity**

A reproduction toxicity test is not available for sodium carbonate. However, the substance will usually not reach the foetus or the male and female reproductive organs when exposed orally, dermally or by inhalation, as it does not become available systemically (see 3.1). As such, it is considered not useful to perform a reproduction study.

# 4. HAZARDS TO THE ENVIRONMENT

# 4.1 Aquatic effects

The pH dependent equilibrium between  $CO_2$ ,  $HCO_3^-$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$  that is outlined in paragraph 2.1 should be kept in mind when the aquatic effects of sodium carbonate are evaluated. An addition of sodium carbonate to water will result in an increase of the alkalinity and pH. This means that only the combined effect of carbonate, bicarbonate and pH on organisms can be determined. An overview of EC50 values of aquatic toxicity tests is presented in Table 2.

## Effects on fish

A toxicity test with 50 bluegill sunfish (*Lep omis macrochirus*) exposed to sodium carbonate and 10 control fish was performed by Cairns and Scheier (1959). After 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours the mortality was determined. The purpose of the work was to determine the tolerance of three distinct size ranges of the bluegill (small 3.88 cm and 0.96 g, medium 6.09 cm and 2.80 g, large 14.24 cm and 54.26 g). The TL<sub>m</sub> or LC<sub>50</sub>, which is the concentration at which 50 % of organism would be expected to survive, was equal to 300 mg/l for all three sizes.

Another 96 hr median tolerance limit test with sodium carbonate was performed with the mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis*) by Wallen et al. (1957). The experiments were continued for at least 96 hours with observations after 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours. At 24 hours the  $TL_m$  (equal to  $LC_{50}$ ) was 1200 mg/l, at 48 hours 840 mg/l and at 96 hours 740 mg/l.

The minimum lethal concentration of sodium carbonate to different species of minnows (Lake Emerald (*Notropis a. atherinoides*) and spotfin shiners (*Notropis spilopterus*) was determined by Van Horn et al. (1949). The minimum lethal concentration for sodium carbonate was determined to be 250 mg/l based on an exposure period of 120 hours. A short review of the acute toxicity for fish is described by the California State Water Resources Control Board (McKee et al., 1963). Concentrations of 68-80 mg/l were reported to kill king salmon, silver salmon and cut-throat trout after 5 days of exposure, while other species, like carp, bass, shiners, sunfish and mosquito-fish, were killed at concentrations ranging between 200 and 1200 mg/l with an exposure duration ranging from hours up to five days. The studies reported by Van Horn et al. (1949) and McKee et al. (1963) have a low reliability (Code of Reliability of 4).

Species	Endpoint	Result (mg/l)	CoR <sup>A</sup>	Reference
Bluegill sunfish	LC <sub>50</sub> .96h	300	2	Cairns et al. (1959)
Mosquitofish	LC 50.96h	740	2	Wallen et al. (1957)
Bluegill sunfish	LC50.24h	385	4	Dowden et al. (1965)
Molly	LC50.50h	297	4	Dowden et al. (1965)
Cladoceran (C. cf. dubia)	EC 50.48h	200-227	2	Warne et al. (1999)

Table 2: Overview of LC50 and EC50 values of aquatic toxicity tests

<sup>A</sup>Reliability : 1 = valid without restrictions, 2 = valid with restrictions, 3 = invalid, 4 = not assignable (Klimisch et al., 1997).

# Effects on invertebrates

Recently a toxicity test with laundry detergent components and the freshwater cladoceran *Ceriodaphnia* cf. *dubia* was published by Warne et al. (1999). Reported  $EC_{50}$  values for 48 hr exposure to sodium carbonate were 200 and 227 mg/l, respectively. The study was well documented and meets the generally accepted scientific principles.

Additional toxicity studies with invertebrates and sodium carbonate were reported by McKee et al. (1963), Anderson (1946) and Dowden et al. (1965). All these studies have a low reliability (Code of Reliability of 3 or 4). The EC<sub>50</sub> of *Daphnia magna* was reported to be 265 - 524 mg/l. For Amphipoda, *Culex* sp., *Dugesia* sp. and *Lymnea* sp. eggs the EC<sub>50</sub> values were 67, 600, 341 and 411 mg/l, respectively.

# Effects on aquatic plants / algae

Aquatic toxicity studies with plants and algae have not been found. However, it does not seem to be useful to perform a standard OECD guideline study with algae (e.g. *Selenastrum capricornutum*). The results can be predicted based on the increase of the pH of the test solution (see Table 1). An initial pH higher than 9 will reduce the growth and therefore the theoretically calculated NOEC will probably be 1-10 mg/l. The EC50 will probably be in the range of 10-100 mg/l. However, the results will depend on the algal species selected, the composition of the test medium and probably the growth conditions before the start of the test. The results can not be extrapolated directly to aquatic ecosystems because the growth conditions are in many cases not comparable to the laboratory conditions.

# Mode of action

When sodium carbonate is added to water it results not only in an increase of the sodium and carbonate concentration but also to an increase of the bicarbonate and OH<sup>-</sup> concentration (pH) of the water ( $CO_3^- + H_2O \rightarrow HCO_3^- + OH^-$ ).

In theory all ions could contribute to the observed acute toxicity of sodium carbonate, which is found at concentrations of about 100 - 1000 mg/l (1 - 10 mM). However, the addition of sodium cannot explain the toxicity because the acute EC50 values of sodium chloride are an order of magnitude higher (> 1 g/l; Environment Canada, 2000). The concentrations of bicarbonate change only slightly at sodium carbonate additions of 100 - 1000 mg/l (see Table 1) and the acute toxicity of sodium bicarbonate is also too low to explain the effects. The acute EC50 of sodium bicarbonate for daphnids and bluegill sunfish was 4100 and 7100 mg/l, respectively (see SIDS Dossier on sodium bicarbonate; CAS No 144-55-8).

However, the increase of the pH can explain the observed acute toxicity of sodium carbonate. Additions of 100 - 1000 mg/l increase the pH to values of about 10 to 11 and these pH values have been shown to be toxic for aquatic organisms (see SIDS Dossier on NaOH; CAS No 1310-73-2).

# **Conclusions**

In general the available toxicity studies with sodium carbonate were not conducted according to current standard guidelines. In many cases pH, buffer capacity and/or medium composition were not discussed in the publications, although this is essential information for toxicity tests with sodium carbonate. In general, mortality of the test organisms was found at concentrations higher than 100 mg/l but for Amphipoda, salmon and trout lethal effects were already observed at 67-80 mg/l although these studies had a low reliability. The main factor explaining the acute aquatic toxicity of sodium carbonate is most likely the increase of the pH.

The most appropriate parameter to assess the environmental effect of a sodium carbonate discharge is to determine the change in pH. To get an idea about the order of magnitude for acceptable anthropogenic additions, the acceptable sodium carbonate addition will be calculated for 2 representative cases. According to Directive 78/659/EEC (CEE, 1978), the pH of surface water for

the protection of fish should be between 6 and 9. In section 2.1 it has been mentioned that the 10<sup>th</sup>percentile and the 90<sup>th</sup>-percentile of the bicarbonate concentrations of 77 rivers were 20 and 195 mg/l, respectively. If it is assumed that only bicarbonate is responsible for the buffer capacity of the ecosystem and if it is assumed that an increase of the pH to a value of 9.0 would be the maximum accepted value then the acceptable anthropogenic addition of sodium carbonate would be 2.7 and 17 mg/l for bicarbonate concentrations of 20 and 195 mg/l, respectively (see Table 1). This gives an indication of the order of magnitude of the acceptable amount of sodium carbonate which could be discharged to an aquatic ecosystem if there was an emission of a pure sodium carbonate solution. Sodium carbonate concentrations of 2.7 and 17 mg/l are equivalent with the sodium concentrations of 1.2 and 7.4 mg/l. Sodium concentrations of 1.2 to 7.4 have no effect on aquatic organisms because sodium has a low toxicity for aquatic organisms. Reconstituted water of toxicity tests contains for example sodium concentrations which range between 3.3 and 105 mg/l (ASTM, 1996).

Individual aquatic ecosystems are characterized by a specific pH and bicarbonate concentration and the organisms of the ecosystem are adapted to these specific natural conditions. Based on the natural pH and bicarbonate concentration of waters, organisms will have different optimum conditions, ranging from poorly buffered waters with a pH of 6 or less to very hard waters with pH values up to 9 (Bloemendaal et al., 1988). Beause the natural pH, bicarbonate and also the sodium concentration (and their fluctuations in time) varies significantly between aquatic ecosystems, it is not considered useful to derive a generic PNEC or  $PNEC_{added}$ .

To assess the potential environmental effect of an sodium carbonate discharge, the increase in sodium, bicarbonate and pH should be compared with the natural values and their fluctuations and based on this comparison it should be assessed if the anthropogenic addition is acceptable.

# 4.2 Terrestrial effects

Toxicity tests which determined the effect of sodium carbonate on terrestrial organisms are not available. Significant exposure to the terrestrial environment is not expected and for this reason there is no need to perform a toxicity test with terrestrial organisms. The results of the tests will depend strongly on the buffer capacity of the soil and can probably be predicted based on the buffer capacity of the soil. Furthermore, carbonates are natural components of soil minerals.

# 4.3 Other environmental effects

No other environmental effects are expected.

# 5. Conclusions

# 5.1 Conclusions

Sodium carbonate is an alkaline substance. The acute oral  $LD_{50}$  in rats is 2,800 mg/kg bw, while the dermal  $LD_{50}$  in rats is >2,000 mg/kg bw. The LC50s for inhalation are 800, 1200 and 2300 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for guinea pig, mice and rat respectively. Sodium carbonate has no or a low skin irritation potential but it is considered irritating to the eyes. Due to the alkaline properties an irritation of the respiratory tract is also possible.

No valid animal data are available on repeated dose toxicity studies by oral, dermal, inhalation or by other routes for sodium carbonate. A repeated dose inhalation study, which was not reported in sufficient detail, revealed local effects on the lungs which could be expected based on the alkaline nature of the compound. Under normal handling and use conditions neither the concentration of sodium in the blood nor the pH of the blood will be increased and therefore sodium carbonate is not expected to be systemically available in the body. It can be stated that the substance will neither reach the foetus nor reach male and female reproductive organs, which shows that there is no risk for developmental toxicity and no risk for toxicity to reproduction. This was confirmed by a developmental study with rabbits, rats and mice. An in vitro mutagenicity test with bacteria was negative and based on the structure of sodium carbonate no genotoxic effects are expected. The hazard of sodium carbonate for the environment is mainly caused by the pH effect of the carbonate ion. For this reason the effect of sodium carbonate on the organisms depends on the buffer capacity of the aquatic or terrestrial ecosystem. Also the variation in acute toxicity for aquatic organisms may be explained for a significant extent by the variation in buffer capacity of the test medium. In general, mortality of the test organisms was found at concentrations higher than 100 mg/l but for Amphipoda, salmon and trout lethal effects were already observed at 67-80 mg/l although these studies had a low reliability.

Individual aquatic ecosystems are characterized by a specific pH and bicarbonate concentration and the organisms of the ecosystem are adapted to these specific natural conditions. Beause the natural pH, bicarbonate and also the sodium concentration (and their fluctuations in time) varies significantly between aquatic ecosystems, it is not considered useful to derive a generic PNEC or PNEC<sub>added</sub>. To assess the potential environmental effect of an sodium carbonate discharge, the increase in sodium, bicarbonate and pH should be compared with the natural values and their fluctuations and based on this comparison it should be assessed if the anthropogenic addition is acceptable.

The production and use of sodium carbonate could potentially result in an emission of sodium carbonate and it could locally increase the pH in the aquatic environment. However, the pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be adapted easily and therefore a significant increase of the pH of the receiving water is not expected. If emissions of waste water are controlled by appropriate pH limits and/or dilutions in relation to the natural pH and buffering capacity of the receiving water, adverse effects on the aquatic environment are not expected due to production or use of sodium carbonate.

Aquatic sodium emissions originating from uses of sodium carbonate are probably small compared to other sources. It is clear that an environmental hazard assessment of sodium should not only evaluate all natural and anthropogenic sources of sodium but should also evaluate all other ecotoxicity studies with sodium salts, which is beyond the scope of this report.

# 5.2 **Recommendations**

This chemical is currently of low priority for further work because of its low hazard potential. However, reversible eye and respiratory tract irritation is noted.

# 6. **REFERENCES**

Anderson BG (1946). The toxicity thresholds of various sodium salts determined by the use of Daphnia magna. Sewage works J., 18, 82-87.

ASTM (1996). Annual Book of ASTM Standards – Volume 11.05. Standard E 729-88a : Standard Guide for Conducting Acute Toxicity Tests with Fishes, Macroinvertebrates, and Amphibians.

Blevins RD, Taylor, DE (1982). Mutagenicity screening of twenty-five cosmetic ingredients with the Salmonella/microsome test. J. Environ. Sci. Health, A17(2), 217-339.

Bloemendaal et al. (1988). Waterplanten en waterkwaliteit. Stichting Uitgeverij Koninklijke Nederlandse Natuurhistorische Vereniging, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Busch RH, McDonald KE, Briant JK, Morris JE, Graham TM (1983). Pathologic effects in rodents exposed to sodium combustion products. Environ. Res., 31, 138-147.

Cairns J, Scheier A (1959). The relationship of bluegill sunfish body size to tolerance for some common chemicals. Proc. 13<sup>th</sup> Ind. Work. Conf., Purdue Univ., Engineering Bull., 43, 242-253.

CEE (1978). Directive du Conseil du 18 juillet 1978 concernant la qualite des eaux douces ayant besoin d'etre proteges ou ameliores pour etre aptes a la vie des poissons (78/659/CEE).

CFR (1999). § 184.1742 Sodium carbonate, p. 522.

Chibanguza G (1985a). Prüfung von Leichte calc.Soda im Hautreiztest am Kaninchen. IBR Forschung GmbH, Proj. nr. 1-3-391-85.

Chibanguza G (1985b). Prüfung von Leichte Calc.Soda im Augenreiztest am Kaninchen. IBR Forschung GmbH, Proj. nr. 3-3-395-85.

Clayton GD, Clayton FE (eds) (1993). Patty's industrial hygiene and toxicology. A Wiley-Interscience Publication, Fourth Edition Vol. II, Part A Toxicology, p. 769-781.

CRC Handbook of chemistry and physics (1986). Weast RC (ed). 66<sup>th</sup> edition, CRC Press, Inc, Boca Raton, Florida, B-142.

De Groot et al. (2002). Addition of sodium carbonate to a solution with sodium bicarbonate to a fixed pH. Solvay Pharmaceuticals Int. Doc. No. 8320/48/01.

FDA (Food and Drug Administration) (1974). Teratologic evaluation of FDA 71-84 (sodium carbonate) in mice, rats and rabbits, PB-234868.

Fodor et al. (1999). Recommendations on dietary salt. Canadian Medical Association Journal, 160, S29-S34.

Johnson W, Swanson K (1987). Final report on the safety assessment of sodium sesquicarbonate, sodium bic arbonate, and sodium carbonate. J. Am. College Toxicol., 6, 121-138.

Klimisch HJ et al. (1997). A systemic approach for evaluating the quality of experimental toxicological and ecotoxicological data. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 25, 1-5.

McEvoy, GK (ed.) (1994). American Hospital Formulatory Service (AHFS) - Drug Information 94. American Society of Hospital Pharmacists, Inc. 1994, p. 1639-1642.

McKee & Wolf (1963). Water quality criteria; California state water resources control board. Publication 3-A.

Morrin, M (2000). Still water runs deep – There's more to global soda ash markets than meets the eye. Presented to : 2000 World Petrochemical Conference, Houston, USA.

Murphy JC, Osterberg RE, Seabaugh VM, Bierbower GW (1982). Ocular irritancy responses to various pHs of acids and bases with and without irrigation. Toxicology, 23, 281-291.

Nixon GA, Tyson CA, Wertz WC (1975). Interspecies comparisons of skin irritancy. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 31, 481-490.

Olivier Ph, Marzin D (1987). Study of the genotoxic potential of 48 inorganic derivatives with the SOS chromotest. Mutat. Res., 189, 263-269.

Pharmacopée Européenne (1996). Troisième édition, page 1501-1502.

Reshetyuk AL, Shevchenko LS (1966). Toxicity of aerosols of soda and 'sulfonol' solutions. Donetsk Occupational Health Research Institute.

Rinehart, WE, (1978a). Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Rats. Toxicological Resources Unit, Bio/dynamics Inc.

Rinehart, WE, (1978b). Acute Dermal Toxicity Study in Rabbits Toxicological Resources Unit, Bio/dynamics Inc.

Rinehart, WE, (1978c). Primary Dermal Irritation Study in Rabbits Toxicological Resources Unit, Bio/dynamics Inc.

Rinehart, WE, (1978d). Rabbit Eye Irritation Study. Toxicological Resources Unit, Bio/dynamics Inc.

The Merck Inde x, an encyclopedia of chemicals, drugs and biologicals (1983). Windholz M (ed), tenth edition, Merck & Co., Inc, Rahway, USA, p.1232.

UNEP (1995). Water quality of world river basins. UNEP Environment Library 14, Kenya.

Van Horn WM, Anderson, JB, Katz M (1949). The effect of kraft pulp mill wastes on some aquatic organisms. Am. Fish. Soc., 55-63.

Wallen, IE, Greer WC, Lasater R (1957). Toxicity to *Gambusia Affins* of certain pure chemicals in turbid waters. Sewage and Industrial Wastes, 29, 695-711.

Warne M S, Schifko AD (1999). Toxicity of laundry detergent components to a freshwater cladoceran and their contribution to detergent toxicity. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 44, 196-206.

York M, Griffiths HA, Whittle E, Basketter DA (1996). Evaluation of a human patch test for the identification and classification of skin irritation potential. Contact Dermatitis 34, 204-212.

# IUCLIDData Set

Existing Chemical CAS No. EINECS Name EC No. TSCA Name Molecular Formula	<ul> <li>ID: 497-19-8</li> <li>497-19-8</li> <li>sodium carbonate</li> <li>207-838-8</li> <li>Carbonic acid disodium salt</li> <li>CO3.2Na</li> </ul>	t
Producer related part Company Creation date	: Solvay S.A. : 02.05.2002	
Substance related part Company Creation date	: Solvay S.A. : 02.05.2002	
Status Memo	:	
Printing date Revision date Date of last update	: 19.02.2003 : : 17.02.2003	
Number of pages	:	
Chapter (profile) Reliability (profile) Flags (profile)		

1.GENERAL INFORMATION		Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
I.0.1 APPLICANT AN	D COMPANY INFORMATION		
Туре	: lead organisation		
Name	: Solvay S.A. : Mr. A.G. Berends		
Contact person Date	· WILA.G. Delends		
Street	. Rue de Ransbeek 310		
Town	: 1120 Brussels		
Country	: Belgium		
Phone	: + 32 2 264 3398		
Telefax	: + 32 2 264 2990		
Telex	:		
Cedex	:		
Email	: albert.berends@solvay.com		
Homepage	: http://www.solvay.com		
Remark	: The IUCLID and the other parts of the s behalf of a consortium of sodium carbo		
	(European Soda Ash Producers Assoc		
	Industry Association were involved in th		Joua
	companies are mentioned below.		
07.06.2002			
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: ASÁHI GLĂSS CO., ĹTD.		
Contact person	: Mr. S. Otoshi		
Date	:		
Street	: 1-12-1, Yurakucho, Chiyoda-ku		
Town	: 100-8405 Tokyo		
Country	: Japan		
Phone Telefax			
Telex	:		
Cedex			
Email	Sachio-Otoshi@om.agc.co.jp		
Homepage	:		
08.05.2002			
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: Brunner Mond & Company		
Contact person	: Mr. M. Thorpe		
Date Street	: Winnington Long DO Boy 4		
Town	: Winnington Lane, PO Box 4 : CW8 4DT Northwich		
Country	: United Kingdom		
Phone	: + 44 1606 724000		
Telefax	: + 44 1606 724433		
Telex			
Cedex	:		
Email	: mac.thorpe@brunnermond.com		
Homepage	:		
08.05.2002			
Type	: cooperating company		
Name	: Central Glass Co., Ltd. : Mr. C. Kawashima		
Contact person Date	: Mr.C.Kawashima		
Street	. 7-1, Kanda-Nishikicho 3-Chome, Chiyo	oda-ku	
Town	: 101-0054 Tokyo		
Country	: Japan		
	· vupuii		

ECD SIDS			ARBONATE
GENERAL INFO	MATION	Id	497-19-
		Date	19.02.200
Phone	:		
Telefax	:		
Telex	:		
Cedex	:		
Email	: ckawashima@cgco.co.jp		
Homepage	:		
08.05.2002			
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: Church & Dwight Co., Inc.		
Contact person	: Mr. S. Lajoie		
Date	:		
Street	: 469 North Harrison Street	t	
Town	: NJ 08543 Princeton		
Country	: United States		
Phone	:		
Telefax			
Telex			
Cedex	:		
	:		
Email			
Homepage	:		
08.05.2002			
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: Novacarb		
Contact person	: Mr. D. Jacob		
Date	:		
Street	: Usine de la Madeleine		
Town	: F - 54410 Laneuveville		
Country	: France		
Phone	: + 33 83 184460		
Telefax	: + 33 83 184461		
Telex	:		
Cedex	:		
Email	: dominique.jacob@eu.rho	dia.com	
Homepace	:		
08.05.2002			
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: SODA MATWY		
Contact person	: Mr. B. Miakota		
Date			
Street	ul. Fabryczna 4		
Town	: 88-101 Inowroclaw		
Country	: Poland		
Phone	: + 48 3541424		
Telefax	: + 48 124567		
Telex	. + +0 12+307		
Cedex	:		
		)izah aom pl	
Email	: dzial_rozwoju-inwestycji@	sizen.com.pi	
Homepage 08.05.2002	:		
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: Soda Sanayii A.S.		
Contact person	: Mr. E. Erturk		
Date	:		
Street	Is Kuleleri Kule-3		
Town	: 80620-4 Levent-Istanbul		
Country	: Turkey		

#### UNEP PUBLICATIONS

OECD SIDS		SODIUM CARBONATE Id 497-19-8	
I.GENERAL INFO	KINATION	ld Date	497-19-8
Phone	: + 90 212 503647		
Telefax	: +90 212 504647		
Telex			
Cedex Email			
	eerturk@sisecam.com.tr		
Homepage 08.05.2002	-		
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: Sodawerk Staßfurt GmbH & Co KG		
Contact person	: Mr. G. Witte		
Date	:		
Street	: An der Löderburger Bahn 4a		
Town	: 39418 Staßfurt		
Country	: Germany		
Phone	: + 49 3925 608260		
Telefax	: + 49 3925 263379		
Telex	:		
Cedex			
Email	g.witte@sodawerk.de		
Homepage 08.05.2002	:		
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: Tokuyama Corporation		
Contact person	: Mr. S. Moriyama		
Date	:		
Street	: 3-1 Shibya 3-Chome, Shibuya-Ku		
Town	: 150-8383 Tokyo		
Country	: Japan		
Phone	: + 81 3 3499 8478		
Telefax	: + 81 3 3499 8967		
Telex	:		
Cedex	:		
Email	: s-moriyama@tokuyama.co.jp		
Homepage	:		
08.05.2002			
.0.2 LOCATION OF P	RODUCTION SITE, IMPORTER OR FORMULATO	OR	
.0.3 IDENTITY OF REC	CIPIENTS		
1.0.4 DETAILS ON CAT	<b>TEGORY/TEMPLATE</b>		
1.1.0 SUBSTANCE IDE	ENTIFICATION		
IUPAC Name	: Sodium carbonate		
Smiles Code	:		
Molecular formula	Na2CO3		
Molecular weight	: 106		
Petrol class	: other: not applicable		
16.05.2002			
.1.1 GENERAL SUBS	TANCE INFORMATION		
.1.1 GENERAL SUBS	<b>TANCE INFORMATION</b> : typical for marketed substance		

OECD SIDS			ARBONATE
1.GENERAL INFO	RMATION	Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
Substance type	: inorganic		
Physical status	: solid		
Purity	: > 98 % w/w		
Colour	: white		
Odour	: no odour		
Remark		n carbonate available, light soda and	dense
	soda.		
31.05.2002			
1.1.2 SPECTRA			
1.2 SYNONYMS AND	) TRADENAMES		
Calcined soda			
08.05.2002			
Carbonic acid, disodiui 08.05.2002	m salt		
Dense soda			
08.05.2002			
Disodium carbonate			
08.05.2002			
Light soda			
16.02.1994			
Sal soda			
Remark	: Decahydrate form		
08.05.2002	2		
Soda ash			
Remark	: Anhydrous form		
17.07.2001			
Soda salt			
16.02.1994			
Washing soda			
Remark	: Decahydrate form		
14.08.2001			
I.3 IMPURITIES			
Durity	tunical for markated substance	х.	
Purity CAS-No	<ul> <li>typical for marketed substance</li> <li>7732-18-5</li> </ul>	<del>,</del>	
EC-No	: 231-791-2		
EINECS-Name	: vater		
Molecular formula	: H2O		
Value	: <1.5 % w/w		
31.05.2002			
Purity	: typical for marketed substance	)	
CAS-No	: 7647-14-5		
	· · · · · · ·		
EC-No	: 231-598-3		

DECD SIDS			RBONATE
I.GENERAL INFOR	RMATION	Id Date	497-19-8 19.02.2003
		Date	19.02.2005
EINECS-Name	: sodium chloride		
Molecular formula	: NaCl		
Value	: <.5 % w/w		
31.05.2002			
Purity	: other: not applicable		
CAS-No	:		
EC-No	:		
EINECS-Name	: sulfate		
Molecular formula	: SO4		
Value 31.05.2002	: <.1 % w/w		
Purity	: other: not applicable		
CAS-No	: 7440-70-2		
EC-No	: 231-179-5		
EINECS-Name	: calcium		
Molecular formula	: Ca		
Value	: <.1 % w/w		
31.05.2002			
Purity	: other: not applicable		
CAS-No	: 7439-95-4		
EC-No	: 231-104-6		
EINECS-Name	: magnesium		
Molecular formula	: Mg		
Value	: <.1 % w/w		
31.05.2002			
Purity	: typical for marketed substance		
CAS-No	: 7439-89-6		
EC-No	: 231-096-4		
EINECS-Name	: iron		
Molecular formula	: Fe		
Value	: <.004 % w/w		
31.05.2002			
.4 ADDITIVES			
.5 TOTAL QUANTIT	Y		
Remark	: The total world demand of sodium car	rbonate in 1999 was 33.4 mil	lion
	metric tons.		
16.05.2002		15)	
.6.1 LABELLING			
Labelling	: as in Directive 67/548/EEC		
Specific limits	: yes		
Symbols	: Xi, , ,		
Nota	: ,,		
R-Phrases	: (36) Irritating to eyes		
S-Phrases	: (2) Keep out of reach of children		
	(22) Do not breathe dust	Summer Hand and the second	
	(26) In case of contact with eyes, rinse	eimmediately with plenty of w	ater and

# UNEP PUBLICATIONS

OECD		N / A / T	SODIUM CARBONATE ION Id 497-19-8
I.GEN	<b>ERAL INFOR</b>	WA'I	
			<b>Date</b> 19.02.2003
Rema	ark		Labelling for products :
Neille		•	S 2 : only for consumer products
			Reference : 011-005-00-2 (XIXth TPA : Directive 93/72/EEC)
08.05	.2002		NORTHOUS OF TOUC OF Z (MAILTINA, DIEGUVE SOFTZIELO)
1.6.2	CLASSIFICATION		
Class	sified		as in Directive 67/548/EEC
	s of danger	:	irritating
R-Ph	-	:	(36) Irritating to eyes
	ific limits	:	Ves
1 <sup>st</sup>	Concentration	:	yes >=20%
2 <sup>nd</sup>	Concentration	:	
2 <sup>rd</sup>	Concentration	:	
4 <sup>th</sup>	Concentration	:	
5 <sup>th</sup>	Concentration	:	
6 <sup>th</sup>	Concentration		
7 <sup>th</sup>	Concentration		
e <sup>th</sup>	Concentration	:	
1 <sup>st</sup>	Classification		Xi, R36
2 <sup>nd</sup>	Classification		
2 <sup>rd</sup>	Classification		
4 <sup>th</sup>	Classification		
5 <sup>th</sup>	Classification		
6 <sup>th</sup>	Classification		
7 <sup>th</sup>	Classification		
8 <sup>th</sup>	Classification		
Rema			Reference : 011-005-00-2 (XIXth TPA : Directive 93/72/EEC)
	.2002	•	
1.6.3	PACKAGING		
1.7	USE PATTERN		
Туре	of use	:	
Categ	gory	:	Use resulting in inclusion into or onto matrix
Dom	ark	:	The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total
Reind			percentage in glass is 51%, whereby 28% is used in container glass, 16%
	2002		in flat glass and 7% other glass.
08.05	.2002		in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15)
08.05 <b>Туре</b>	of use	:	in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial
08.05	of use gory	: :	in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15)
08.05 Type Categ Rema	of use gory	::	in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total
08.05 Type Categ Rema	of use gory ark	: :	in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%.
08.05 Type Categ Rema	of use gory ark 22002 of use	: :	in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15)
08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type	of use gory ark 2002 of use gory	::	in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) industrial
08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema	of use gory ark 2002 of use gory	::	in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) industrial Chemical industry: used in synthesis The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total
08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05	of use gory ark .2002 of use gory ark	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) industrial Chemical industry: used in synthesis The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%.
08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05	of use gory ark 2002 of use gory ark 22002 of use		in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) industrial Chemical industry: used in synthesis The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15)
08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type	of use gory ark 2002 of use gory ark 2002 of use gory		in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) industrial Chemical industry: used in synthesis The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) Use
08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema	of use gory ark 2002 of use gory ark 2002 of use gory		in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) industrial Chemical industry: used in synthesis The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) Use Cleaning/washing agents and disinfectants The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total
08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema	of use gory ark 2002 of use gory ark 2002 of use gory ark		in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) industrial Chemical industry: used in synthesis The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) Use Cleaning/washing agents and disinfectants The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in soaps and detergents is 10%.
08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema 08.05 Type Categ Rema	of use gory ark 2002 of use gory ark 2002 of use gory ark 2002 of use gory ark 2002 of use gory ark		in flat glass and 7% other glass. (15) industrial Basic industry: basic chemicals The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) industrial Chemical industry: used in synthesis The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in chemicals sector is 18%. (15) Use Cleaning/washing agents and disinfectants The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33.4 million metric tons. Total percentage in soaps and detergents is 10%. (15)

UNEP PUBLICATIONS

	0.0.0.0		11	407 10 5
GENERAL INF	ORMA'I	ION	Id Date	497-19-8 19.02.2003
		percentage in metals and mining sector is 3%		
08.05.2002			(15)	
Type of use	:	industrial		
Category	:	Paper, pulp and board industry		
Remark	:	The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 3 percentage in pulp and paper sector is 2%.	3.4 million metric to	ns. Total
08.05.2002			(15)	
Type of use	:	use		
Category	:	Absorbents and adsorbents		
Remark	:	The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 3 percentage in sectors other than chemical, gla metals and mining and pulp and paper sector	iss, soaps and deter	
08.05.2002		0 1 1 1 1	(15)	
Type of use	:	use	( )	
Category	:	Bleaching agents		
Remark	:	The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 3 percentage in sectors other than chemical, gla metals and mining and pulp and paper sector	iss, soaps and deter	
08.05.2002		0 1 1 1 1	(15)	
Type of use	:	use		
Category	:	Flux agents for casting		
Remark	:	The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 3 percentage in sectors other than chemical, gla metals and mining and pulp and paper sector	iss, soaps and deter	
08.05.2002			(15)	
Type of use	:	use		
Category 08.05.2002	:	Food/foodstuff additives	(15)	
Type of use		1100	(15)	
Category	:	use Laboratory chemicals		
08.05.2002	•		(15)	
Type of use		use	(10)	
Category		pH-regulating agents		
08.05.2002	•	pri regulating agente	(15)	
Type of use	:	use	(10)	
Category	:	Pharmaceuticals		
08.05.2002			(15)	
Type of use	:	use	()	
Category	:	Softeners		
08.05.2002			(15)	
Type of use	:			
Category	:	Non dispersive use		
Remark	:	The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 3 percentage in sectors other than chemical, gla metals and mining and pulp and paper sector	iss, soaps and deter	
08.05.2002			(15)	
Type of use	:		. ,	
Category	:	Use in closed system		
Remark	:	The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 3 percentage in sectors other than chemical, gla metals and mining and pulp and paper sector	iss, soaps and deter	
08.05.2002			(15)	
Type of use	:			
Category	:	Wide dispersive use		
Remark	:	The total world soda ash demand in 1999 is 33 percentage in sectors other than chemical, gla metals and mining and pulp and paper sector	iss, soaps and deter	
		and paper of the second paper of the		

OECD SIDS	SODIUM CAP	RBONATE
<b>1.GENERAL INFORMATION</b>	Id	497-19-8
	Date	19.02.2003

### 1.7.1 DETAILED USE PATTERN

#### 1.7.2 METHODS OF MANUFACTURE

Origin of substance Type	:	Synthesis Production
Remark		
Remark	:	Sodium carbonate can be produced from minerals which contain sodium carbonate. It is present in large deposits in Africa and the United States as either carbonate or trona, a mixed ore of equal molar amounts of the carbonate and bicarbonate. However, about 70 % of the world production capacity of sodium carbonate is manufactured by the Solvay (ammonia soda) process, whereby ammonia is added to a solution of sodium chloride. Carbon dioxide is then bubbled through to precipitate the bicarbonate, NaHCO3. The sodium bicarbonate is decomposed by heat producing sodium carbonate. The traditional Solvay process is utilised in most parts of the world, with the exception of the U.S., where all production is based on the minerals which contain sodium carbonate. Different qualities of the
08.05.2002		sodium carbonate are produced based on the final use of the substance. (6) (15)
00.00.2002		

#### 1.8 REGULATORY MEASURES

- 1.8.1 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUES
- 1.8.2 ACCEPTABLE RESIDUES LEVELS
- 1.8.3 WATER POLLUTION
- 1.8.4 MAJOR ACCIDENT HAZARDS
- 1.8.5 AIR POLLUTION
- 1.8.6 LISTINGS E.G. CHEMICAL INVENTORIES
- 1.9.1 DEGRADATION/TRANSFORMATION PRODUCTS
- 1.9.2 COMPONENTS
- 1.10 SOURCE OF EXPOSURE
- 1.11 ADDITIONAL REMARKS
- 1.12 LAST LITERATURE SEARCH

Type of search Chapters covered Date of search Remark	:	Internal and External 3, 4, 5 05.09.2000 A literature search has been done in 1994 by the industry to prepare the IUCLID in the context of 'Council Regulation (EEC) No. 793/93 on the Evaluation and Control of the Bicks of Existing Substances' This II ICLID
		Evaluation and Control of the Risks of Existing Substances'. This IUCLID has been published by the European Chemicals Bureau.

OECD SIDS		SODIUM CA	ARBONATE
<b>1.GENERAL INFORMA</b>	TION	Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
08.01.2003	An additional literature search has been done the period 1994-2000. The following database AQUIRE, BIODEG, BIOLOG, CCRIS, CHRIS ENVIROFATE, GENETOX, GIABS, HSDB S TOXICOLOGY SUBSET, NIOSHTIC SUBSE RTECS, TERRETOX, TSCATS, TOXCENTE	es were used: S, DART/ETIC, DATA UBSET, IRIS, MEDL T , PHYTOTOX, RIS	ALOG, INE

1.13 REVIEWS

OECD SIDS	SODIUM CARBONATE
2. PHYSICO-CHIMICA	AL DATA Id 497-19- Date 19.02.200
2.1 MELTING POINT	
Malara	
Value	= 851 °C
Decomposition Sublimation	: yes, at > 400 °C
Method	• other: no information available
Year	:
GLP	: no
Test substance	: no data
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
08.05.2002	Secondary literature, but generally accepted handbooks. (7) (25)
2.2 BOILING POINT	
Remark	: Not applicable, as the test substance decomposes upon heating.
08.05.2002	(7) (25)
2.3 DENSITY	
Туре	: relative density
Value	: = 2.532 at 20 °C
Method	: other: no information available
Year GLP	: 1986 : no
Test substance	: no data
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
00.05.0000	Secondary literature, but generally accepted handbooks.
08.05.2002 2.3.1 GRANULOMETRY	(7)
2.3.1 GRANULOMETRY	
Remark	: The average particle size diameter (d50) of light sodium carbonate is in the
	range of 90 to 150 $\mu$ m and of dense sodium carbonate is in the range of 250 to 500 $\mu$ m
31.05.2002	250 to 500 μm.
2.4 VAPOUR PRESSU	RE
Remark	: Sodium carbonate is an inorganic solid and for this reason the vapour pressure of sodium carbonate is negligible. Furthermore it is technically not
	possible to determine the vapour pressure due to decomposition (when
	heated).
14 08 2001	
14.08.2001	
	ENT
14.08.2001 2.5 PARTITION COEFFICIE Remark	: The octanol/water partition coefficient is not relevant for an inorganic
2.5 PARTITION COEFFICIE	

. PHYSICO-CHIMICA		Id	ARBONAT 497-19
		Date	19.02.200
.6.1 SOLUBILITY IN DIF	FERENT MEDIA		
Solubility in	: Water		
Value	: = 71 g/l at 0 °C		
pH value	:		
concentration	: at °C		
Temperature effects	:		
Examine different pol.	:		
рКа	: at 25 °C		
Description	:		
Stable	:		
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions		
	Secondary literature, but generally accepted handbo	oks.	
01.05.2002		(7)	
Solubility in	: Water		
Value	: = 215 g/l at 20 °C		
pH value	:		
. concentration	: at °C		
Temperature effects	:		
Examine different pol.	:		
pKa	- at 25 °C		
Description			
Stable	:		
Source	TNO Voeding AJ Zeist		
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions		
· ····································	Secondary literature, but generally accepted handbo	oks.	
28.08.2001	,,,	(7)	
Solubility in	: Water		
Value	: = 455 g/l at 100 °C		
pH value	:		
concentration	: at °C		
Temperature effects	:		
Examine different pol.	:		
рКа	: at 25 °C		
Description	:		
Stable	:		
Source	: TNO Voeding AJ Zeist		
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions		
-	Secondary literature, but generally accepted handbo	oks.	
28.08.2001		(7)	
Solubility in	: Organic Solvents		
Value	: at °C		
pH value	:		
concentration	: at °C		
Temperature effects	:		
Examine different pol.	:		
pKa	: at 25 °C		
Description	:		
Stable	:		
Remark	: Slightly soluble in ethanol and insoluble in acetone.		
Source	: TNO Voeding AJ Zeist		
Reliability	: (4) not assignable		
-	Only secondary literature		

OECD SIDS			ARBONATE
2. PHYSICO-CH	IMICAL DATA	Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
2.6.2 SURFACE T	TENSION		
2.7 FLASH POI	NT		
Remark	: Not applicable.		
14.08.2001	. Not applicable.		
2.8 AUTO FLAN			
2.0 ACTOTEAN			
Remark	: Not flammable. Not a fire hazard.		
14.08.2001	: Not flammable. Not a fire hazard.		
2.9 FLAMMABI	LITY		
Remark	: Not flammable. Not combustible.		
16.05.2002	. Not hanmable. Not combustible.		
2.10 EXPLOSIVE	E PROPERTIES		
Result	: not explosive		
17.07.2001			
2.11 OXIDIZING I	PROPERTIES		
Result	: no oxidizing properties		
17.07.2001	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2.12 DISSOCIAT	TION CONSTANT		
Remark	: HCO3- <> CO32- + H+	pKa = 10.33	
00.07.0000	CO2 + H2O <> HCO3- + H+ pKa	= 6.35	
30.07.2002			
2.13 VISCOSITY			
	AL DEMARKS		
2.14 ADDITIONA	AL REMARKS		
Memo	: Sodium carbonate is a strong alkaline s	substance with a pH of 11.6	for a 01
	M aqueous solution.		
14.08.2001		(11) (25)	

\_

	FATE FATE AND PATHWAYS	Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
3.1.1 PHOTODEGRADA	TION		
<b>Remark</b> 08.05.2002	: Not applicable		
	ED		
3.1.2 STABILITY IN WAT	ER		
Remark	: In water, sodium carbonate dissociates into	sodium and carbonate.	
		ollowing equations: pKa = 10.33 pKa = 6.35	
	Only a small fraction of the dissolved CO2 i part is present as CO2. The amount of CO2 the partial pressure of CO2 in the atmosphe equilibria are the major buffer of the pH of fr	2 in water is in equilibriu ere. The CO2 / HCO3- /	m with CO32-
	Based on the above equations, CO2 is the smaller than 6.35, while HCO3- is the pred range of 6.35-10.33 and CO32- is the predot than 10.33.	ominant species at a pH	l in the
30.07.2002			
3.1.3 STABILITY IN SOIL			
3.2.1 MONITORING DAT	A		
Type of measurement	: background concentration		
Media	<ul> <li>background concentration</li> <li>surface water</li> </ul>		
	•		
Media Concentration	•	aturally occuring in the	
Media Concentration Method	<ul> <li>surface water</li> <li>The sodium and bicarbonate ion are both name</li> </ul>	ration for a total number a, Africa, Europe and Oc	ceania.
Media Concentration Method	<ul> <li>surface water</li> <li>The sodium and bicarbonate ion are both na environment.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the sodium concent rivers in North-America, South-America, Asi The 10th-percentile, mean and 90th-percen respectively.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the bicarbonate conc 77 rivers in North-America, South-America, Oceania. The 10th-percentile, mean and 90</li> </ul>	ration for a total number a, Africa, Europe and Oc tile were 1.5, 28 and 68 centration for a total num Asia, Africa, Europe and	ceania. mg/l, Iber of I
Media Concentration Method	<ul> <li>surface water</li> <li>The sodium and bicarbonate ion are both na environment.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the sodium concent rivers in North-America, South-America, Asi The 10th-percentile, mean and 90th-percent respectively.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the bicarbonate cond 77 rivers in North-America, South-America,</li> </ul>	ration for a total number a, Africa, Europe and Oc tile were 1.5, 28 and 68 centration for a total num Asia, Africa, Europe and	ceania. mg/l, Iber of I
Media Concentration Method Remark	<ul> <li>surface water</li> <li>The sodium and bicarbonate ion are both na environment.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the sodium concent rivers in North-America, South-America, Asi The 10th-percentile, mean and 90th-percen respectively.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the bicarbonate conc 77 rivers in North-America, South-America, Oceania. The 10th-percentile, mean and 90</li> </ul>	ration for a total number a, Africa, Europe and Oc tile were 1.5, 28 and 68 centration for a total num Asia, Africa, Europe and th-percentile were 20, 10	ceania. mg/l, Iber of I
Media Concentration Method Remark	<ul> <li>surface water</li> <li>The sodium and bicarbonate ion are both na environment.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the sodium concent rivers in North-America, South-America, Asi The 10th-percentile, mean and 90th-percen respectively.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the bicarbonate conc 77 rivers in North-America, South-America, Oceania. The 10th-percentile, mean and 90</li> </ul>	ration for a total number a, Africa, Europe and Oc tile were 1.5, 28 and 68 centration for a total num Asia, Africa, Europe and th-percentile were 20, 10	ceania. mg/l, Iber of I
Media Concentration Method Remark	<ul> <li>surface water</li> <li>The sodium and bicarbonate ion are both ne environment.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the sodium concent rivers in North-America, South-America, Asi The 10th-percentile, mean and 90th-percent respectively.</li> <li>UNEP (1995) reported the bicarbonate concent rivers in North-America, South-America, Oceania. The 10th-percentile, mean and 90 195 mg/l, respectively.</li> </ul>	ration for a total number a, Africa, Europe and Oc tile were 1.5, 28 and 68 centration for a total num Asia, Africa, Europe and th-percentile were 20, 10 (26) e and therefore standard	eania. mg/l, lber of l 06 and

3 ENVIRONMEN	TAL FATE FATE AND PATHWAYS	Id	497-19-
		Date	19.02.200
	Solid sodium carbonate has a negligible va reason it will not be distributed to the atmos		this
	If sodium carbonate is emitted to water it w the pH is decreased then carbonic acid (H2 the concentration of carbon dioxide water is the carbon dioxide will distribute to the atmo	CO3 or CO2) can be for above the water solub	ormed. If
08.05.2002	If sodium carbonate is emitted to soil it can CO2 (see above), precipitate as a metal can in solution.		
3.3.2 DISTRIBUTIO	N		
<b>Remark</b> 16.05.2002	: See 3.1.2 and 3.3.1.		
3.4 MODE OF DE	GRADATION IN ACTUAL USE		
08.05.2002			
3.5 BIODEGRADA	TION		
Remark	: Sodium carbonate is a inorganic substance biodegraded by microorganisms. A biodegr		
08.05.2002	valid or useful data.		
3.6 BOD5, COD O	R BOD5/COD RATIO		
<b>Remark</b> 08.05.2002	: Not applicable, see 3.5.		
3.7 BIOACCUMU	LATION		
Remark	: Not bioaccumulable. Log Po/w is not applic	cable for an inorganic c	ompound
08.05.2002	which dissociates.		
3.8 ADDITIONAL	REMARKS		

OECD SIDS	SODIUM CAI	RBONATE
4. ECOTOXICITY	Id	497-19-8
	Date	19.02.2003

#### 4.1 ACUTE/PROLONGED TOXICITY TO FISH

Type         :         static           Species         :         Lepomis macrochirus (Fish, fresh water)           Exposure period         :         96 hour(s)           Unit         :         mg/l           LC50         :         = 300           Limit test         :         no           Analytical monitoring         :         no           Method         :         other TS: sodium carbonate           Method         :         METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submcomittee on Toxidis, Stecton III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wates Associations were followed.           GLP: No         :         STATISTICAL METHODS: None pomted.           METHOD FOLLOWED: Reconnentation vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.         - Other effect: non-contrations: No measured concentrations reported.           - Effect data (Mortality): LC50 SITROL         - Number/percentage danimatis showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes.		
Exposure period         9 66 hour(s)           Unit         mq/l           LC50         = 300           Limit test         no           Analytical monitoring         in o           Method         : other           Year         : 1959           GLP         : no           Test substance         : other TS: sodium carbonale           Method         : Submcomittee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial           Wastes Associations were followed.         GLP: No           GLP         : For CALCULATION: Not reported.           ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.         : ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.           - Optic effect cancentration / response curve: Not reported.         : Effect data (Mortality): LC90 SPR=300 mgl.           - Concentration / response curve: Not reported.         : Effect data (Mortality): LC90 SPR=300 mgl.           - Deter effect: not reported.         : RESULTS: CONTROL	Туре	: static
Unit LC50 : a 300 Limit test : no Analytical monitoring : no Method : other Year : 1959 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: sodium carbonate Method : METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submocmittee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed. GLP : No STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD FOLLOWED: No reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: No reported. Result : RESULTS: EXPOSED - Norninal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported. - Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96hr=300 mg1. - Concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Other effects: not reported. - Effect action (JC50 96hr=300 mg1. - Concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Other effects: not reported. - Result : RESULTS: CONTROL - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing further reported. RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE - Not reported. Test condition : TEST ORGANISMS - Strain: common blue glil, Lepomis macrochirus Raf - Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission. - Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average). - Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions. - Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions. - Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test. STOCK AND TEST COLLINON AND THEIR PREPARATION - Concentrated stock solution. REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported. REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported. REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported. REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported. - REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported. - Reading during test: No feeding during the test. STOCK AND TEST CHEMICAL, SOLUTION S. Not reported. - Concentrated stock solution. - Pretreatment: not reported. - Concentrated stock solution. - Pretreatment: not reported. - Concentrated stock solution. - Concentrated stock solution. - C	Species	: Lepomis macrochirus (Fish, fresh water)
LC50 : = 300 Limit test : no Analytical monitoring : no Method : other Year : 1959 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: sodium carbonate Method : METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submomittee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed. GLP: No STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: None model Method : METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: None model METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: None model Not model (Moratily): LC50 96hr=300 mg/l. - Concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported. - Other reflects: not reported. RESULTS: CONTROL - Number/precentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing lutther reported. RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE - Not reported. Test condition : TEST ORGANISMS - Strain: common blue gill. Lepomis macrochirus Raf - Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission. - Age/size/weight/bacing: three different sizes tested; small, 3.8 cm and Os6 grams (average); mang (average); large, 1.4.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average). - Feeding: daily with chopped, Inship cooked shrimp. - Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions. - Feeding: daily with chopped, Inship cooked shrimp. - Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions. - Feeding: daily with chopped, Inship cooked shrimp. - Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions. - Feeding during test. No treported. - Dict: Not reported. - Dict: T	Exposure period	: 96 hour(s)
Limit test : no Analytical monitoring : no Method : other Year : 1939 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: sodium carbonate Method : METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submoconititee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed. GLP: No STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTCAL METHODS: Not reported. Result : RESULTS: EXPOSED - Nominal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported. - Effect data (Montality): LC50 96hr=300 mg/l. - Concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Other effects: not reported. - Other effects: not reported. - Result : RESULTS: EXPOSED - Nominal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported. - Effect data (Montality): LC50 96hr=300 mg/l. - Concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Other effects: not reported. - Result : RESULTS: CONTROL - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing further reported. RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE - Not reported. - Not reported. - Strain: common blue gill, Lepomis macrochirus Raf - Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission. - Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average): needing. 6.00 grams (average): large, 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average). - Petereatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions. - Feeding during test: No feeding during the test. STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION - Concentrated stock solution. - Other ponted. - Petereatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions. - Feeding during test: No treported. - DiLUTION WATER - Source: reconstituted water - Avatainity: 40 mg/l of NaHCO3 - Hardness: - Salinity: Not reported. - Dict Kot reported. - Droc: Kot reported. - Droc	Unit	: mg/l
Analytical monitoring       : no         Method       : other         Year       : 1959         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: sodium carbonate         Method       : METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submcomittee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed.         GLP: No       STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.         ANALYTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.       ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.         Result       : RESULTS: EXPOSED         . Concentration /response curve: Not reported.       : Effect concentration vs. tost substance solubility: Not reported.         . Concentration /response curve: Not reported.       : Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.         . Other effects: not reported.       : RESULTS: CONTROL         . Number/pecentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes.         . Not reported.       : Test rodANISMS         . Strain: common blue gill, Lepomis macrochirus Raf         . Wild caught-Frow walous sources. private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission.         . Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average).         . Feeding: during test: No feeding during the test.	LC50	: = 300
Method : other Year : 1959 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: sodium carbonate Method : METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submoomittee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed. GLP: No STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Notreported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Notreported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported. Result : RESULTS: EXPOSED - Norminal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported. - Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96h=200 mg/l. - Concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96h=200 mg/l. - Concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Effect concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Effect concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Other effects: not reported. RESULTS: CONTROL - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing lurther reported. RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE - Not reported. Test condition : TEST ORGANISMS - Strain: common blue gill, Lepornis macrochirus Raf - Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Cormission. - Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, 4.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, 4.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, - Feeding during test. No feeding during the test. STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION - Concentrated stock solution. STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported. REFERENCE: SUBSTANCE: Not reported. - DILUTION WATER - Source: reconstitute water - Aeration: open jar - Akakinity: 40 mg/l of NaHCO3 - Hardiness: - Salinity. Not reported. - TOC: KNO reported. - Concentrations: Not reported. - Conductanc	Limit test	: no
Year : 1959 GLP : no Test substance : other TS: sodium carbonate Method : METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submomittee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed. GLP: No STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: None popted. Result : RESULTS: EXPOSED - Nominal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported. - Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96hr=300 mg/l. - Concentration response curve: Not reported. - Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96hr=300 mg/l. - Concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported. - Other effects: not reported. RESULTS: CONTROL - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing further reported. RESULTS: CONTROL - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing further reported. RESULTS: CONTROL - Number/weight/bading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, 14.24 cm and 54.28 grams (average). - Preteratment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions. - Feeding during tests. No Geeding during the test. STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION - Concentrated stock solution. STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported. REFERENCE: SUBSTANCE: Not reported. REFERENCE: SUBSTANCE: Not reported. REFERENCE: SUBSTANCE: Not reported. DILUTION WATER - Source: reconstituted water - Averation: open jar - Akalinity: 40 mg/ of NaHCO3 - Hardness: - Salinity. Not reported. - TOC: KNO TEPORTed. - TOC: KNO TEPORTed. - TOC: KNO TEPORTed. - Concentrations: Not reported. - Conjugance: Not reported.	Analytical monitoring	: no
GLP       : original         Test substance       : other TS: sodium carbonate         Method       :: METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submoontittee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed.         GLP: No       STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.         ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.       ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.         ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.       - Concentration y: LCS0 96h-300 mgl.         - Concentration y: test substance solubility: Not reported.       - Effect data (Mortality): LCS0 96h-300 mgl.         - Concentration y: test substance solubility: Not reported.       - Effect cancentration y: test substance solubility: Not reported.         - Other effects: not reported.       - Effect cancentration y: test substance solubility: Not reported.         - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes.         Nothing further reported.         RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE         - Not reported.         - Strain: common blue gill. Lepomis macrochirus Raf         - Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission         - Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large.         - Feeding during test: No feeding during the test. </th <th>Method</th> <th></th>	Method	
Test substance Method       : other TS: socium carbonate         Method       : METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submcomittee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed. GLP: No         STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Notreported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.         Result       : RESULTS: EXPOSED         · Nominal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported. · Effect data (Mortality): LC50 98hr=300 mg/l. · Concentration / response curve: Not reported. · Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported. · Other effects: not reported. RESULTS: CONTROL · Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing further reported. RESULTS: TEST WTH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE · Not reported. RESULTS: TEST WTH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE · Not reported. · Not reported. · Strain: common blue gill, Lepomis macrochirus Raf · Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission. · Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average). · Feeding during test: No feeding during the test. STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION · Concentrated stock solution. STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported. REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported. · TOC: Not reported. · TSS: Not reported. · Origue and is not reported. · Origue and is not reported. · Origy en content: not reported. · Origue and is not repo		: 1959
Method       :       METHOD FOLLOWED: Recommendations of the Committee on Research, Submoonitite on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed. GLP: No         STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.       ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not MALYTICAL METHODS: Not Province and Methods and Methods and Methods and Methods ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.         Result       :       RESULTS: EXPOSED •Nominal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported.         - Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96h-300 mgl.       -Concentration /response curve: Not reported.         - Other effects: not reported.       -Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96h-300 mgl.         - Outcer effects: not reported.       -Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96h-300 mgl.         - Other effects: not reported.       -Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96h-300 mgl.         - Other effects: not reported.       -Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96h-300 mgl.         - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes.         Nothing further reported.       RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE • Not reported.         Test condition       STRain: common blue gill, Lepornis macrochirus Raf • Wid caught: From vanious sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission.         - Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average): large.         - 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average): ma	GLP	: no
Submoonitities on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed.         GLP: No         STATISTICAL METHODS: None         METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.         ANALYTICAL METHODS: No reported.         ANALYTICAL METHODS: No reported.         ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.         ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.         - Effect data (Montality): LCS0 980n-300 mgl.         - Concentration /response curve: Not reported.         - Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.         - Other effects: not reported.         RESULTS: CONTROL         - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes.         Notining further reported.         RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE         - Not reported.         Test condition         Test condition         Test condition         TEST ORGANISMS         - Strain: common blue gill, Lepomis macrochirus Raf         - Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania fish matchery in Pennsylvania         and Pennsylvania Fish matchery in Pennsylvania         - Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average): large, 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average): large, 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average): large, 14.24	Test substance	
Result       :       RESULTS: EXPOSED         - Nominal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported.       -Effect data (Monality): LC50 96hr=300 mg/l.         - Concentration / response curve: Not reported.       -Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.         - Other effects: not reported.       -Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.         - Other effects: not reported.       -Other effects: not reported.         - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes.       Nothing further reported.         - Not reported.       RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE       -Not reported.         - Not reported.       -Strain: common blue gill, Lepomis macrochirus Raf       -Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission.         - Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average).       -Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.         - Preteratment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.       -Feeding daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.         - Preteratment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.       -Feeding daily with chopped.         - Strain: constituted water       -Sauras:         - Strain: constituted water       -Areration: open in         - Ak	Method	Submcomittee on Toxicity, Section III, Federation of Sewage and Industrial Wastes Associations were followed. GLP: No STATISTICAL METHODS: None METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96hr=300 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.</li> <li>Other effects: not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: CONTROL</li> <li>Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes.</li> <li>Nothing further reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE</li> <li>Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: Test ormon blue gill, Lepomis macrochirus Raf</li> <li>Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission.</li> <li>Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average).</li> <li>Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.</li> <li>Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.</li> <li>Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.</li> <li>Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.</li> <li>Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.</li> <li>Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.</li> <li>Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.</li> <li>Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.</li> <li>Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.</li> <li>Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.</li> <li>Feeding: daily with chopped.</li> <li>Concentrated stock solution.</li> <li>STABILITY OF THE TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION</li> <li>Concentrated stock solution.</li> <li>STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.</li> <li>DILUTION WATER</li> <li>Salinity: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC:</li></ul>	Result	
Test condition       RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE - Not reported.         Test condition       TEST ORGANISMS         Strain: common blue gill, Lepomis macrochirus Raf - Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission.         - Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average).         - Freeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.         - Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.         - Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.         - Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.         - Feeding during test: No feeding during the test.         STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION         - Concentrated stock solution.         STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.         REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.         DILUTION WATER         - Source: reconstituted water         - Aeration: open jar         - Alkalinity: 40 mg/l of NaHCO3         - Hardness:         - Salinity: Not reported.         - TOC: Not reported.         - TOC: Not reported.         - DY measured but results not reported.         - Oxygen content: not reported.         - Conductance: Not reported.		<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Mortality): LC50 96hr=300 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.</li> <li>Other effects: not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: CONTROL</li> <li>Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Strain: common blue gill, Lepomis macrochirus Raf</li> <li>Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission.</li> <li>Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average).</li> <li>Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.</li> <li>Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.</li> <li>Feeding during test: No feeding during the test.</li> <li>STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION</li> <li>Concentrated stock solution.</li> <li>STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.</li> <li>REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.</li> <li>DILUTION WATER</li> <li>Source: reconstituted water</li> <li>Aeration: open jar</li> <li>Alkalinity: 40 mg/l of NaHCO3</li> <li>Hardness:</li> <li>Salinity: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>TOS: Not reported.</li> <li>TSS: Not reported.</li> <li>pH: measured but results not reported.</li> <li>Oxygen content: not reported.</li> <li>Conductance: Not reported.</li> <li>TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Concentrations: Not reported.</li> </ul>		RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE
<ul> <li>Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission.</li> <li>Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average), 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average).</li> <li>Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.</li> <li>Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.</li> <li>Feeding during test: No feeding during the test.</li> <li>STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION</li> <li>Concentrated stock solution.</li> <li>STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.</li> <li>REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.</li> <li>DILUTION WATER</li> <li>Source: reconstituted water</li> <li>Aeration: open jar</li> <li>Alkalinity: 40 mg/l of NaHCO3</li> <li>Hardness:</li> <li>Salinity: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>Oxygen content: not reported.</li> <li>Oxygen content: not reported.</li> <li>Concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Concentrations: Not reported.</li> </ul>	Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS
	Test condition	<ul> <li>Strain: common blue gill, Lepomis macrochirus Raf</li> <li>Wild caught: From various sources, private fish hatchery in Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Fish Commission.</li> <li>Age/size/weight/loading: three different sizes tested; small, 3.88 cm and 0.96 grams (average); medium, 6.09 cm and 2.80 grams (average); large, 14.24 cm and 54.26 grams (average).</li> <li>Feeding: daily with chopped, freshly cooked shrimp.</li> <li>Pretreatment: seven days acclimatisation to test conditions.</li> <li>Feeding during test: No feeding during the test.</li> <li>STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION</li> <li>Concentrated stock solution.</li> <li>STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.</li> <li>REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.</li> <li>DILUTION WATER</li> <li>Source: reconstituted water</li> <li>Aeration: open jar</li> <li>Alkalinity: 40 mg/l of NaHCO3</li> <li>Hardness:</li> <li>Salinity: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>TSS: N ot reported.</li> <li>DY: measured but results not reported.</li> <li>Oxygen content: not reported.</li> <li>Conductance: Not reported.</li> <li>TEST SYSTEM</li> </ul>

<b>Id</b> 497-1
<b>Date</b> 19.02.2
Desing rate: Not reported
- Dosing rate: Not reported. - Exposure vessel type: 5 gallon glass jars with cork stoppers immersed in a
constant temperature water bath.
- Number of replicates, fish per replicate: 5 to 10 fish in each jar, depending
on fish size.
- Test temperature: 19-21 C
- Dissolved oxygen: 5-9 ppm
- pH: measured but not further described.
- Adjustment of pH: Not reported.
- Intensity of irradiation: Not reported.
- Photoperiod: Not reported.
DURATION OF THE TEST: 96 hr.
TEST PARAMETER: Death = cessation of gill movement and lack of
response to a mechanical stimulus for a period of 5 minutes.
SAMPLING: Not reported.
MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.
: SOURCE: Baker analyzed
PURITY: "Chemically pure".
IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.
ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. : (2) valid with restrictions
Well documented publication. However results of all test concentrations not
given and no analysis of test solutions.
given and no analysis of test solutions. (3)
: static
: Gambusia affinis (Fish, fresh water)
: 96 hour(s)
: mg/l
: = 550
: = 740
: no
: no data
: other: Recommendations of Committee on Research were followed
(Doudoroff et al., 1951)
: 1957
: no
: other TS: sodium carbonate
: METHOD FOLLOWED: A 96 hr median tolerance limit test with female fish
(N=10). The experiments were continued for at least 96 hours with checks
made of the 24, 48, 72 and 96 hour survivors. GLP: No
GLP: NO STATISTICAL METHODS: None
METHOD OF CALCULATION: Plotting of survival against concentration of
sodium carbonate on logarithmic paper
ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
: RESULTS: EXPOSED
- Nominal/measured concentrations: No measured concentrations reported.
- Effect data (Mortality): LC50 24hr=1200 mg/l. LC50 48hr=840 mg/l.
- Concentration / response curve: Not reported, but a median tolerance limit
was plotted on logarithmic paper (not shown in report).
- Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.
- Other effects: At 560 mg/l and below all fishes were normal for 96 hr. At
1000 mg/l 3 were dead in 24 hr, 4 others at 48 hr, 2 others at 96 hr. The
one remaining was in poor condition at the end of the test.
RESULTS: CONTROL
<ul> <li>Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: A control</li> </ul>
aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing furth er reported.
aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing furth er reported. RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE
aquarium was always maintained with 10 fishes. Nothing furth er reported.

ECOTOXICITY		Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
Test condition		TESTORGANISMS	
rest condition	•		
		- Strain: Not reported.	
		- Supplier: Not reported.	
		- Wild caught: From Stillwater Creek in Payne County.	
		- Age/size/weight/loading: Adult females selected based on difference	e in
		size between males and females.	
		- Feeding: Plankton and detritus, along with various artificial foods.	
		- Pretreatment: 2-3 weeks acclimatization period.	
		- Feeding during test: Not fed.	
		STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION	
		- No details reported.	
		STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.	
		REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.	
		DILUTION WATER	
		- Source: Two farm ponds containing water with high turbidity.	
		- Aeration: Artificial aeration	
		- Alkalinity: Low.	
		-Hardness: Not reported.	
		- Salinity: Not reported.	
		- TOC: Not reported.	
		- TSS: Not reported.	
		- pH: 7.8-8.3	
		- Oxygen content: Maintained through aeration.	
		- Conductance: Not reported. - Holding water: Not reported.	
		TEST SYSTEM	
		- Concentrations: 10, 18, 32, 56, 1000, 180, 320, 560, 1000 ppm (=m	
		- Dosing rate: Not reported.	19/1)
		- Renewal of test solution: Not relevant.	
		- Exposure vessel type: Cylindrical pyrex jars containing 15 L water.	
		- Number of replicates, fish per replicate: In total 10 fish used.	
		- Test temperatu re: 18-25°C	
		- Dissolved oxygen: Level maintained through aeration.	
		- pH: Slightly increased to 8.6-9.2	
		- Adjustment of pH: No.	
		- Intensity of irradiation: Not reported.	
		- Photoperiod: Not reported.	
		- Turbidity: Remained nearly constant 105-160 mg/l	
		DURATION OF THE TEST: 96 hr	
		TEST PARAMETER: Mortality	
		SAMPLING: Not reported.	
		MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not re	eported.
Test substance	:	SOURCE: Not reported.	-
		PURITY: "Chemically pure".	
		IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
		ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.	
Reliability	:	(2) valid with restrictions	
		Well documented publication, executed according to national standa	rds of
		that time (1951), but with several shortcomings to today's standard	
		methods.	
14.02.2003		(27)	
Туре	:	static	
Species	:	Lepomis macrochirus (Fish, fresh water)	
Exposure period	:	24 hour(s)	
Unit	:	mg/l	
LC50	:	= 385	
Limit test	:		
Analytical monitoring	:	no data other: according to Freeman (1953).	

. ECOTOXICITY	<b>Id</b> 49	97-19-
	<b>Date</b> 19.0	2.200
Year	: 1965	
GLP	: no	
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate	
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Freeman (1953)	
	GLP: No	
	STATISTICAL METHODS:Not reported.	
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.	
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
Result	: RESULTS: EXPOSED	
	No details reported.	
	RESULTS: CONTROL	
	No details reported.	
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE	
	No details reported.	
Test condition	: TESTORGANISMS	
Test condition		
	- Strain: Not reported.	
	- Supplier: State and federal fish hatcheries.	
	- Age/size/weight/loading: Not reported.	
	- Feeding: Not reported.	
	- Pretreatment: Not reported.	
	- Feeding during test: Not reported.	
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION	
	No details reported.	
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.	
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.	
	DILUTION WATER	
	<ul> <li>Source: University Lake Water filtered through glass wool.</li> </ul>	
	No further details reported.	
	TEST SYSTEM	
	No details reported.	
	DURATION OF THE TEST: 24 hr.	
	TEST PARAMETER: Death	
	SAMPLING: Not reported.	
	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.	
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.	•
Test substance	PURITY: Not reported.	
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
Deliebilit -	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.	
Reliability	: (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.	
	LIACI IMANTATION INSUTTICIANT FOR COMPLETA ASSASSMENT	
-		
14.02.2003	(8)	
14.02.2003	(8)	
14.02.2003 <b>Type</b>	(8) : stati c	
14.02.2003 Type Species	<ul><li>(8)</li><li>stati c</li><li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li></ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method Year	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method Year	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> <li>1965</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>stati c</li> <li>other: <i>Mollienesia latipinna</i></li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>no</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>static</li> <li>other: Mollienesia latipinna</li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Freeman (1953). GLP: No</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>static</li> <li>other: Mollienesia latipinna</li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Freeman (1953). GLP: No STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>static</li> <li>other: Mollienesia latipinna</li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Freeman (1953). GLP: No</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>static</li> <li>other: Mollienesia latipinna</li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Freeman (1953). GLP: No</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> </ul>	
14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit LC50 Limit test Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>(8)</li> <li>static</li> <li>other: Mollienesia latipinna</li> <li>50 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 297</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: according to Freeman (1953)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Freeman (1953). GLP: No</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.</li> </ul>	

ECD SIDS ECOTOXICITY	SODIUM CARBONA' Id 497-19
Leoroment	<b>Date</b> 19.02.20
	No futbou dataila sen artad
	No further details reported. RESULTS: CONTROL
	No details reported.
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE
	No details reported
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS
	- Strain: Not reported.
	- Supplier: Local pet shop.
	- Age/size/weight/loading: Not reported.
	- Feeding: Not reported.
	- Pretreatment: Not reported.
	- Feeding during test: Not reported.
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION
	No details reported.
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.
	DILUTION WATER
	- Source: Standard Reference water, prepared in a laboratory, comparable
	to mean surface water in US. No further details reported.
	TEST SYSTEM
	No details reported.
	DURATION OF THE TEST: 50 hr.
	TEST PARAMETER: Death
	SAMPLING: Not reported.
<b>T</b>	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.
	PURITY: Not reported.
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.
Reliability	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. : (4) not assignable
nellability	: (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.
14.02.2003	(8)
Remark	: A short review of the acute toxicity for fish is described by the California
	State Water Resources Control Board (McKee et al., 1963). Concentrations
	of 68-80 mg/l were reported to kill king salmon, silver salmon and cut-throat
	trout after 5 days of exposure, while other species, like carp, bass, shiners,
	sunfish and mosquito-fish, were killed at concentrations ranging between 200 and 1200 mg/l with an exposure duration ranging from hours up to five
	days. Furthermore, exposure for 5 days to concentrations of 33-58 mg/l to
	king salmon, silver salmon and cut-throat trout has not been harmful.
	Exposure for 7 days to 100-200 mg/l to bass and sunfish and to 200-500
	mg/l to goldfish was not harmful.
Reliability	: (4) not assignable
-	Only secondary literature
31.07.2002	(14)
Туре	: static
Species	: Notropis atherinoides
Exposure period	: 5 day(s)
Unit	: mg/l
Limit test	
Analytical monitoring	: no data
Method	: other
Year	: 1949
GLP Test substance	: no : other TS: sodium carbonate
I COL DUDSIANCE	
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Modification of that employed by Powers (1917)
	which has been described previously by Van Horn (1943). Determination of

Test           minimum lethal concentration, defined as the low est concentration or material which would kill any of the test animals within a period of 12 hours. Observations were made houry. No further description given. GLP: No           STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.           Result         RESULTS: EXPOSED           • Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.           • Effect data (Mortality): Minimal lethal effect level: 250 mg/l           • Concentration / response curve: Not reported.           • Other effects: Not reported.           • RESULTS: CONTROL           • Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: zero           • Nature of adverse effects: 100% survival of controls was required.           RESULTS: CONTROL           • Nature of adverse effects: Not reported.           • Strain: Not reported.           • Strain: Not reported.           • Strain: Not reported.           • Pretreatment: Not reported.           • Pretreatment: Not reported.           • Pretreatment: Not reported.           • Pretreatment: Not reported.           •	OECD SIDS	SODIUM CARBONAT
minimum lethal concentration, defined as the low est concentration of material which would kill any of the test animals within a period of 12 hours. Observations were made hourly. No further description given. GLP: No STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. MR4TYICAL METHODS: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported. Result : RESULTS: EXPOSED - Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported. - Effect data (Mortality): Minimal lethal effect level: 250 mg/l - Concentration / response curve: Not reported. - Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported. - Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported. - Other effects: Not reported. RESULTS: CONTROL - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: zero - Nature of adverse effects: 100% survival of controls was required. RESULTS: CONTROL - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: zero - Nature of adverse effects: 100% survival of controls was required. RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE - Concentrations: Not reported. - Results: Not reported. - Results: Not reported. - Results: Not reported. - Pretireatment: Not reported. - Pretireatment: Not reported. - Pretireatment: Not reported. - Pretireatment: Not reported. - Freeding: Not reported. - STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION - No details reported. STOEK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION - No details reported. - Strain: Open battery jars. - Alkalinity: 140 to 160 ppm. - Hardness: Relatively hard. - Salinity: Not reported. - Distor vot reported. - Pretire of test solution were placed in open ba jars immersed lybe: 2 litres of test solution were placed in open ba jars immersed lybe: 2 litres of test solution were placed in open ba jars immersed in a constant temperature water bath. - Number of replicate: 18C - Dissolved oxygen: >4 ppm - H: Checked and within limits favorable to fish life, but not further described.	4. ECOTOXICITY	Id 497-19- Date 19.02.200
Result       : RESULTS: EXPOSED         - Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.       -Effect data (Mortality): Minimal lethal effect level: 250 mg/l         - Concentration / response curve: Not reported.       -Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.         - Other effects: Not reported.       -RESULTS: CONTROL         - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: zero       - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: zero         - Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: Not reported.       - Results: Not reported.         - Results: Not reported.       - Results: Not reported.         - Results: Not reported.       - Results: Not reported.         - Results: Not reported.       - Results: Not reported.         - Feeding: Not reported.       - Feeding: Not reported.         - Feeding: Not reported.       - Feeding Uning test: Not reported.         - Feeding Uning test: Not reported.       - Feeding Uning test: Not reported.         - Feeding Uning test: Not reported.       - Feeding Uning test: Not reported.         - Feeding Uning test: Not reported.       - Feeding Uning test: Not reported.         - Feeding Uning test: Not reported.       - Source: Fox River water.         - Acaration: Open battery jars.       - Alkalinity: 140 to 160 pm.         - Hardness: Relatively hard.       - Salinity: Not reported.         - DY P		STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
- Concentrations: Not reported.         - Results: Not reported.         - Strain: Not reported.         - Wild caught: From various sources in the vicinity of Appleton, Wisco         - Age/size/weight/loading: Not reported.         - Feeding; Not reported.         - Pretreatment: Not reported.         - Feeding during test: Not reported.         - Feeding during test: Not reported.         - Feeding during test: Not reported.         - Stock AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION         - No details reported.         STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.         REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.         DILUTION WATER         - Source: Fox River water.         - Aeration: Open battery jars.         - Alkalinity: 140 to 160 ppm.         - Hardness: Relatively hard.         - Salinity: Not reported.         - TOC: Not reported.         - TOC: Not reported.         - pH: 7.6 to 7.8.         - Oxygen content: >4 ppm         - Conductance: Not reported.         - Exposure vessel type: 2 litres of test solution were placed in open ba jars immersed in a constant temperature water bath.         - Number of replicates, fish per replicate: 1 to 5 fish in each jar, depe on the oxygen resources.         - Test temperature: 18°C         - Dissolved oxygen: >	Result	<ul> <li>ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: EXPOSED <ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Mortality): Minimal lethal effect level: 250 mg/l</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.</li> <li>Other effects: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: CONTROL</li> <li>Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects: zero</li> <li>Nature of adverse effects: 100% survival of controls was required.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Wild caught: From various sources in the vicinity of Appleton, Wisco Age/size/weight/loading: Not reported.</li> <li>Feeding: Not reported.</li> <li>Freetraatment: Not reported.</li> <li>Feeding during test: Not reported.</li> <li>STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION</li> <li>No details reported.</li> <li>STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.</li> <li>REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.</li> <li>DILUTION WATER</li> <li>Source: Fox River water.</li> <li>Aeration: Open battery jars.</li> <li>Alkalinity: 140 to 160 ppm.</li> <li>Hardness: Relatively hard.</li> <li>Salinity: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>Oxygen content: &gt;4 ppm</li> <li>Conductance: Not reported.</li> <li>TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Exposure vessel type: 2 litres of test solution were placed in open ba jars immersed in a constant temperature water bath.</li> <li>Number of replicates, fish per replicate: 1 to 5 fish in each jar, depe on the oxygen resources.</li> <li>Test temperature: 18°C</li> <li>Dissolved oxygen: &gt;4 ppm</li> <li>Checked and within limits favorable to fish life, but not further described.</li> </ul>	Test condition	<ul> <li>Concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Results: Not reported.</li> <li>TEST ORGANISMS</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Alkalinity: 140 to 160 ppm.</li> <li>Hardness: Relatively hard.</li> <li>Salinity: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>TSS: Not reported.</li> <li>pH: 7.6 to 7.8.</li> <li>Oxygen content: &gt;4 ppm</li> <li>Conductance: Not reported.</li> <li>Holding water: Not reported.</li> <li>Holding water: Not reported.</li> <li>Concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Dosing rate: Not reported.</li> <li>Exposure vessel type: 2 litres of test solution were placed in open bag jars immersed in a constant temperature water bath.</li> <li>Number of replicates, fish per replicate: 1 to 5 fish in each jar, dependent on the oxygen resources.</li> <li>Test temperature: 18°C</li> <li>Dissolved oxygen: &gt;4 ppm</li> <li>pH: Checked and within limits favorable to fish life, but not further described.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Wild caught: From various sources in the vicinity of Appleton, Wisconsin.</li> <li>Age/size/weight/loading: Not reported.</li> <li>Feeding: Not reported.</li> <li>Pretreatment: Not reported.</li> <li>Feeding during test: Not reported.</li> <li>STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION</li> <li>No details reported.</li> <li>STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.</li> <li>REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.</li> <li>DILUTION WATER</li> <li>Source: Fox River water.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Conductance: Not reported.</li> <li>Holding water: Not reported.</li> <li>TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Dosing rate: Not reported.</li> <li>Exposure vessel type: 2 litres of test solution were placed in open ba jars immersed in a constant temperature water bath.</li> <li>Number of replicates, fish per replicate: 1 to 5 fish in each jar, depe on the oxygen resources.</li> <li>Test temperature: 18°C</li> <li>Dissolved oxygen: &gt;4 ppm</li> <li>pH: Checked and within limits favorable to fish life, but not further described.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Alkalinity: 140 to 160 ppm.</li> <li>Hardness: Relatively hard.</li> <li>Salinity: Not reported.</li> <li>TOC: Not reported.</li> <li>TSS: Not reported.</li> <li>pH: 7.6 to 7.8.</li> </ul>
jars immersed in a constant temperature water bath. - Number of replicates, fish per replicate: 1 to 5 fish in each jar, depernent on the oxygen resources. - Test temperature: 18°C - Dissolved oxygen: >4 ppm - pH: Checked and within limits favorable to fish life, but not further described.		<ul> <li>Conductance: Not reported.</li> <li>Holding water: Not reported.</li> <li>TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Dosing rate: Not reported.</li> </ul>
		jars immersed in a constant temperature water bath. - Number of replicates, fish per replicate: 1 to 5 fish in each jar, depending on the oxygen resources. - Test temperature: 18°C - Dissolved oxygen: >4 ppm - pH: Checked and within limits favorable to fish life, but not further
- Adjustment of pH: Not reported. - Intensity of irradiation: Not reported. - Photoperiod: Not reported. DURATION OF THE TEST: 120 hr. TEST PARAMETER: Death SAMPLING: Not reported.		<ul> <li>Adjustment of pH: Not reported.</li> <li>Intensity of irradiation: Not reported.</li> <li>Photoperiod: Not reported.</li> <li>DURATION OF THE TEST: 120 hr.</li> <li>TEST PARAMETER: Death</li> </ul>

. ECOTOXICITY Test substance	Date 19.02.200     SOURCE: Not reported.
Test substance	
lest substance	
	PURITY: Not reported.
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.
Reliability	: (4) not assignable
	Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.
14.02.2003	(10)
Туре	: Static
Species	: other: Notropis spilopterus (spotfin shiner)
Exposure period	: 5 day(s)
Unit	: mg/l
Limit test	. Ingri
	: no data
Analytical monitoring	
Method	: other
Year	: 1949
GLP	: no data
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Modification of that employed by Powers (1917)
	which has been described previously by Van Horn (1943). Determination of
	minimum lethal concentration, defined as the lowest concentration of a toxic
	material which would kill any of the test animals within a period of 120
	hours. Observations were made hourly. No further description given.
	GLP: No
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	: RESULTS: EXPOSED
lioouli	- Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.
	- Effect data (Mortality): Minimal lethal effect level: 250 mg/l
	- Concentration / response curve: Not reported.
	- Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.
	- Other effects: Not reported.
	RESULTS: CONTROL
	- Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects:
	zero, 100% survival of controls was required.
	- Nature of adverse effects: Not reported.
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE
	- Concentrations: Not reported.
_	- Results: Not reported.
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS
	- Strain: Not reported.
	<ul> <li>Wild caught: From various sources in the vicinity of Appleton, Wisconsin.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Age/size/weight/loading: Not reported.</li> </ul>
	- Feeding: Not reported.
	- Pretreatment: Not reported.
	- Feeding during test: Not reported.
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION
	- No details reported.
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.
	DILUTION WATER
	- Source: Fox River water.
	- Aeraton: Open battery jars.
	- Alkalinity: 140 to 160 ppm.
	- Hardness: Relatively hard.
	- Salinity: Not reported.
	- TOC: Not reported.
	- TSS: Not reported.

OECD SIDS	SODIUM CARBONATE
4. ECOTOXICITY	<b>Id</b> 497-19-8
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.2003
	Oranna contrata Anna
	- Oxygen content: >4 ppm
	- Conductance: Not reported.
	- Holding water: Not reported.
	TEST SYSTEM
	- Concentrations: Not reported.
	- Dosing rate: Not reported.
	- Exposure vessel type: 2 litres of test solution were placed in open battery
	jars immersed in a constant temperature water bath.
	- Number of replicates, fish per replicate: 1 to 5 fish in each jar, depending
	on the oxygen resources.
	- Test temperature: 18°C
	- Dissolved oxygen: >4 ppm
	- pH: Checked and within limits favorable to fish life, but not further
	described.
	- Adjustment of pH: Not reported.
	- Intensity of irradiation: Not reported.
	- Photoperiod: Not reported.
	DURATION OF THE TEST: 120 hr.
	TEST PARAMETER: Death
	SAMPLING: Not reported.
	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.
	PURITY: Not reported.
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.
Reliability	: (4) not assignable
	Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.
14.02.2003	(10)

### 4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES

<ul> <li>Ceriodaphnia sp. (Crustacea)</li> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 200 - 227</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: method developed by NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne &amp; Julli, 1999)</li> <li>1999</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> <li>Immobilisation was defined as the absence of visible movement by the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>mg/l</li> <li>= 200 - 227</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: method developed by NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne &amp; Julli, 1999)</li> <li>1999</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>= 200 - 227</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: method developed by NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne &amp; Julli, 1999)</li> <li>1999</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>= 200 - 227</li> <li>no data</li> <li>other: method developed by NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne &amp; Julli, 1999)</li> <li>1999</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>other: method developed by NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne &amp; Julli, 1999)</li> <li>1999</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>&amp; Julli, 1999)</li> <li>1999</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>&amp; Julli, 1999)</li> <li>1999</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1999</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 48-h immobilisation test using methods developed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (Warne and Julli, 1999).</li> </ul>
cladocera within 15 sec of a gentle agitation of the test solution (ASTM, 1988). Chemical was tested in a range-finder and definitive test, with a second definitive test when the results were markedly different. GLP: No. STATISTICAL METHODS: Trimmed Spearman-Karber method. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Toxicity of the chemical was expressed in three different units, mg/L, mmol/L and toxic units (TU). ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
<ul> <li>RESULTS: EXPOSED         <ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Values based on nominal concentrations.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): 95% confidence limits: 166.9-298.9 mg/l, 156.6-198.3 mg/lg, 192.4-267.4 mg/l.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
=

OECD SIDS	SODIUM CARBONATE
4. ECOTOXICITY	Id 497-19-8 Data 10.02.2002
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.2003
	- Concentration / response curve: Not reported.
	- Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.
	- Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.
	- Other effects: Not reported.
	RESULTS CONTROL: Test was considered invalid w hen more than 10% of
	the control neonates were immobilized.
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE
	- Concentrations: Not reported.
	- Results: Not reported.
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS
	- Strain: Not reported.
	- Source/supplier: Not reported.
	- Breeding method: Cultures maintained in 2-L glass beakers and
	transferred to fresh water 3 times weekly.
	- Age: Neonates less than 24 hr old.
	- Feeding: After water renewal at a concentration of 25,000 cell/ml of each
	of the unicellular algae Pseudokirchneriellia subcapitata Printz and
	Ankistrodesmus sp.
	- Pretreatment: Not reported.
	- Feeding during test: Not fed.
	- Control group: Yes.
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION
	- Dispersion: The appropriate amount of the chemical was dissolved in 1 or
	2 litre water, gently stirred for 12 hr in the dark using Teflon magnetic
	stirrers.
	- Vehicle, solvent: Dechlorinated Sydney mains water
	- Concentration of vehicle/ solvent: Not reported.
	- Other procedures: Not reported.
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Stock solutions were
	diluted to appropriate concentrations immediately prior to commencement
	of the test.
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.
	DILUTION WATER
	- Source: Dechlorinated Sydney mains water filtered, aged and adjusted to
	500 microS/cm with seawater.
	- Aeration: Not reported.
	- Alkalinity: Not reported.
	- Hardness: Not reported.
	- Salinity: Not reported.
	- TOC: Not reported.
	- Ca/Mg ratio: Not reported.
	- Na/K ratio: Not reported.
	- TSS: Not reported.
	- pH: Measured, not described.
	- Oxygen content: Measured, not described.
	- Conductance: Measured, not described.
	- Holding water: Not reported.
	TEST SYSTEM
	- Concentrations: The bioassay consisted of five concentrations of sodium
	carbonate or soda ash in geometric series plus a control.
	- Renewal of test solution: No.
	- Exposure vessel type: 250 ml glass beakers which held 200 ml of the test
	solution or control solution.
	- Number of replicates, individuals per replicate: For each concentration
	triplicates of five cladocera per beaker glass were used.
	- Test temperature: 22-24°C
	- Dissolved oxygen: Measured, not described.
	- pH: Measured, not described.
	- Adjustment of pH: Not reported.
	- Intensity of irradiation: Below 1000 1x at the surface of the solution.
	monory or magiation. Dolow 1000 1A at the suitable of the solution.

. ECOTOXICITY		Id	497-19-
		Date	19.02.200
	- Photoperiod: 16:8 h light:dark		
	DURATION OF THE TEST: 48 hr		
	TEST PARAMETER: immobilization		
	SAMPLING: Immobile cladocera count	ed	
	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANC		reported
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.	E CONCENTRATION. NOI	reported.
	PURITY: Not reported.		
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported	ed	
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not report		
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions		
licitational	Acceptable, well documented publication	on which meets basic scier	tific
	principles. Method developed by Austra		
	Acceptable, well documented publication		tific
	principles. Method developed by Austra		
16.05.2002		(28)	
Tumo			
Type Species	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea)		
Exposure period	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Unit	- : mg/l		
Analytical monitoring	: no data		
Method	: other: not indicated		
Year	: 1963		
GLP	: no		
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate		
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Not reported.		
Wethod	GLP: No		
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported	Ч	
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not rep		
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported		
Remark	: In Lake Erie wtaer at 25 degrees Celsi		7 dogroos
Kemark	Celsius 300 mg/l and at 800 mg/l all ar		
	at 23 degrees Celsius in doudble distille		
	mg/l, pH 9.5. Furthermore, at 23 degree		
	EC50 was 552 mg/l at a dissolved oxyg		
	was only 267 mg/l when the dissolved oxy		
Result	: RESULTS: EXPOSED	byg en tension dropped to	1.55 mg/l.
Roodin	No details reported.		
	RESULTS: CONTROL		
	No details reported.		
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE	= SUBSTANCE	
	No details reported.		
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS		
	No details reported.		
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND	THEIR PREPARATION	
	No details reported.		
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL	SOLUTIONS: No details re	eported.
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: No deta		•
	DILUTION WATER		
	No details reported.		
	TEST SYSTEM		
	No details reported.		
	TEST PARAMETER: Mortality.		
	SAMPLING: No details reported.		
	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANC	E CONCENTRATION: No	details
	reported.		
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.		
	PURITY: Not reported.		
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported		
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not repo	orted.	

. ECOTOXICITY			Id	497-19-
			Date	19.02.200
Reliability	: (4) not as	signable	Only secondary literature.	
Kenability		ondary literature.	Only secondary merature.	
14.02.2003	0, 0000			(14)
Туре	:			
Species		<i>magna</i> (Crustac	ea)	
Exposure period	: 48 hour(s	)		
Unit EC50	: mg/l : < 424			
Analytical monitoring	: < 424 : no data			
Method		ording to Anders	son et al. (1944)	
Year	: 1946			
GLP	: no data			
Test substance	: other TS:	sodiumcarbona	te	
Method			ccording to Anderson et al. (1944).	
	GLP: No			
		ICAL METHODS		
			TION: Immobilization time-concent	
			basis of 48-hour observaion, from w	hich the
			were estimated.	
Result		CAL METHODS S: EXPOSED	: Not reported.	
Result			entrations: Not reported.	
			on): EC50 48 hr <424 mg/l.	
			e curve: For several chemicals des	cribed. not for
	sodium ca			,
	- Cumulat	tive immobilisati	on: Not reported.	
			est substance solubility: Not reporte	
			C50, therefore toxicity due alkalinity	у.
		S: CONTROL	imals showing adverse effects:	
		remained alive a		
			Death and reduced activity.	
			REFERENCE SUBSTANCE	
		rations: Not repo		
	- Results:	Not reported.		
Test condition		GANISMS		
		s reported.		
		s reported.	UTION AND THEIR PREPARATIO	DN
			T CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not re	norted
			ICE: Not reported.	ponea.
		N WATER		
	- Source:	Centrifuged Lak	e Erie water.	
		r details reported	d.	
	TEST SY	-		
			orted at threshold level, 9.2.	
		r details reported		
		RAMETER: Dea		
		IG: Not reported		
			SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION	N: Not reported.
Test substance		E: Not reported.		
		Not reported.		
	IMPURIT	Y/ADDITIVE/ET		
			ION: Not reported.	
Reliability	: (3) invalic		mentation insufficient for assessme	ent.
•				
14.02.2003	Documer	ntation insufficier		(1)

ECD SIDS		DIUM CARBONA	
ECOTOXICITY		Id         497-1           Date         19.02.20	
		<b>Jac</b> 17.02.20	105
Туре	:		
Species	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea)		
Exposure period	: 48 hour(s)		
Unit	: mg/l		
EC50	: = 265		
Analytical monitoring	: no data		
Method	: other: according to Anderson et al. (1948)		
Year GLP	: 1965 : No		
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate		
		1010	
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Anderson et al. (19 GLP: No	140).	
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.		
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.		
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.		
Result	: RESULTS: EXPOSED		
	- Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.		
	- Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 347 mg/l.		
	- Concentration / response curve: Not reported.		
	- Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.		
	- Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not re	ported.	
	- Other effects: Not reported.	portoai	
	RESULTS CONTROL: Not reported.		
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE		
	- Concentrations: Not reported.		
	- Results: Not reported.		
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS		
	- Strain: Not reported.		
	- Source/supplier: Cultured in laboratory, starting culture	obtained from Put-	
	In-Bay, Ohio.		
	No further details reported.		
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPAR	ATION	
	No further details reported.		
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: N	ot reported.	
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.		
	DILUTION WATER		
	<ul> <li>Source: University Lake Water filtered through glass-w</li> </ul>	ool.	
	No further details reported.		
	TEST SYSTEM		
	No details reported.		
	DURATION OF THE TEST: 48 hr		
	TEST PARAMETER: Death.		
	SAMPLING: Not reported.		
Test substance	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRA	non: not reported.	
rest substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.		
	PURITY: Not reported.		
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.		
Reliability	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. : (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient	for complete	
i tonability	assessment.		
	Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.		
14.02.2003		(8)	
Туре	:		
Species	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea)		
Exposure period	: 96 hour(s)		
Unit	: mg/l		
EC50	: = 524		
Analytical monitoring	no data		
Analytical monitoring			

. ECOTOXICITY	Id 497-19-
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.200
Year	: 1965
GLP	: No
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Anderson et al. (1948).
	GLP: No
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	: RESULTS: EXPOSED
liooun	- Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.
	- Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 25 hr 607 mg/l, LC50 48 hr 565 mg/l.
	- Concentration / response curve: Not reported.
	- Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.
	- Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.
	- Other effects: Not reported.
	RESULTS CONTROL: Not reported.
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE
	- Concentrations: Not reported.
	- Results: Not reported.
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS
	- Strain: Not reported.
	- Source/supplier: Cultured in laboratory, starting culture obtained from Put-
	In-Bay, Ohio.
	No further details reported.
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION
	No further details reported.
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMCAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.
	DILUTION WATER
	- Source: Standadard Reference Water which is prepared in a laboratory,
	free from organics, containing all the major ions in concentrations and
	proportions of a mean surface water of the United States.
	No further details reported.
	TEST SYSTEM
	No details reported.
	DURATION OF THE TEST: 96 hr
	TEST PARAMETER: Death.
	SAMPLING: Not reported.
Test substance	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.
Test substance	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported.
Test substance	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported.
Test substance	<ul> <li>MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported.</li> <li>PURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported.</li> <li>PURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.</li> <li>ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Test substance Reliability	<ul> <li>MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> <li>(4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> <li>(4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.</li> </ul>
Reliability	<ul> <li>MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> <li>(4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> <li>(4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.</li> </ul>
<b>Reliability</b> 14.02.2003	<ul> <li>MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> <li>(4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.</li> </ul>
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type	<ul> <li>MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> <li>(4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.</li> <li>(8)</li> </ul>
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) cother: Amphipoda
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) (8) (8) (9)
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) cother: Amphipoda 96 hour(s) mg/l
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) (8) cother: Amphipoda 96 hour(s) mg/l = 67
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) (8) (8) (1) (1) (2) (3) (1) (3) (3) (3) (3) (4) (5) (3) (4) (5) (5) (6) (6) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) (8) cother: Amphipoda 96 hour(s) mg/l = 67
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) (8) (8) (1) (1) (2) (3) (1) (3) (3) (3) (3) (4) (5) (5) (6) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) other: Amphipoda 96 hour(s) mg/l = 67 No data other: according to Anderson et al. (1948)
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) other: Amphipoda 96 hour(s) mg/l = 67 No data other: according to Anderson et al. (1948) 1965
Reliability 14.02.2003 Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. Documentation insufficient for complete assessment. (8) other: Amphipoda 96 hour(s) mg/l = 67 No data other: according to Anderson et al. (1948) 1965 No

DECD SIDS . ECOTOXICITY	SODIUM CARBONAT Id 497-19
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.200
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	: RESULTS: EXPOSED
Rooun	- Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.
	- Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 360 mg/l, 48 hr 176 mg/l, 72 hr 67
	mg/l.
	- Concentration / response curve: Not reported.
	- Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.
	- Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.
	- Other effects: Not reported.
	RESULTS CONTROL: Not reported.
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE
	- Concentrations: Not reported.
	- Results: Not reported.
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS
	- Strain: Not reported.
	- Wild caught: Obtained from University Lake on the campus of the
	University.
	No further details reported.
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION
	No further details reported.
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.
	DILUTION WATER
	- Source: University Lake Wter filetered through glass-wool.
	No further details reported.
	TEST SYSTEM
	No details reported.
	DURATION OF THE TEST: 96 hr
	TEST PARAMETER: Death.
	SAMPLING: Not reported.
	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.
	PURITY: Not reported.
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.
Reliability	: (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete
	assessment.
	Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.
14.02.2003	(8)
	:
Type Species	: other: <i>Culex sp</i> .
Туре	: : other: <i>Culex sp.</i> : 48 hour(s)
Type Species	: 48 hour(s)
Type Species Exposure period	
Type Species Exposure period Unit	: 48 hour(s) : Mg/
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50	: 48 hour(s) : Mg/ : = 600
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring	: 48 hour(s) : Mg/l : = 600 : No data
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method	<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>Mg/</li> <li>= 600</li> <li>No data</li> <li>other: according to Andreson et al. (1948)</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year	<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>Mg/</li> <li>= 600</li> <li>No data</li> <li>other: according to Andreson et al. (1948)</li> <li>1965</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>Mg/</li> <li>= 600</li> <li>No data</li> <li>other: according to Andreson et al. (1948)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>Mgl</li> <li>= 600</li> <li>No data</li> <li>other: according to Andreson et al. (1948)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>No</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>Mg/</li> <li>= 600</li> <li>No data</li> <li>other: according to Andreson et al. (1948)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>No</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Anderson et al. (1948).</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>Mg/</li> <li>= 600</li> <li>No data</li> <li>other: according to Andreson et al. (1948)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>No</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Anderson et al. (1948). GLP: No</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>Mgl</li> <li>= 600</li> <li>No data</li> <li>other: according to Andreson et al. (1948)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>No</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Anderson et al. (1948). GLP: No</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>Mg/</li> <li>= 600</li> <li>No data</li> <li>other: according to Andreson et al. (1948)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>No</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Anderson et al. (1948). GLP: No</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Type Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance Method	<ul> <li>48 hour(s)</li> <li>Mg/</li> <li>= 600</li> <li>No data</li> <li>other: according to Andreson et al. (1948)</li> <li>1965</li> <li>No</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Anderson et al. (1948). GLP: No</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> </ul>

. ECOTOXICITY	<b>Id</b> 497-19-
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.200
	- Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 1820 mg/l.
	- Concentration / response curve: Not reported.
	- Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.
	- Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.
	- Other effects: Not reported.
	RESULTS CONTROL: Not reported.
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE
	- Concentrations: Not reported.
Test condition	- Results: Not reported. : TESTORGANISMS
rest condition	- Strain: Not reported.
	- Strain. Not reported. - Wild caught: Obtained during the summer in mixed culture of mostly Culex
	pipiens from puddles in a ditch on the campus.
	No further details reported.
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION
	No further details reported.
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.
	DILUTION WATER
	- Source: Reference Dilution Water which is a more easily formulated
	organic-free medium which will support aquatic animals longer than other
	artificial media.
	No further details reported.
	TEST SYSTEM
	No details reported.
	DURATION OF THE TEST: 48 hr
	TEST PARAMETER: Death.
	SAMPLING: Not reported.
	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.
	PURITY: Not reported.
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.
Reliability	: (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete
	assessment.
	Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.
14.02.2003	(8)
Туре	
Species	other: <i>Dugesia sp</i> .
Exposure period	: 96 hour(s)
Unit	: Mg/
EC50	: = 341
Analytical monitoring	: No data
Method	: other: according to Anderson et al. (1948)
Year	: 1965
GLP	: No
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Anderson et al. (1948).
	GLP: No
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
	METHOD OF OALOOLATION. Notreponed.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported. : RESULTS: EXPOSED
Result	<ul> <li>ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: EXPOSED</li> <li>- Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Result	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported. : RESULTS: EXPOSED
Result	<ul> <li>ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: EXPOSED</li> <li>- Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: EXPOSED</li> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 384 mg/l, 48 hr 360 mg/l, 72 hr</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: EXPOSED</li> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 384 mg/l, 48 hr 360 mg/l, 72 hr 360 mg/l.</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: EXPOSED <ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 384 mg/l, 48 hr 360 mg/l, 72 hr 360 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

ECD SIDS . ECOTOXICITY	SODIUM CARBONAT Id 497-19-
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.200
	- Other effects: Not reported.
	RESULTS CONTROL: Not reported.
	RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE
	- Concentrations: Not reported.
	- Results: Not reported.
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS
Test condition	- Strain: Not reported.
	<ul> <li>Wild caught: Obtained from University Lake on the campus of the University.</li> </ul>
	No further details reported.
	STOCK AND TEST SOLUTION AND THEIR PREPARATION
	No further details reported.
	STABILITY OF THE TEST CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported.
	REFERENCE SUBSTANCE: Not reported.
	DILUTION WATER
	- Source: University Lake Water filtered through glass wool.
	No further details reported.
	TEST SYSTEM
	No details reported.
	DURATION OF THE TEST: 96 hr
	TEST PARAMETER: Death.
	SAMPLING: Not reported.
Test substance	MONITORING OF TEST SUBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not reported. SOURCE: Not reported.
Test substance	PURITY: Not reported.
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.
Reliability	: (4) not assignable Documentation insufficient for complete
Ronability	assessment.
	Documentation insufficient for complete assessment.
14.02.2003	(8)
Туре	:
Species	: other: <i>Lymnaea sp.</i> eggs
Exposure period	: 96 hour(s)
Unit	: Mg/I
EC50	: = 411
Analytical monitoring	: no data
Method	: other: according to Anderson et al. (1948)
Year	: 1965
GLP	: No
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: According to Anderson et al. (1948).
	GLP: No
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	: RESULTS: EXPOSED
Result	
Result	<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Result	- Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported. - Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr
Result	- Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported. - Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr 395 mg/l.
Result	- Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported. - Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr 395 mg/l. - Concentration / response curve: Not reported.
Result	<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr 395 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr 395 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr 395 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.</li> <li>Other effects: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr 395 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.</li> <li>Other effects: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS CONTROL: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr 395 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.</li> <li>Other effects: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS CONTROL: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE</li> </ul>
Result	<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr 395 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.</li> <li>Other effects: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS CONTROL: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE</li> <li>Concentrations: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Result Test condition	<ul> <li>Nominal/measured concentrations: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect data (Immobilisation): LC50 24 hr 403 mg/l, 48 hr 403 mg/l, 72 hr 395 mg/l.</li> <li>Concentration / response curve: Not reported.</li> <li>Cumulative immobilisation: Not reported.</li> <li>Effect concentration vs. test substance solubility: Not reported.</li> <li>Other effects: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS CONTROL: Not reported.</li> <li>RESULTS: TEST WITH REFERENCE SUBSTANCE</li> </ul>

	D SIDS COTOXICITY		Id	CARBONATI 497-19-8
			Date	19.02.2003
	t substance	ditch near Fountainebleau S No further details reported. STOCK AND TEST SOLUT No further details reported. STABILITY OF THE TEST O REFERENCE SUBSTANC DILUTION WATER - Source: University Lake Wa No further details reported. TEST SYSTEM No details reported. DURATION OF THE TEST: TEST PARAMETER: Death. SAMPLING: Not reported. MONITORING OF TEST SI : SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: ANY OTHER INFORMATIO	TION AND THEIR PREPARATION CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS: Not reported E: Not reported. 'ater filtered through glass wool. 96 hr UBSTANCE CONCENTRATION: Not	d. : reported.
Reli	ability	: (4) not assignable assessment.	Documentation insufficient for comple	ete
		Documentation insufficient f		
14.0	02.2003		(8)	
4.3 4.4		ATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE ROORGANISMS E.G. BACTERIA		
4.5.1	CHRONIC TOXICIT	'Y TO FISH		
4.5.2	CHRONIC TOXICIT	Y TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES		
4.6.1				
4.6.2		RESTRIAL PLANTS		
4.6.3		DWELLING ORGANISMS		
4.6.4	IOA. IO UTHER N	ON MAMM. TERR. SPECIES		
4.7	BIOLOGICAL EFFE	ECTS MONITORING		
4.8	BIOTRANSFORMA	TION AND KINETICS		
4.9	ADDITIONAL REM	ARKS		

<u>OECD SIDS</u> 5. TOXICITY		Id	ARBONAT 497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
5.0 TOXICOKINETICS	S, METABOLISM AND DISTRIBUTION		
5.1.1 ACUTE ORAL TO	XICITY		
Туре	: LD50		
Value	: = 2800 mg/kg bw		
Species	: rat		
Strain	: Wistar		
Sex	: male/female		
Number of animals	: 50		
Vehicle	: water		
Doses	: 1.3, 1.8, 2.6, 3.6, 5.0 g/kg		
Method	: other		
Year GLP	: 1978		
GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate monohydrate</li> </ul>		
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Not reported.		
	DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Not rep	orted.	
	GLP: No		
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.		
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reporte	ed.	
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.		
Result	: MORTALITY:		
	- Time of death: The time of death is listed b	by dose. 1.8 g/kg: day 8.	2.6
	g/kg: day 1 - 2. 3.6 g/kg: day 1. 5.0g/kg: day		
	- Number of deaths at each dose: 1.3 g/kg:	0/10. 1.8 g/kg: 1/10. 2.6	g/kg:
	4/10. 3.6 g/kg: 7/10. 5.0 g/kg: 10/10.		
	CLINICAL SIGNS: All animals that died du		
	reduced body weight or no body weight gai		
	until the study termination, gained weight c		
	study start. Signs of effects observed include		
	nasal discharge, urinary staining of the abo		
	prostration, lethargy, faecal staining of the a animals surviving the study were clear of si		i. All
	NECROPSY FINDINGS: The necropsy fin		12
	g/kg: 4/10 rats had a mottled liver only. 1.8		
	liver, one of these had air filled intestines. 2		
	liver. 4/10 had a mottled liver, mottled or pa		
	discharge, red intestines, stomach with a re		
	red fluid. 3.6 g/kg: 2/10 had a mottled liver		
	with one exception, had most of the followi		
	kidneys, nasal or oral discharge, red intesti	ines, stomach with a red	d pyloric
	region or containing red fluid, mottled or da	ark red lungs, mottled liv	er. 5.0
	g/kg: The animals in this dosing group all h		
	mottled or pale kidneys, nasal or oral disch		
	stomach with a red pyloric region or contain	ning red fluid, mottled o	r dark red
	lungs, mottled liver, air in the intestines.		
	POTENTIAL TARGET ORGANS: Not repo		
Test condition	SEX-SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES: Not repo	Jilea.	
	: TEST ORGANISMS: Wistar albino rats.	owitt NI	
	<ul> <li>Source: Marland Breeding Farms, Inc., He</li> <li>Age: Not reported.</li> </ul>	Ewill, INJ.	
	- Weight at study initiation: 187-296 g.		
	- Controls: Not reported.		
	ADMINISTRATION: Oral, by intubation.		
	- Doses: 1.3, 1.8, 2.6, 3.6 and 5.0 g/kg.		
	- Doses per time period: One dosing only.		
	- Volume administered or concentration: The	he test material was ad	ministered
			-

<u>OECD SIDS</u> 5. TOXICITY	Id	d 497-19-
	Date	e 19.02.200
	by oral intubation as a 20% w/v solution in tap water.	
	- Post dose observation period: 14 days. EXAMINATIONS: Following dosing the rats were observed	for mortality and
	overt signs of effects at 0-2 and 4-6 hrs following dosing and	
	for 14 days. Body weight was recorded initially and termina	
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.	iy.
	PURITY: Not reported.	
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: The test substance was sod	ium carbonate
	monohydrate.	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
47.00.0000	Comparable to guideline study	(00)
17.02.2003		(22)
Туре	: LD50	
Value	: = 4090 mg/kg bw	
Species	: Rat	
Strain	:	
Sex	:	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle		
Doses Method	: other	
Year	: 1972	
GLP	: No	
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate	
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Not reported.	
	GLP: No	
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.	
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
Result	: MORTALITY:	
	No details reported.	
	CLINICAL SIGNS: Not reported. NECROPSY FINDINGS: Not reported.	
	POTENTIAL TARGET ORGANS: Not reported.	
	SEX-SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES: Not reported.	
Source	: TNO Voeding AJ Zeist	
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS:	
	No details reported.	
	ADMINISTRATION:	
	No details reported.	
Toot out of and	EXAMINATIONS: Not reported.	
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported. PURITY: Not reported.	
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.	
Reliability	: (4) not assignable	
-	Only stated in secondary literature.	
14.02.2003	(13)	(20)
5.1.2 ACUTE INHALATI	ON TOXICITY	
Туре	: LC50	
Value	$= 2300 \text{ mg/m}^3$	
Species	: Rat	
Strain	: other: Wistar and Sprague-Dawley	
Sex	: Male	
Number of animals	: 60	
56	UNEP Publications	

5. TOXICITY	Id 4	97-19-
J. 10/10/11/1		)2.200
Vehicle	: no data	
Doses	: 800-4600 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Exposure time	: 2 hour(s)	
Method	: other	
Year	: 1983	
GLP	: No	
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate	
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: More or less comparable to OECD guideline 403.	
	<ul> <li>In addition cellular immunity was assessed using mitogen-induced lymphocyte activation assays and T-cell distribution assays in rats killed at 3-4 days and at 12-13 days after inhalation. The mitogens used were concanavalin A, phytohemagglutinin, pokeweed mitogen, and lipopolysaccharide. T-cell distribution was based on uptake of [3H]uridine. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 403: 2h exposure instead of 4h exposure; only males used; reporting less elaborate and complete; assays of cellular immunity were included.</li> <li>GLP: No</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>METHOD OF CALCULATION: LC50 were calculated from acute death (those occurring from beginning of the exposure to 2 hr after exposure) data from three trials. In other trials, acute deaths were scattered over all dose ranges. In no trial was LC50 calculable from overall death data (i.e., from</li> </ul>	
Result	<ul> <li>beginning of exposure to 14 days after exposure). ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>MORTALITY: <ul> <li>Time of death: During and within 1-2 hr after exposure, or beginning at 1 day after exposure, peaking at 5-7 days, and continuing to 9-10 days after exposure.</li> <li>Number of deaths at each dose Not reported.</li> <li>CLINICAL SIGNS: Signs of respiratory impairment immediately after exposure. Dyspnea, wheezing, excessive salivation, and distention of the abdomen. In many animals, excessive salivation and repeated swallowing continued during the first 2 h r following exposure. Signs subsided within 3-4 hr after exposure. Beginning at about 5 hr after exposure, many animals exhibited inappetence. At the same time, both inspiratory and expiratory dyspnea appeared in some animals.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	NECROPSY FINDINGS: Lesionsin respiratory tract in animals that died limited to the posterior pharynx, larynx, anterior trachea, and in approximately 3% of the animals, lungs. POTENTIAL TARGET ORGANS: Respiratory tract. SEX-SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES: Not relevant. OTHER OBSERVATIONS: A transitory immunologic repression. This may be, at least in part, contributory to bacteremia.	
Test condition	<ul> <li>SOURCE: sodium combustion products, formed by sodium in combinatio with oxygen.</li> <li>PURITY: 91%</li> <li>IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: 9.0% NaOH and 0.0% NaHCO3 ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> </ul>	n
Test substance	<ul> <li>TEST ORGANISMS:</li> <li>Source: Not reported.</li> <li>Age: Adult</li> <li>Weight at study initiation: Average weight 365 g.</li> <li>Number of animals: 6 trials of each 10 animals.</li> <li>Controls: Not reported.</li> <li>ADMINISTRATION:</li> <li>Type of exposure: Whole body inhalation to aerosols of sodium combustion products.</li> <li>Concentrations: 17 concentrations between 800-4600 mg/m3.</li> </ul>	
	(0)	

\_

5. TOXICITY	Id	<b>i</b> 497-19-
	Date	19.02.200
	<ul> <li>Particle size: median aerodynamic diametre ± GSD: 1.04</li> <li>Type or preparation of particles: Sodium combines with ox combustion products. These react subsequently and rapidly atmospheric components. In a typical atmosphere the preduced reactions proceed rapidly from the oxide forms to NaOH, the They all form in the atmosphere without appreciable settling aerosol in the order of 1 micrometre aerodynamic equivaler EXAMINATIONS: mortality, clinical signs, necroscopy, assaults.</li> </ul>	ygen to form y with ominant en to Na2CO3. g and produce an nt diameter.
Reliability	<ul> <li>immunity.</li> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>Acceptable, well documented publication which meets basi</li> </ul>	
17.02.2003	principles. Comparable to guideline study with acceptable r (2)	estrictions.
Туре	: LC50	
Value	$= 1200 \text{ mg/m}^3$	
	C C	
Species Strain	: mouse	
Strain Sex	: Swiss Webster	
	: male	
Number of animals	: 40	
Vehicle Doses	: no data	
20000	: 600-3000 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Exposure time	: 2 hour(s)	
Method	: other	
Year	: 1983	
GLP	: no	
Test substance Method	<ul> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: More or less comparable to OECE</li> </ul>	Annidalina 400
	OECD GUIDELINE 403: 2h exposure instead of 4h exposu used; reporting less elaborate and complete. GLP: No STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: LC50 were calculated from a (those occurring from beginning of the exposure to 2 hr after from three trials. In other trials, acute deaths were scattered ranges. In no trial was LC50 calculable from overall death of beginning of exposure to 14 days after exposure). ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	acute death exposure) data over all dose
Result	: MORTALITY:	
	<ul> <li>Time of death: During and within 1-2 hr after exposure, or b day after exposure, peaking at 5-7 days, and continuing to 9- exposure.</li> <li>Number of deaths at each dose: Not reported.</li> <li>CLINICAL SIGNS: Signs of respiratory impairment immedi exposure. Dyspnea, wheezing, excessive salivation, and dis abdomen. In many animals, excessive salivation and repea continued during the first 2 hr following exposure. Signs sub hr after exposure. Beginning at about 5 hr after exposure, m exhibited inappetence. At the same time, both inspiratory and dyspnea appeared in some animals.</li> </ul>	10 days after ately after stention of the ated swallowing osided within 3-4 any animals
Test condition	<ul> <li>NECROPSY FINDINGS: Lesions in respiratory tract in ani limited to the posterior pharynx, larynx, anterior trachea, and approximately 3% of the animals, lungs.</li> <li>POTENTIAL TARGET ORGANS: Respiratory tract.</li> <li>SEX-SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES: Not relevant.</li> <li>SOURCE: sodium combustion products, formed by sodiur with oxygen.</li> <li>PURITY: 95%</li> </ul>	in

. TOXICITY	<b>Id</b> 49	7-19-
		2.200
Test substance	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: 2.5% NaOH and 2.5% NaHCO3 ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. TEST ORGANISMS:	
	- Source: Not reported.	
	- Age: Adult - Weight at study initiation: Average weight 30 g.	
	- Number of animals: 2 trial each of 20 animals.	
	- Controls: Not reported. ADMINISTRATION:	
	- Type of exposure: Whole body inhalation to aerosols of sodium	
	combustion products Concentrations: 8 concentrations between 600-3000 mg/m3.	
	- Particle size: median aerodynamic diametre $\pm$ GSD: 0.77 $\pm$ 2.10	
	micrometre	
	<ul> <li>Type or preparation of particles: Sodium combines with oxygen to form combustion products. These react subsequently and rapidly with</li> </ul>	
	atmospheric components. In a typical atmosphere the predominant	
	reactions proceed rapidly from the oxide forms to NaOH, then to Na2CO3. They all form in the atmosphere without appreciable settling and produce a	า
	aerosol on the order of 1 micrometre aerodynamic equivalent diameter.	-
Poliobility	EXAMINATIONS: mortality, clinical signs, necroscopy.	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions Acceptable, well docum ented publication which meets basic scientific	
	principles. Comparable to guideline study with acceptable restrictions.	
17.02.2003	(2)	
Туре	: LC 50	
Value Spacios	$= 800 \text{ mg/m}^3$	
Species Strain	: guinea pig : Hartley	
Sex	: Male	
Number of animals	: 10	
Vehicle Doses	: no data : 500-3000	
Exposure time	: 2 hour(s)	
Method	: other	
Year	: 1983	
GLP Test substance	: no : other TS: sodium carbonate	
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: More or less comparable to OECD guideline 403.	
	DEVIATIONS FROM	
	OECD GUIDELINE 403: 2h exposure instead of 4h exposure; only males used; reporting less elaborate and complete.	
	GLP: No	
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: LC50 were calculated from acute death (these occurring from beginning of the exposure to 2 br after exposure) data	
	(those occurring from beginning of the exposure to 2 hr after exposure) data from three trials. In other trials, acute deaths were scattered over all dose	
	ranges. In no trial was LC50 calculable from overall death data (i.e., from	
	beginning of exposure to 14 days after exposure).	
Result	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported. : MORTALITY:	
	- Time of death: During and within 1-2 hr after exposure, or beginning at 1	
	day after exposure, peaking at 5-7 days, and continuing to 9-10 days after	
	exposure.	
	<ul> <li>Number of deaths at each dose: Not reported.</li> <li>CLINICAL SIGNS: Signs of respiratory impairment immediately after</li> </ul>	
	exposure. Dyspnea, wheezing, excessive salivation, and distention of the	
	abdomen. In many animals, excessive salivation and repeated swallowing	
	continued during the first 2 hr following exposure. Signs subsided within 3-4	

OECD SIDS		SODIUM C	CARBONATE
5. TOXICITY		Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
	hr after exposure. Beginning at about 5 hr af exhibited inappetence. At the same time, both dyspnea appeared in some animals.		
	NECROPSY FINDINGS: Lesions in respira limited to the posterior pharynx, larynx, anter approximately 3% of the animals, lungs. POTENTIAL TARGET ORGANS: Respirator SEX-SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES: Not releva	rior trachea, and in ry tract.	nat died
Test condition	<ul> <li>SOURCE: sodium combustion products, for with oxygen.</li> <li>PURITY: 95%</li> <li>IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: 4.5% NaOH an ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> </ul>	nd 0.5% NaHCO3	mbination
Test substance	<ul> <li>TEST ORGANISMS:         <ul> <li>Source: Not reported.</li> <li>Age: Adult</li> <li>Weight at study initiation: Average weight 3:</li> <li>Number of animals: 1 trial of 10 animals.</li> <li>Controls: Not reported.</li> <li>ADMINISTRATION:</li> <li>Type of exposure: Whole body inhalation to combustion products.</li> <li>Concentrations: 11 concentrations betweet</li> <li>Particle size: median aerodynamic diamet</li> <li>Type or preparation of particles: Sodium co combustion products. These react subsequatmospheric components. In a typical atmospheric atmosphere without app aerosol on the order of 1 micrometre aerody EXAMINATIONS: mortality, clinical signs, ne</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	o aerosols of sodium on 500-3000 mg/m3. tre $\pm$ GSD: 0.74 $\pm$ 1.82 r ombines with oxygen to rently and rapidly with sphere the predominar ms to NaOH, then to No preciable settling and p ynamic equivalent diam	o form nt la2CO3. rroduce an
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions Acceptable, well documented publication w	hich meets basic scier	
17.02.2003	principles. Comparable to guideline study w	vith acceptable restricti (2)	IONS.

### 5.1.3 ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY

Type Value Species	: LD 50 : > 2000 mg/kg bw : Rabbit
Sex	: no data
Number of animals	: 6
Vehicle	: Water
Doses	: 2000 mg/kg bw as a 1000 mg/ml aqueous slurry.
Method	: other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.40
Year	: 1978
GLP	: No
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate monohydrate
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: EPA 16 CFR 1500.40
	DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Not reported.
	GLP: No
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	: MORTALITY: No deaths occurred during the experiment.
	CLINICAL SIGNS: 3/6 animals gained weight during the 14 days the
0	UNEP Publications

\_

ECD SIDS	SODIUM CARBONAT
TOXICITY	Id 497-19- Date 19.02.200
	experiment lasted. 3/6 animals lost weight or did not gain weight. Well-
	defined to severe erythema and slight to severe oedema were observed in all six animals at the 24-hour dermal observations. The severity of the
	lesions did not vary significantly between the animals with abraded or non-
	abraded skin. Lethargy and hypernea were observed in e ach animal during
	the first 24 hrs following compound administration.
	NECROPSY FINDINGS: Not reported.
	POTENTIAL TARGET ORGANS: Skin.
	SEX-SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES: Not reported.
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS: New Zealand White Albino Rabbits.
	- Source: Marland Breeding Farms, Inc., Hewitt, NJ.
	- Age: Not reported.
	- Weight at study initiation: 2.50-3.40 kg.
	- Controls: Not reported. 3 animals had abraded skin, and 3 animals had
	non-abraded skin.
	ADMINISTRATION: The hair of each rabbit was clipped from the trunk so
	as to expose at least 30% of the body surface area. The skin of half the
	animals was abraded longitudinally every two or 3 cm over the area of
	exposure. The operations were deep enough so as to penetrate the
	stratum corneum, but not so deep as to disturb the derma or produced
	bleeding. - Area covered: The test material was administered to the clipped area.
	- Occlusion: The test material was held in contact with the skin by a sleeve
	made of impervious plastic sheeting designed to contain the dose without
	leakage or undue pressure. - Vehicle: Water.
	<ul> <li>Concentration in vehicle: the test material was administered as a 1000 mg/ml aqueous slurry.</li> </ul>
	- Total volume applied: Not reported.
	- Total volume applied. Not reported. - Doses: 2000 mg/kg.
	- Removal of test substance: After 24 hrs of exposure, the exposed area
	was wiped free of excess test material.
	EXAMINATIONS: Observations for mortality and overt signs of effect were
	made at 0-2 and 4-6 hrs following dosing and daily thereafter for 14 days.
	The exposed area was observed for oedema, erythema and eschar
	formation after 24 hrs. Body weight was recorded initially and terminally.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.
Test substance	PURITY: Not reported.
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: The test substance was sodium carbonate
	monohydrate.
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction
	Guideline study
14.02.2003	(21)
Туре	: LD50
Value	: = 2210 mg/kg bw
Species	: mouse
Strain	:
Sex	:
Number of animals	:
Vehicle	:
Doses	:
Method	: other
Year	: 1970
GLP	: no
	: other TS: sodium carbonate
Test substance	
	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Not reported.
Test substance	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Not reported. GLP: No
Test substance	

OECD SIDS		SODIUM C	
5. TOXICITY		Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.		
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.		
Result	: MORTALITY:		
Nesul	No details reported.		
	CLINICAL SIGNS: Not reported.		
	NECROPSY FINDINGS: Not reported.		
	POTENTIAL TARGET ORGANS: Not reported.		
	SEX-SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES: Not reported.		
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS:		
rest condition	No details reported.		
	ADMINISTRATION:		
	No details reported. EXAMINATIONS: Not reported.		
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.		
Test substance	PURITY: Not reported.		
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.		
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.		
Reliability	: (4) not assignable		
Reliability	Only stated in secondary literature.		
14.02.2003	Only stated in secondary merature.	(12) (20)	
	, OTHER ROUTES	(13) (20)	
		(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION	N	(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species	N : rabbit	(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration	N : rabbit : 5 g	(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure	N : rabbit : 5 g : Occlusive	(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time	N : rabbit : 5 g : Occlusive : 4 hour(s)	(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals	N : rabbit : 5 g : Occlusive : 4 hour(s) : 6	(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle	N : rabbit : 5 g : Occlusive : 4 hour(s) : 6 : other	(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII	N : rabbit : 5 g : Occlusive : 4 hour(s) : 6 : other : 0	(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> </ul>	(13) (20)	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification	N : rabbit : 5 g : Occlusive : 4 hour(s) : 6 : other : 0 : not irritating : not irritating : not irritating		
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> </ul>		
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> </ul>		
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> </ul>		
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> </ul>	tation)"	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According OECD guide</li> </ul>	tation)"	main
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According OECD guide deviations, and several minor ones.</li> </ul>	tation)"	main
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According OECD guide deviations, and several minor ones. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> </ul>	tation)" line 404, with two	
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According OECD guide deviations, and several minor ones. DEVIATIONS FROM OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was not</li> </ul>	tation)" line 404, with two ot moistened with	water to
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According OECD guide deviations, and several minor ones. DEVIATIONS FROM OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was not ensure good contact between skin and test substance</li> </ul>	tation)" line 404, with two ot moistened with	water to
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According OECD guide deviations, and several minor ones. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was not ensure good contact between skin and test substance and test substance of semi-occlusive.</li> </ul>	tation)" line 404, with two ot moistened with tance; patch was o	water to occlusive
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According OECD guide deviations, and several minor ones. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was not ensure good contact between skin and test substance do semi-occlusive. Minor deviations included small differences in home</li> </ul>	tation)" line 404, with two ot moistened with tance; patch was o	water to occlusive
5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According OECD guide deviations, and several minor ones. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was not ensure good contact between skin and test substainstead of semi-occlusive. Minor deviations included small differences in hor other toxic effects have been observed.</li> </ul>	tation)" line 404, with two ot moistened with tance; patch was o busing conditions a	water to occlusive
3.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY 3.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>rabbit</li> <li>5 g</li> <li>Occlusive</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>6</li> <li>other</li> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.4 "Acute toxicity (skin irrit</li> <li>1985</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: According OECD guide deviations, and several minor ones. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was not ensure good contact between skin and test substance do semi-occlusive. Minor deviations included small differences in home</li> </ul>	tation)" line 404, with two ot moistened with tance; patch was o busing conditions a	water to occlusive

REVERSIBILITY: Not relevant OTHER EFFECTS: No

- Strain: White New Zealand

: AVERAGE SCORE -Erythema: 0 - Edema: 0

: TEST ANIMALS:

- Sex: Not reported.

STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.

Result

Test condition

5. TOXICITY	Id	497-19
	Date	19.02.200
	- Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.	
	- Age: Not reported.	
	- Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg	
	- Number of animals: 6	
	<ul> <li>Controls: Included but not described.</li> </ul>	
	ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE	
	- Preparation of test substance: No preparation	
	- Area of exposure: Shaved backskin 2.5 x 2.5 cm.	
	- Occlusion: Yes	
	<ul> <li>Vehicle: Not reported.</li> <li>Concentration in vehicle: Not reported.</li> </ul>	
	- Total volume applied: 0.5 g	
	- Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.	
	- Removal of test substance: Washed with water.	
	IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM	
	Not relevant.	
	EXAMINATIONS	
	-Scoring system: Draize scheme	
	- Examination time points: 30 and 60 min, 24, 48, and 72 hr.	
Test substance	: SOURCE: Chem . Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany	
	PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance:	
	"Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
-	Guideline study with minor deviations.	
17.02.2003	(5)	
Species	: rabbit	
Concentration	: 5g	
Exposure	: Occlusive	
Exposuretime	: 24 hour(s)	
Number of animals	: 6	
Vehicle PDII	: other: none	
Result	: 0 : not irritating	
Classification	: not irritating	
Method	: other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.3	
Year	: 1978	
GLP	: no	
Test substance	: other TS: The test substance was sodium carbonate monohydrate.	
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.3	
	DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Not reported.	
	GLP: No	
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.	
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
Result	: AVERAGE SCORE	
	-Erythema: 0.	
	- Edema: 0.	
	REVERSIBILITY: Not reported.	
	OTHER EFFECTS: Not reported.	
	The primary dermal irritation index was 0.	
Test condition	: TEST ANIMALS: Rabbits.	
	- Strain: New Zealand White Albino.	
	- Sex: Not reported.	
	- Source: Not reported.	
	- Age: Not reported. - Weight at study initiation: 2.2-2.7 kg.	
	- Weight at study initiation: 2.2-2.7 kg. - Number of animals: 6.	
	UNEP Publications	6

TOXICITY	<b>Id</b> 497-19-
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.200
	- Controls: Not reported.
	ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE
	- Preparation of test substance: The test material was administered as an
	aqueous slurry.
	- Area of exposure: The rabbits were closely clipped over the back and
	sides. There were to test sites for rabbit, each site 1 inch by 1 inch in area.
	The site to the left of the spinal column was abraded, while the sites to the
	right of the spinal column was left intact. The abrasions were sufficiently
	deep so as to penetrate the stratum corneum, but not so deep as to disturb
	the derma or produce bleeding.
	- Occlusion: A surgical gauze square a 1 inch by 1 inch, 8 single layers
	thick, was based on directly on the test site and secured with Dermicel tape.
	The animals were then wrapped with plastic sheeting secured with masking
	tape.
	- Vehicle: Water.
	- Concentration in vehicle: 1 gram/ml.
	- Total volume applied: 0.5 ml
	- Postexposure period: The animals were observed for 72 hrs after
	application.
	- Removal of test substance: After 24 hrs the sheeting and gauze patches
	were removed. It is not reported whether the test substance was cleaned
	from the application area.
	EXAMINATIONS
	- Scoring system: The Draize method.
	- Examination time points: Observations for signs of dermal irritation or
	systemic toxicity were recorded at 24 and 72 hrs after application. All
Test substance	treated sides were scored for erythema, eschar, and oedema formation.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.
	PURITY: Not reported.
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Notreported.
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: The test substance was sodium carbonate
Deliability	monohydrate.
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction
14.02.2003	Guideline study (23)
14.02.2003	(23)
- ·	: human
Species	_
Species Concentration	: 2 g
-	: 2 g : no data
Concentration	
Concentration Exposure	: no data
Concentration Exposure Exposure time	: no data : 4 hour(s)
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals	: no data : 4 hour(s) : 26
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result	: no data : 4 hour(s) : 26
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>.</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Human patch test procedure slightly modified from</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Human patch test procedure slightly modified from that described earlier (Basketter et al. 1994). Developed for humans to</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Human patch test procedure slightly modified from that described earlier (Basketter et al. 1994). Developed for humans to replace method described by OECD guideline 404. Volunteers were exposed for 15, 30 or 60 minutes, or up to 2, 3 or 4 hours using patches with Hill Top Chambers. Solids were moistened. Scores were assessed 24,</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Human patch test procedure slightly modified from that described earlier (Basketter et al. 1994). Developed for humans to replace method described by OECD guideline 404. Volunteers were exposed for 15, 30 or 60 minutes, or up to 2, 3 or 4 hours using patches</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Human patch test procedure slightly modified from that described earlier (Basketter et al. 1994). Developed for humans to replace method described by OECD guideline 404. Volunteers were exposed for 15, 30 or 60 minutes, or up to 2, 3 or 4 hours using patches with Hill Top Chambers. Solids were moistened. Scores were assessed 24,</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Human patch test procedure slightly modified from that described earlier (Basketter et al. 1994). Developed for humans to replace method described by OECD guideline 404. Volunteers were exposed for 15, 30 or 60 minutes, or up to 2, 3 or 4 hours using patches with Hill Top Chambers. Solids were moistened. Scores were assessed 24, 48 and 72 hours after patch removal using a 4-point scale.</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Human patch test procedure slightly modified from that described earlier (Basketter et al. 1994). Developed for humans to replace method described by OECD guideline 404. Volunteers were exposed for 15, 30 or 60 minutes, or up to 2, 3 or 4 hours using patches with Hill Top Chambers. Solids were moistened. Scores were assessed 24, 48 and 72 hours after patch removal using a 4-point scale. GLP: Yes.</li> </ul>
Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no data</li> <li>4 hour(s)</li> <li>26</li> <li>water</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: human patch test</li> <li>1996</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Human patch test procedure slightly modified from that described earlier (Basketter et al. 1994). Developed for humans to replace method described by OECD guideline 404. Volunteers were exposed for 15, 30 or 60 minutes, or up to 2, 3 or 4 hours using patches with Hill Top Chambers. Solids were moistened. Scores were assessed 24, 48 and 72 hours after patch removal using a 4-point scale. GLP: Yes. STATISTICAL METHODS: Fisher's exact test was used to compare overall</li> </ul>

TOXICITY	<b>Id</b> 497-1	19-
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.2	:00
Result		
Result	: AVERAGE SCORE - Total reactivity: 0%	
	REVERSIBILITY: Not relevant	
	OTHER EFFECTS: 81% SDS (20%) reactivity.	
Test condition	: TEST ANIMALS:	
Test condition	- Sex: Not standardized.	
	- Age: Between 18-65 years	
	- Number of animals: 26	
	- Controls: Positive control SDS (20%) included.	
	ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE	
	- Preparation of test substance: Moistened	
	- Area of exposure: 25 mm Plain Hill Top C hamber	
	- Occlusion: Not reported.	
	- Vehicle: Not reported.	
	- Concentration in vehicle: Not reported.	
	- Total volume applied: 0.2 g	
	- Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.	
	- Removal of test substance:	
	IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM	
	Not relevant.	
	EXAMINATIONS	
	- Scoring system: The reactions were scored using the following criteria: 0 =	
	no reaction, + = weakly positive reaction (usually characterised by mild	
	erythema across most of the treatment site, ++ = moderately positive	
	reaction (usually distinct erythema possibly spreading beyond the treatment	
	site, +++ = strongly positive reaction (strong, often spreading erythema with	
	oedema).	
	- Examination time points: 24, 48, and 72 hr.	
Test substance	: SOURCE: Solvay	
	PURITY: 98%	
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
	Acceptable, well documented publication which meets basic scientific	
	principles.	
17.02.2003	(30)	
Species	: rabbit	
Concentration	: 50 %	
Exposure	: no data	
Exposure time	: 4 hour(s)	
	: 6	
Number of animals	: water	
Number of animals Vehicle		
Vehicle PDII Result	: 0 : not irritating	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification	: 0 : not irritating : not irritating	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison.</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1,</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1, 24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reexamined after one month; intact skin</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1, 24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reexamined after one month; intact skin and abraded skin was used instead of only intact skin; reporting less</li> </ul>	
Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>0</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revis ed FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1, 24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reexamined after one month; intact skin</li> </ul>	

TOVICITY	SODIUM CARBONAT Id 497-19-
. TOXICITY	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{d} & 497-19-\\ \mathbf{Date} & 19.02.200 \end{array}$
	<b>Dat</b> 19.02.200
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: A primary irritation index was calculated by
	averageing the scores for abraded and intact skin with respect to e rythema
	and oedema at 4, 24 and 48 h.
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	: AVERAGE SCORE
	- Erythema: 0
	- Edema: 0
	For abraded skin a mean score of 1.5
	REVERSIBILITY: Not relevant
	OTHER EFFECTS: No tissue destruction.
Test condition	: TEST ANIMALS:
	No details reported.
	ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE
	<ul> <li>Preparation of test substance: No preparation</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Area of exposure: Intact and abraded skin.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Occlusion: Semi-occlusive or occlusive patches used.</li> </ul>
	- Vehicle: Not reported.
	- Concentration in vehicle: Not reported.
	- Total volume applied: 0.5 ml
	- Postexposure period: Up to 48 h, and reexamination after one month.
	<ul> <li>Removal of test substance: Not reported.</li> </ul>
	IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM
	Not relevant.
	EXAMINATIONS
	- Scoring system: Primary irritation indices
	- Examination time points: 4, 24, and 48 hr.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported
	PURITY: Not reported
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported
Reliability	: (4) not assignable
	Description too short and only referenced method, therefore no assessment
	can be made.
17.02.2003	(17)
Species	: guinea pig
Concentration	: 50 %
Exposure	: no data
Exposure time	: 4 hour(s)
Number of animals	: 6
Vehicle	: water
PDII	
	: not irritating
Result	0
Result Classification	• not irritating
Classification	<ul> <li>not irritating</li> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> </ul>
Classification Method	: other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)
Classification Method Year	<ul><li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li><li>1975</li></ul>
Classification Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison.</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1,</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1, 24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reexamined after one month; intact skin</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1, 24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reexamined after one month; intact skin and abraded skin was used instead of only intact skin; reporting less</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1, 24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reexamined after one month; intact skin and abraded skin was used instead of only intact skin; reporting less elaborate than guideline demands.</li> </ul>
Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (1972)</li> <li>1975</li> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too short to make a full comparison. DEVIATIONS FROM OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1, 24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reexamined after one month; intact skin and abraded skin was used instead of only intact skin; reporting less</li> </ul>

DECD SIDS 5. TOXICITY	SODIUM CARBONAT Id 497-19-
	Date 19.02.200
	averageing the scores for abraded and intact skin with respect to erythema
	and oedema at 4, 24 and 48 h.
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	: AVERAGE SCORE
Result	- Erythema: 0
	- Edema: 0
	For abraded skin a mean score of 0.1
	REVERSIBILITY: Not relevant
	OTHER EFFECTS: No tissue destruction.
Test condition	: TEST ANIMALS:
	- Strain: Hartley
	- Age: Young adults.
	No further details reported.
	ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE
	- Preparation of test substance: No preparation
	- Area of exposure: Intact and abraded skin.
	- Occlusion: Semiocclusive or occlusive patches used.
	- Vehicle: Not reported.
	- Concentration in vehicle: Not reported.
	- Total volume applied: 0.5 ml
	- Postexposure period: Up to 48 h, and reexamination after
	one month.
	- Removal of test substance: Not reported.
	IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM
	Not relevant.
	EXAMINATIONS
	- Scoring system: Primary irritation indices
	- Examination time points: 4, 24, and 48 hr.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported
	PURITY: Not reported
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported
Reliability	: (4) not assignable
	Description too short and only referenced method, therefore no assessment
	can be made.
17.02.2003	(17)
Species	: Human
Concentration	: 50 %
Exposure	: no data
Exposure time	: 4 hour(s)
Number of animals	: 6
Vehicle	: water
PDII	
Result	not irritating
Classification	: not irritating
Method	: other: revised FHSA procedure proposed by FDA (US)
Year	: 1975
GLP	: no
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Probably comparable to OECD guideline 404, as
	FHSA procedure proposed by FDA was used. However, description was too
	short to make a full comparison.
	DEVIATIONS FROM
	OECD GUIDELINE 404: Test substance was suspended in water in stead
	of being moistened with water; scores done at 4, 24 and 48h, instead of 1,
	$\sigma$ song mosteriou with water, source dutte at $\tau$ , $2\tau$ and $\tau$ of, instead of 1,
	24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reavanized after one month; intact skin
	24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reexamined after one month; intact skin and abraded skin was used instead of only intact skin; reporting less
	24, 48 and 72h; most subjects were reexamined after one month; intact skin and abraded skin was used instead of only intact skin; reporting less elaborate than guideline demands.

OECD SIDS	SODIUM CARBONAT Id 497-19-
5. TOXICITY	<b>Date</b> $19.02.200$
	GLP: No METHOD OF CALCULATION: A primary irritation index was calculated by
	averageing the scores for abraded and intact skin with respect to erythema
	and oedema at 4, 24 and 48 h.
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	: AVERAGE SCORE
	- Erythema: 0
	- Edema: 0
	For abraded skin a mean score of >2.0 with 2 out of 6 humans having tissue
	destruction greater than grade 4.0. REVERSIBILITY: Not relevant
	OTHER EFFECTS: No tissue destruction.
Test condition	: TEST ANIMALS:
	No details reported.
	ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE
	- Preparation of test substance: No preparation
	- Area of exposure: Intact and abraded skin.
	-Occlusion: Semiocclusive or occlusive patches used.
	<ul> <li>Vehicle: Not reported.</li> <li>Concentration in vehicle: Not reported.</li> </ul>
	- Total volume applied: 0.5 ml
	- Postexposure period: Up to 48 h, and reexamination after one month.
	- Removal of test substance: Not reported.
	IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM
	Not relevant.
	EXAMINATIONS
	- Scoring system: Primary irritation indices
Test substance	- Examination time points: 4, 24, and 48 hr.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported PURITY: Not reported
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported
Reliability	: (4) not assignable
	Description too short and only referenced method, therefore no asses sment
17 02 2002	can be made.
17.02.2003	(17)
5.2.2 EYE IRRITATION	
Species	: Rabbit
Concentration	: Undiluted
•	: Undiluted : .1 other: g
Concentration Dose Exposure time	: .1 other: g : 72 hour(s)
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment	: .1 other: g : 72 hour(s) : not rinsed
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals	<ul> <li>.1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle	<ul> <li>1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result	<ul> <li>1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result Classification	<ul> <li>1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result Classification Method	<ul> <li>1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.5 "Acute toxicity (eye irritation)"</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result Classification	<ul> <li>1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result Classification Method Year	<ul> <li>.1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.5 "Acute toxicity (eye irritation)"</li> <li>1985</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result Classification Method Year GLP	<ul> <li>.1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.5 "Acute toxicity (eye irritation)"</li> <li>1985</li> <li>yes</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.5 "Acute toxicity (eye irritation)"</li> <li>1985</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Comparable to OECD guideline 405 with minor deviations.</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.5 "Acute toxicity (eye irritation)"</li> <li>1985</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Comparable to OECD guideline 405 with minor deviations. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.5 "Acute toxicity (eye irritation)"</li> <li>1985</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Comparable to OECD guideline 405 with minor deviations. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> <li>OECD GUIDELINE 405: Minor deviations included housing conditions.</li> </ul>
Concentration Dose Exposure time Comment Number of animals Vehicle Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>1 other: g</li> <li>72 hour(s)</li> <li>not rinsed</li> <li>6</li> <li>none</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>not irritating</li> <li>Directive 84/449/EEC, B.5 "Acute toxicity (eye irritation)"</li> <li>1985</li> <li>yes</li> <li>other TS: sodium carbonate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: Comparable to OECD guideline 405 with minor deviations. DEVIATIONS FROM</li> </ul>

Result       AVERAGE SCORE         -Comma: 0       -Comma: 0         -Inis: 0.25       -Comjunityae (Redness): 1.67         -Comjunityae (Redness): 1.67       -Comjunityae (Redness): 1.83         -Overall intration score: Not inflant       DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS. Not reported.         REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing.         OTHER EFFECTS: No.         Test condition         -Strain: White New Zealand         -Ser: Not reported.         -Source: Harald Schniever, Rabbit farm, Germany.         -Age: Not reported.         -Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         -Number of animals: 6         -Orntrols: Right eye.         -Preparation of test substance: No preparation         -Relevant         -Proparation of test substance: No preparation         -Proparation of test substance: No preparation         -Proparation of test substance: No preparation         -Souring System: Draize scheme         -Observation period: 1, 24.48, and 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.         Extemption of test substance: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Source: Sourer (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         I		
Result       AVERAGE SCORE         -Comma: 0       -Comma: 0         -Inis: 0.25       -Comjunityae (Redness): 1.67         -Comjunityae (Redness): 1.67       -Comjunityae (Redness): 1.83         -Overall intration score: Not inflant       DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS. Not reported.         REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing.         OTHER EFFECTS: No.         Test condition         -Strain: White New Zealand         -Ser: Not reported.         -Source: Harald Schniever, Rabbit farm, Germany.         -Age: Not reported.         -Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         -Number of animals: 6         -Orntrols: Right eye.         -Preparation of test substance: No preparation         -Relevant         -Proparation of test substance: No preparation         -Proparation of test substance: No preparation         -Proparation of test substance: No preparation         -Souring System: Draize scheme         -Observation period: 1, 24.48, and 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.         Extemption of test substance: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Source: Sourer (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         I		
Result       :       AVERAGE SCORE         - Cornea: 0       - Init: 0.25         - Conjuntivae (Redness): 1.67       - Conjuntivae (Chemosis): 1.38         - Overall inflation score: Not inflatin       DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS: Not reported.         REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing.         Test condition       :       TEST ANIMALS:         - Strain: White New Zealand       - Sec: Not reported.         - Sec: Not reported.       - Source: Harald Schrivevr, Rabbit farm, Germany.         - Age: Not reported.       - Source: Harald Schrivevr, Rabbit farm, Germany.         - Age: Not reported.       - Source: Harald Schrivevr, Rabbit farm, Germany.         - Age: Not reported.       - Controls: Right eye.         - Abminist Tarald Schrivevr, Rabbit farm, Germany.       - Age: Not reported.         - Amount of substance institute: 0.1 g in let eye.       - Vehicle: Right eye.         - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.       - Preparation of test substance: Not relevant.         - Source: Harous period: Up to 72 hr.       - Not relevant.         - Toti Used to assess source: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECO 405.       - Source: Harous Code (Egg: Lipit calcined soda)         Reliability       :       (1) vald without restriction       Guetance <td></td> <td>•</td>		•
<ul> <li>- Cornea: 0         <ul> <li>- Inis: 0.25</li> <li>- Conjuntivae (Redness): 1.67</li> <li>- Conjuntivae (Chernosis): 1.38</li> <li>- Overall irritation score: Not irritant             DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS: Not reported.</li> </ul> </li> <li>REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed         conjunctival redness and chernosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was         decreasing.             OTHER EFFECTS: No.             OTHER EFFECTS: No.             OTHER EFFECTS: No.             OTHER EFFECTS: No.             Sex: Not reported.             - Staran: While New Ze aland             - Sex: Not reported.             - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.             - Age: Not reported.             - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.             - Age: Not reported.             - Controls: Right eye.             ADMINISTRATIONEXPOSURE             - Preparation of test substance: No preparation             - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye             - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.             - Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.             IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM             Not relevent.             EXAMINATIONS             - Optialmoscopic examination: Yes.             - Socing system: Draize scheme             - Observation period: 1.2 4.4 8, and 72 hr.             - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system             as described in OECD 405.         </li> <li>Test substance             SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany             PURITY: Not reported.             MPURITY: Not reported.             MPURITY: Not reported.             MRPURITY: Not reported.</li></ul>	Deeult	
- Iris: 0.25       - Conjuntivae (Redness): 1.67         - Conjuntivae (Chemosis): 1.38       - Overall irritation score: Not irritant         DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS: Not reported.       REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing.         Test condition       :       TEST ANIMALS:         .       .       .	Result	
-Conjuntivae (Redness): 1.67         -Conjuntivae (Chemosis): 1.38         -Overall initiation score. Not irritant         DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS: Not reported.         REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing.         OTHER EFFECTS: No.         OTHER EFFECTS: No.         OTHER EFFECTS: No.         OTHER EFFECTS: No.         Sex: Not reported.         -Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         Number of animals: 6         -Controls: Right eye.         ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE         -Preparation of test substance: No preparation         -Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye         -Vehicle: Right eye on threated.         -Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS         -Ophalmoscopic examination: Yes.         -Socing system: Draize scheme         -Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.         -Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köin, Germany         PURITY: Not reported.       MPURITY: NOT reported.         ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test s		
- Conjunitivae (Chemosis): 1.38         - Overali mitation score: Not initiant         DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS: Not reported.         REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing.         OTHER EFFECTS: No.         Test condition       : TEST ANIMALS:         - Strain: White New Zealand         - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.         - Age: Not reported.         - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.         - Age: Not reported.         - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.         - Age: Not reported.         - Source: Harald Schriever, No preparation         - Armount of substance: No preparation         - Preparation of test substance: No preparation         - Socing system: Draiz scheme         - Observation period. Up to 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS         - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       : SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Koin, Germany PURTY: Abit Ty/ADDITVE//		
<ul> <li>Overall initiation score: Not initiant DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS: Not reported. REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing. OTHER EFFECTS: No. TEST ANIMALS:</li> <li>Train: White New Zealand</li> <li>Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.</li> <li>Age: Not reported.</li> <li>Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg</li> <li>Number of animals: 6</li> <li>Controls: Right eye.</li> <li>ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE</li> <li>Preparation of test substance: No preparation</li> <li>Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 gin left eye</li> <li>Vehicle: Right eye not treated.</li> <li>Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr. IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Not relevant.</li> <li>EXAMINATIONS</li> <li>Ophtalmosopic examination: Yes.</li> <li>Scorie: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.</li> <li>Source: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köin, Germany PURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>MPURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Licitate cale. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)</li> <li>Reliability</li> <li>there. The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.</li> <li>Number of animals</li> <li>other. The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.</li> <li>Number of animals</li> <li>other. The All CFR 1500.42</li> <li>Yeak</li> <l< td=""><td></td><td></td></l<></ul>		
DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS: Not reported.         REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing.         OTHER EFFECTS: No.         Test condition       : TEST ANIMALS:         - Strain: White New Ze aland         - Sex: Not reported.         - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.         - Age: Not reported.         - Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         - Number of animals: 6         - Controls: Right eye.         ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE         - Preparation of test substance: No preparation         - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye         - Vehicle: Right eye.         ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE         - Preparation of test substance: No preparation         - Prostexposure period: Up to 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS         - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.         - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         - Souring: With Minor deviations         IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC:: Not reported.         ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in germany of test substance: 'Leichte calc. Soda'' (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         17.02.2003       (4)		
REVERSIBILITY: All effects were reversible, only one animal showed conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing.         OTHER EFFECTS: No.         Test condition       TEST ANMALS:         Strain: White New Ze aland         -Sex: No reported.         -Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         -Number of animals: 6         -Controls: Right eye.         ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE         -Preparation of test substance: No preparation         -Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye         -Vehicle: Right eye not treated.         -Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS         -Ophelamoscopic examination: Yes.         -Soci Note to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       SOURCE: Chem., Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany         PURITY: Not reported.         ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         17.02.2003       (4)         Species       1 mil         Exposure time       :         Concentration       undiluted         Dose       1 mil         Exposure time       : <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
conjunctival redness and chemosis after 72 hr (score 1), but this score was decreasing. OTHER EFFECTS: No.         Test condition       : TEST ANINALS: -Strain: White New Ze aland -Sex: Not reported.         -Sum: White New Ze aland -Sex: Not reported.       -Sum: White New Ze aland -Sex: Not reported.         -Sum: White New Ze aland -Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.       -Age: Not reported.         -Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg       - - Controls: Right eye.         -Number of animals: 6       - - Controls: Right eye.         -DMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE       - Preparation of test substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.         - Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr. IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM Not relevant.       - - Observation period: 1.2,4,48, and 72 hr.         - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.       - - - Observation period: 1.2,4,48, and 72 hr.         - Test substance       :       SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported.         IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC: Not reported.       AWY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: -Ucichte calc. Soda" (Eq.): Light calcined soda)         17.02.2003       :       (1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations         17.02.2003       :       rabbit         Concentration       :       undiluted         Dose       :       : <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td></tr<>		
decreasing.       OTHER EFFECTS: No.         Test condition       I TEST ANIMALS:         - Strain: White New Ze aland       - Sex: No. reported.         - Sex: Not reported.       - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.         - Age: Not reported.       - Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         - Number of animals: 6       - Controls: Right eye.         ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE       - Preparation of test substance: No preparation         - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye       - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.         - Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.       N VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.       EXAMINATIONS         - Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.       - Scort: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       SOURCE: Chern. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany         PURITY: Not reported.       MPURITY: Not reported.         MPURITY: Not reported.       MPURITY: Not repo		
Test condition       :       TEST ANIMALS:         - Strain: White New Ze aland       -Strain: White New Ze aland         - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.       -Age: Not reported.         - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.       -Age: Not reported.         - Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg       - Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         - Number of animals: 6       - Controls: Right eye.         - Ochtoris: Right eye       - Administration/KEXPOSURE         - Preparation of test substance: No preparation       - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye         - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.       - Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM       Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS       - Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.         - Source: The independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       :         SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported.         MVY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance:         'Leichte calc. Soda' (Eq.): Light calcined soda)         17.02.2003       (4)         Species       :         1 nnl         Exposure time       :         Controlise test period.         Number of animals       : <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td></tr<>		
Test condition       : TEST ANIMALS:         - Strain: White New Ze aland       - Sex: Not reported.         - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.       - Age: Not reported.         - Age: Not reported.       - Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         - Number of animals: 6       - Controls: Right eye.         - ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE       - Preparation of test substance: No preparation         - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye.       - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.         - Prostparation of test substance: No preparation       - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye         - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.       - Postsposure period: Up to 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM       Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS       - Optitalmoscopic examination: Yes.         - Scoring system: Draize scheme       - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.         - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       : SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany         PURITY: Not reported.       IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC: Not reported.         IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC: Not reported.       ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         17.02.2003       (4)         Species       : Imiditing		
- Sex: Not reported.       - Source: Harald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.         - Age: Not reported.       - Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         - Number of animals: 6       - Controls: Right eye.         ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE       - Poreparation of test substance: No preparation         - Preparation of test substance: No preparation       - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye         - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.       - Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.         - NV VTRO TEST SYSTEM       Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS       - Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.         - Scoride system: Draize scheme       - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.         - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany         PURITY: Not reported.       ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         17.02.2003       (4)         Species       : rabbit         Concentration       : undiluted         Dose       : 1 ml         Exposure time       : other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.         Number of animals       : 9         Vehicle       : none         Re	Test condition	
<ul> <li>Source: Ha'rald Schriever, Rabbit farm, Germany.         <ul> <li>Age: Not reported.</li> <li>Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg</li> <li>Number of animals: 6</li> <li>Controls: Right eye.</li> <li>ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE</li> <li>Preparation of test substance: No preparation</li> <li>Amount of substance institled: 0.1 g in left eye.</li> <li>Vehicle: Right eye not treated.</li> <li>Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.</li> <li>IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Not relevant.</li> <li>EXAMINATIONS</li> <li>Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.</li> <li>Scoring system: Draize scheme</li> <li>Observation period: 1, 2.4, 4.8, and 72 hr.</li> <li>Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Test substance</li> <li>SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany             <ul> <li>PURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>IMPURTYADDITIVE/ETC: Not reported.</li> <li>ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance:             <ul> <li>'Leichte calc. Soda' (Eng.: Light calcined soda)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Reliability</li> <li>(1) valit without restriction             <ul> <li>Guideline study with minor deviations</li> <li>(4)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Species</li> <li>study with test period.</li> <li>Number of animals</li> <li>one</li> <li>Result</li> <li>one</li> <li>Result</li> <li>Timitating</li> <li>Classification</li> <li>influed</li> <li>ifritating</li> <li>Classification</li> <li>imitation</li> <li>one</li> <li>Result</li> <li>imitating</li> <li>Classtre</li></ul></li></ul>		- Strain: White New Ze aland
Age: Not reported.       - Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         - Wumber of animals: 6       - Controls: Right eye.         ADMINSTRATION/EXPOSURE       - Preparation of test substance: No preparation         - Armount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye       - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.         - Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.       IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.       EXAMINATIONS         - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.       - Sociarg system: Draize scheme         - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.       - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany         PURITY: Not reported.       IMPURITY: Not reported.         IMPURITY: Not reported.       IMPURITY: Not reported. <td></td> <td>- Sex: Not reported.</td>		- Sex: Not reported.
Age: Not reported.       - Weight at study initiation: 2.4 - 2.6 kg         - Wumber of animals: 6       - Controls: Right eye.         ADMINSTRATION/EXPOSURE       - Preparation of test substance: No preparation         - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye       - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.         - Vebicle: Right eye not treated.       - Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM       Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS       - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.         - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.       - Scoring system: Draize scheme         - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.       - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany         PURITY: Not reported.       IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC:: Not reported.         ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance:       "Leichte cale. Sod4" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         17.02.2003       (4)         Species       : rabbit         Concentration       : undiluted         Dose       : 1 ml         Exposure time       : other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed         Number of animals       : 9         Vehicle       <		
<ul> <li>Number of animals: 6         <ul> <li>Controls: Right eye.</li> <li>ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE</li> <li>Preparation of test substance: No preparation</li> <li>Armount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye</li> <li>Vehicle: Right eye not treated.</li> <li>Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.</li> <li>IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Not relevant.</li> <li>EXAMINATIONS</li> <li>Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.</li> <li>Scoring system: Draize scheme</li> <li>Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.</li> <li>Too used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Test substance</li> <li>SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany</li> <li>PURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC:: Not reported.</li> <ul> <li>MPURITY: Not reported.</li> </ul> <li>MPURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>MPURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>MPURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>MPURITY</li></ul>		- Age: Not reported.
-Controls: Right eye.         ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE         - Preparation of test substance: No preparation         - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye         - Vehicle: Right eye not treated.         - Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.         IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS         - Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.         - Scoring system: Draize scheme         - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.         - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC:: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte cale. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         Reliability       : (1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations         17.02.2003       (4)         Species       : rabbit         Concentration       : undiluted         Dose       : 1 ml         Exposure time       :         Exposure time       :         Comment       : other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.         Number of animals       : 9         Vehicle       : non         R		
ADMINISTRĂTION/EXPOSURE - Preparation of test substance: No preparation - Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye - Vehicle: Right eye not treated. - Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr. IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM Not relevant. EXAMINATIONS - Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes. - Scoring system: Draize scheme - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr. - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405. Test substance : SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda) 17.02.2003 (4) Species : rabbit Concentration : undiluted Dose : 1. ml Exposure time Comment : other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period. Number of animals : 9 Vehicle : none Result : irritating Classification : Method : other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42 Year GLP : no Test substance : other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate Method : METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42 DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		
<ul> <li>Preparation of test substance: No preparation         <ul> <li>Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 gin left eye</li> <li>Vehicle: Right eye not treated.</li> <li>Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr.</li> <li>IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Not TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Pophralmoscopic examination: Yes.</li> <li>Scoring system: Draize scheme</li> <li>Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.</li> <li>Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Test substance</li> <li>SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany             <ul> <li>PURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>IMPURTY/ADDITIVE/ETC: Not reported.</li> <li>ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance:             <ul> <li>"Leichte cale. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Reliability</li> <li>(1) valid without restriction             <ul> <li>Guideline study with minor deviations</li> <li>undiluted</li> <li>Opseuse</li> <li>1 ml</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exposure time         <ul> <li>other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of animals         <ul> <li>9</li> <li>Vehicle</li> <li>none</li> <li>Result</li> <li>irritating</li> <li>3978</li> <li>GLP</li> <li>other: The OCR 1500.42</li> <li>Year</li> <li>1978</li> <li>OD-416 CFR 1500.42</li> <li>Deviations FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>		
<ul> <li>- Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 g in left eye         <ul> <li>- Vehicle: Right eye not treated.</li> <li>- Postexposure period: Up 072 hr.</li> <li>IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>Not relevant.</li> <li>EXAMINATIONS                 <ul> <li>- Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.</li> <li>- Scoring system: Draize scheme</li> <li>- Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.</li> <li>- Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>Test substance                     <ul> <li>SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC: Not reported.</li> <li>ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance:</li></ul></li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Vehicle: Right eye not treated.</li> <li>- Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr. IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM Not relevant.</li> <li>EXAMINATIONS</li> <li>- Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.</li> <li>- Scoring system: Draize scheme</li> <li>- Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.</li> <li>- Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.</li> <li>Test substance</li> <li>SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)</li> <li>Reliability</li> <li>(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations</li> <li>17.02.2003</li> <li>rabbit</li> <li>Concentration</li> <li>undiluted</li> <li>Dose</li> <li>1 ml</li> <li>Exposure time</li> <li>other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.</li> <li>Number of animals</li> <li>9</li> <li>Vehicle</li> <li>none</li> <li>Result</li> <li>irritating</li> <li>Classification</li> <li>irritating</li> <li>Classification</li> <li>irritating</li> <li>GLP</li> <li>no</li> <li>Test substance</li> <li>other: TS Sodium carbonate monohydrate</li> <li>Method</li> <li>Method</li> <li>Method</li> <li>EVENCE</li> <li>Ano</li> <li>Test substance</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Postexposure period: Up to 72 hr. IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM</li> <li>No trelevant.</li> <li>EXAMINATIONS         <ul> <li>Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.</li> <li>Scoring system: Draize scheme</li> <li>Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.</li> <li>Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Test substance</li> <li>SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)</li> <li>Reliability</li> <li>(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations</li> </ul> <li>Species</li> <li>rabbit</li> <li>Concentration</li> <li>undiluted</li> <li>Dose</li> <li>1 ml</li> <li>Exposure time</li> <li>other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.</li> <li>Number of animals</li> <li>9</li> <li>Vehicle</li> <li>none</li> <li>Result</li> <li>irritating</li> <li>Classification</li> <li>Unterposed</li> <li>other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42</li> <li>Year</li> <li>1978</li> <li>GLP</li> <li>no</li> <li>Test substance</li> <li>Other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate</li> <li>Method</li> <li>other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate</li> <li>Method</li> <li>Method</li> <li>Method</li> <li>Het HOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42</li> <li>DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the</li>		
IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM         Not relevant.         EXAMINATIONS         - Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.         - Scoring system: Draize scheme         - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.         - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.         Test substance       :         SUPCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         Reliability       :         (1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations         17.02.2003       :         Species       :         :       :         Species       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         :       :         : <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
Not relevant.EXAMINATIONS - Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes. - Scoring system: Draize scheme - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr. - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.Test substance:SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)Reliability:(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003:Species::rabbit ConcentrationComment::other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals::9Vehicle::initiatingClassification:::		
EXAMINATIONS - Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes. - Scoring system: Draize scheme - Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr. - Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.Test substance:SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)Reliability::(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003:(4)Species:rabbit other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:utert EPA 16 CFR 1500.42 YearYear::GLP:noTest substance:Wethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42 DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		
<ul> <li>-Ophtalmoscopic examination: Yes.</li> <li>-Scoring system: Draize scheme</li> <li>-Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.</li> <li>-Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.</li> <li>Test substance</li> <li>SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)</li> <li>Reliability</li> <li>(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations</li> <li>17.02.2003</li> <li>rabbit</li> <li>Concentration</li> <li>undiluted</li> <li>Dose</li> <li>1 ml</li> <li>Exposure time</li> <li>other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.</li> <li>Number of animals</li> <li>g</li> <li>vehicle</li> <li>none</li> <li>Result</li> <li>irritating</li> <li>dther: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42</li> <li>Year</li> <li>1978</li> <li>GLP</li> <li>no</li> <li>Test substance</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42</li> <li>DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Scoring system: Draize scheme         <ul> <li>Observation period: 1, 24, 48, and 72 hr.</li> <li>Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Test substance : SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany         PURITY: Not reported.         <ul> <li>IMPURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC: Not reported.</li> <li>ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance:                  "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Reliability : (1) valid without restriction             Guideline study with minor deviations             <ul> <li>Tr.02.2003</li> <li>(4)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Species : rabbit             <ul> <li>Concentration : undiluted</li> <li>Dose : 1 ml</li> <li>Exposure time :                 <ul> <li>Other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>		
-Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system as described in OECD 405.Test substance: SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)Reliability: (1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003(4)Species: rabbit concentrationConcentration: undiluted other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals: 9 vehicleVehicle: none ritatingResult: irritating classificationClassification:Method: other: TS Sodium carbonate monohydrate MethodMethod: other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate MethodMethod: other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate		
as described in OECD 405.Test substance:SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC:: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)Reliability:(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003(4)Species:rabbit concentrationConcentration:undiluted DoseDose:1 mlExposure time:Comment:Other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:Result:IrritatingClassification:Method:Other: TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate MethodMethod:Method:Method:Other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate Method		
Test substance:SOURCE: Chem. Fabrik Kalk GmbH, Köln, Germany PURITY: Not reported. IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte cale. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)Reliability:(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003(4)Species:rabbit concentrationConcentration:undiluted DoseDose:.1 mlExposure time:Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:Wethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42 DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		<ul> <li>Tool used to assess score: Two independent persons using grade system</li> </ul>
PURITY: Not reported.         IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.         ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance:         "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)         Reliability       : (1) valid without restriction         Guideline study with minor deviations         17.02.2003       (4)         Species       : rabbit         Concentration       : undiluted         Dose       : 1 ml         Exposure time       :         Comment       : other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.         Number of animals       : 9         Vehicle       : none         Result       : irritating         Classification       :         Method       : other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42         Year       : 1978         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate         Method       : METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42         DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		as described in OECD 405.
IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)Reliability:(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003(4)Species:rabbit undilutedConcentration:undilutedDose:1Exposure time:Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42 YearGLP:noTest substance:Method::::::::::::::::	Test substance	
ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Name (in german) of test substance: "Leichte calc. Soda" (Eng.: Light calcined soda)Reliability:(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003(4)Species:rabbit undilutedConcentration:undilutedDose:1 mlExposure time:Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification::Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year::Year::Test substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DeviATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		
Reliability:(1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003(4)Species:rabbit concentrationConcentration:undilutedDose:.1 mlExposure time:Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:.Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42 DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		
Reliability: (1) valid without restriction Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003(4)Species: rabbitConcentration: undilutedDose: 1 mlExposure time:Comment: other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals: 9Vehicle: noneResult: irritatingClassification:Method: other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year: 1978GLP: noTest substance: other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod: METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42 DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		
Guideline study with minor deviations17.02.2003(4)Species:rabbitConcentration:undilutedDose:.1 mlExposure time:Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	Dellahilite	
17.02.2003(4)Species:rabbitConcentration:undilutedDose:.1 mlExposure time:Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	Reliability	
Species:rabbitConcentration:undilutedDose:.1 mlExposure time:Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	17 02 2003	
Concentration:undilutedDose:.1 mlExposure time:Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	11.02.2000	
Concentration:undilutedDose:1 mlExposure time:Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	Species	: rabbit
Exposure time Comment:iother: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:99Vehicle:none:Result:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:coher TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	Concentration	
Comment:other: The eyes were either rinsed 4 seconds after instillation or not rinsed during the test period.Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		: .1 ml
Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:Other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		:
Number of animals:9Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	Comment	•
Vehicle:noneResult:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		
Result:irritatingClassification:Method:other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42Year:1978GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		
Classification       :         Method       :         Year       :         GLP       :         Test substance       :         Method       :         Method       :         DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		
Method       : other: EPA 16 CFR 1500.42         Year       : 1978         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate         Method       : METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42         DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		. Initaling
Year       : 1978         GLP       : no         Test substance       : other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate         Method       : METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42         DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	Classification	other: EPA 16 CER 1500 42
GLP:noTest substance:other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrateMethod:METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the		
Test substance       : other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate         Method       : METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42         DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	Method	• 1978
Method : METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42 DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	Method Year	
DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINE: Yes, but not reported in detail by the	Method Year GLP	: no
	Method Year GLP Test substance	: no : other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate
	Method Year GLP Test substance	<ul> <li>no</li> <li>other TS: Sodium carbonate monohydrate</li> <li>METHOD FOLLOWED: 16 CFR 1500.42</li> </ul>

5. TOXICITY	SODIUM CARBONAT Id 497-19-	
J. TOAICH I	<b>Date</b> $19.02.200$	
	GLP: No	
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported. ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
Result	: AVERAGE SCORE	
Nesun	- Cornea: According to the scoring system employed, the responses were	
	positive (+) or negative (-). 6/6 animals with unwashed eyes had a positive	
	score. 1/3 animals with washed eyes had a positive score.	
	<ul> <li>Iris: According to the scoring system employed, the responses were</li> </ul>	
	positive (+) or negative (-). 6/6 animals with unwashed eyes had a positive	
	score. 1/3 animals with washed eyes had a positive score.	
	- Conjuntivae (Redness): According to the scoring system employed, the responses were positive (+) or negative (-). 6/6 animals with unwashed	
	eyes had a positive score. 1/3 animals with washed eyes had a positive	
	score.	
	- Conjuntivae (Chemosis): According to the scoring system employed, the	
	responses were positive (+) or negative (-). 6/6 animals with unwashed	
	eyes had a positive score. 1/3 animals with washed eyes had a positive	
	score. The incidence of necrosis or ulceration was also registered. 6/6	
	animals with unwashed eyes had a positive score, and 2/3 animals with	
	washed eyes had a positive score Overall irritation score: The maximum Draize scores in the animals with	
	unwashed eyes were: 88, 108, 104,110, 110, 108; the mean was 105. The	
	maximum Draize scores in the animals with washed eyes were: 30, 6, 4; the	
	mean was 13.	
	DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS: All six unwashed eyes were assigned	
	positive scores for corneal opacity. Five eyes were assigned positive	
	scores for ulceration. Pannus was observed in the 4 intact eyes beginning on day 7 of the study (2 eyes ruptured on day 7). Iritis was evident in all six	
	eyes. Each unwashed eye had conjunctival redness, chemosis and	
	necrosis/ulceration. Alopecia was observed around 1 treated eye. Bleeding	
	was noted on one eyelid while the eyelids of two different eyes were	
	observed to be healing closed by day 14. Signs of irritation were evident in	
	the four intact unwashed eyes at the termination of the study.	
	Corneal opacity and ulceration were observed in one of three eyes washed	
	at 4 seconds. One eye was assigned positive scores for conjunctival redness and chemosis, and 2 eyes were assigned positive scores for	
	conjunctival ulceration. Signs of irritation were evident in one eye at the	
	termination of the study.	
	REVERSIBILITY: Among the animals with unwashed eyes, 2 suffered	
	ruptured eyes and the remaining 4 still had signs of irritation at the	
	termination of the study. One of the animals with washed eyes had signs of	
	irritation at the termination of the study, while the eye appeared normal in the remaining two animals on day 2 and 14, respectively.	
	OTHER EFFECTS: Not reported.	
Test condition	: TEST ANIMALS: Rabbits.	
	- Strain: New Zealand white.	
	- Sex: Not reported.	
	- Source: Marland Breeding Farms, Inc., Hewitt, NJ.	
	- Age: Not reported.	
	- Weight at study initiation: Not reported. - Number of animals: 9.	
	- Controls: The test substance was not administered in one eye of each	
	animal, this eye served as a control.	
	ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE	
	- Preparation of test substance: Not reported.	
	- Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 ml.	
	- Vehicle: None.	
	<ul> <li>Postexposure period: The treated eyes of three rabbits were rinsed with</li> </ul>	

DECD SIDS	SODIUM CARBONAT		
. TOXICITY		Id Date	497-19- 19.02.200
	remaining si	x animals received no further treatment. Animals were	
		til 14 days after exposure.	
	EXAMINATIO		
		copic examination: The eyes were examined and scored	
		ons on days 1,2,3, 4,7,10 and 14 following installation of	
		nd, or until the eyes were determined to be free of ocular	ſ
		wo consecutive observations.	
		tem: The Draize method was employed. period: 14 days.	
		o assess score: A fluorescein wash was used when neo	cessarv
		ular reactions.	,
Test substance	: SOURCE: N		
	PURITY: No		
		DDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
	-	R INFORMATION: The test substance was sodium carbo	onate
Deliability	monohydrate		
Reliability	Guideline st	out restriction	
14.02.2003	Guideline st	(24)	
11.02.2000			
Species	: rabbit		
Concentration	: undiluted		
Dose	: .1 ml		
Exposure time	: 24 hour(s)	animals the suggestion washed for 2 minutes, 20 second	do offer
Comment		animals the eyes were washed for 2 minutes, 30 second	ds alter
Number of animals	: 18	of 12 animals the eyes were not washed.	
Vehicle	: none		
Result	: highly irritatir	g	
Classification		s damage to eyes	
Method	: Draize Test		
Year	: 1982		
GLP	: no		
Test substance		dium carbonate DLLOWED: Based on the methodology of Draize et al.	
Method		The FHSAR (1973) with slight modifications. Comparable	- to
		line 405. Scoring system seems to be identical, but	510
		assification was different. The ocular reactions were rate	ed as
	follows:		
		corneal opacity, iritis and conjunctivitis-positive at 24h, on	
		reated eyes still exhibit opacity, iritis, and conjunctivitis at	t the
		Aoderate: Corneal opacity and/or iritis and conjunctivitis- I-72h, iritis and conjunctivitis remaining at the 7th day. (3	4
		eal opacity and/or iritis and conjunctivitis-positive at 24h,	
		day. (4) Non-irritant: No positive responses in any of the	
		4h. Washed eyes were stained with 1 drop of 2% fluore	
		h after instillation. Unwas hed eyes 24 and 72h after insti	
		s removed after 15-20 seconds by rinsing with 5-10 ml	of
	sterile isotor		
			o control
		ELINE 405: The test material was placed directly on the cornea (right eye) instead of placing it in a cup formed b	
		sac. Evaluation system different.	y the
	GLP: No		
	STATISTICA	L METHODS: Not reported.	
		F CALCULATION: Not reported.	
	ANALYTICA	L METHODS: Not reported.	
Result	: AVERAGE S		
Result	: AVERAGE S - Cornea: 3.1	SCORE (0.4 in un washed eyes) 5 in unwashed eyes)	

\_\_\_\_

OECD SIDS			ARBONATI
5. TOXICITY		Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
	- Conjuntivae (Redness): Not reported.		
	- Conjuntivae (Chemosis): Not reported.		
	- Overall irritation score: Not reported.		
	DESCRIPTION OF LESIONS: Conjunctivitis w		
	and lasted through day 7. Pannus was observe and keratoconus in 2/12 unwashed eyes.	d in 6/12 unwasne	d eyes
	REVERSIBILITY: Effects were reversible in was	hed eves but not i	in
	unwashed eyes.	fied cycs, but not	
	OTHER EFFECTS: No.		
Test condition	: TEST ANIMALS:		
	- Strain: New Zealand albino		
	- Sex: Unselected		
	- Source: Zartman Farms, P.A. Animals		
	- Age: Not reported. - Weight at study initiation: 2.0-2.5 kg		
	- Number of animals: 6 with washed eyes and 2	2 with unwashed	eves.
	- Controls: Left eye.		0,000
	ADMINISTRATION/EXPOSURE		
	- Preparation of test substance: Concentration 1	00% w/v	
	- Amount of substance instilled: 0.1 ml		
	pH=11.3 (saturated solution)		
	- Vehicle: No. - Postexposure period: Up to 7 days.		
	IN VITRO TEST SYSTEM		
	Not relevant.		
	EXAMINATIONS		
	- Ophtalmoscopic examination: Eyes were exar	nined grossly a nd	grades of
	damage recorded.		
	-Scoring system: Draize		
	<ul> <li>Observation period: At 1 hr, 1, 2, 3 and 7 days a</li> <li>Tool used to assess score: 2% fluorescein.</li> </ul>		
Test substance	: SOURCE: Fisher Scientific Company		
	PURITY: reagent grade		
	IMPURITY/AD DITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.		
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.		
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	analala ta avidalia	. I
	Acceptable, well documented publication, comp	barable to guideline	e but with
17.02.2003	acceptable restrictions.	(16)	
		(13)	
5.3 SENSITIZATION			

5. TOXICITY	Id 497-19-
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.200
GLP	: no
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate
Method	
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Not reported.
	GLP: No
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
Decult	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	: LOAEL: 70 mg/m3, from preliminary experiment, NOAEL 10-20
	TOXIC RESPONSE/EFFECTS BY DOSE LEVEL:
	- Body weight gain: Increased regularly, but the experimental animals
	lagged behind the controls. No difference with the controls at the end of the
	test.
	- Clinical chemistry: Concentration of ascorbic acid in lungs decreased
	compared to controls. No other changes.
	- Haematology: Blood characteristics did not differ from controls.
	- Organ weights: No changes in relative weight and dry residue of internal
	organs.
	- Histopathology: Deviations in lungs were found in control and
	experimental animals. But experimental animals displayed hyperplasia and
	desquamation of bronchial epithelium, alveolar lumina often contained free
	"dust cells" without any visible foreign inclusions, perivascular oedema was
	more frequent.
	- Other: Body temperature did not differ from controls.
-	STATISTICAL RESULTS: Histopathological results statistically different.
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISMS
	- Age: Young
	- Weight at study initiation: 140 g
	- Number of animals: 12 males/group
	ADMINISTRATION / EXPOSURE
	- Duration of test/exposure: 4 hr/day
	- Type of exposure: whole body, aerosols
	- Post exposure period: No
	- Doses: 2% soda ash solution = 70 mg/m3, 2% soda ash solution with the
	addition of 0.2% sulfonol = 42 mg/m3 soda, controls.
	- Particle size: <= 5 microm.
	- Type or preparation of particles: Not reported.
	- Vehicle: No treatment.
	- Concentration in vehicle: Not relevant.
	SATELLITE GROUPS AND REASONS THEY WERE ADDED: No.
	OBSERVATIONS AND FREQUENCY:
	- Clinical signs: Not reported.
	- Mortality: Not reported.
	- Body weight: Measured regularly, but frequency not reported.
	- Body temperature
	- Food consumption: Not reported.
	- Water consumption: Not reported.
	- Ophthalmoscopic examination: Not reported.
	- Haematology: Measured several times, but frequency not reported.
	- Biochemistry: Measured several times, but frequency not reported.
	- Urinalysis: Not reported.
	ORGANS EXAMINED AT NECROPSY (MACROSCOPIC AND
	MICROSCOPIC):
	- Macroscopic: Organs weighted
	<ul> <li>Microscopic: Lungs examined, no investigation of upper respiratory tract.</li> </ul>
	OTHER EXAMINATIONS: The same authors did a preliminary experiment
	of unknown duration with 10-20 mg/m3 test substance.
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Test substance	: SOURCE: Not reported.
Test substance	
Test substance	PURITY: N ot reported.

5. TOXICITY	Id	497-19-
	Date	19.02.200
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
Daliahility	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.	
Reliability	: (3) invalid Documentation insufficient for assessment.	
17.02.2003	Documentation insufficient for assessment.	)/
17.02.2003	(10	')
5.5 GENETIC TOXICIT	Y 'IN VITRO'	
Туре	: other: Escherichia coli Chromotest	
System of testing	: PQ37 (uvrB-)	
Test concentration	: 0.11-11000 microg/ml	
Cycotoxic concentr.	: 1100 microg/ml	
Metabolic activation	: Without	
Result	: Negative	
Method	: other: SOS chromotest	
Year	: 1987	
GLP	: 1967 : No	
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate	llordot
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: SOS Chromotest as described by Quil	เลเนยเ
	et al. (1982) and adapted by Marzin et al. (1986).	
	GLP: No	
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.	
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
Result	: GENOTOXIC EFFECTS:	
	- With metabolic activation: Not done.	
	- Without metabolic activation: Negative.	
	FREQUENCY OF EFFECTS: Not relevant.	
	PRECIPITATION CONCENTRATION: Not reported.	
	MITOTIC INDEX: Not relevant.	
	CYTOTOXIC CONCENTRATION:	
	- With metabolic activation: Not relevant.	
	- Without metabolic activation: 1100 migrog/ml (10000 nM/ml).	
	TEST-SPECIFIC CONFOUNDING FACTORS: Not reported.	
	STATISTICAL RESULTS: Not reported.	
Test condition	: SYSTEM OF TESTING	
	- Species/cell type: <i>E. coli</i> PQ37	
	- Deficiences/Proficiences: uvrB-, sensitive to Cr(VI)	
	- Metabolic activation system: Not used.	
	- No. of metaphases analyzed: Not reported.	
	ADMINISTRATION:	
	- Dosing: 1-100000 nM/ml (approx. 0.11-11000 microg/ml).	
	- Number of replicates: Three	
	- Application: 100 microlitre of solution in L-medium or 30 micro	olitre in
	DMSO.	
	- Positive and negative control groups and treatment:	
	- Pre-incubation time: 2 hr	
	DESCRIPTION OF FOLLOW UP REPEAT STUDY: Not report	ed
	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING RESULTS: Induction of the bate	
	sfiA was determined using a colorimetric assay. sfiA expression	
	after DNA damage as part of the SOS system. sfiA expression i	
Test substance	<ul> <li>by assaying Beta-galactosidase activity by kinetic measurement</li> <li>SOURCE: Merck</li> </ul>	
I COL OUDOIDING		
	PURITY: Not reported.	
	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
	ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	
	Acceptable, well documented publication which meets basic sci	entific

I

5. TOXICITY		Id	<u>RBONAT</u> 497-19-8
5. TOAICH I		Date	19.02.2003
	principles.		
14.02.2003		(18)	
5.6 GENETIC TOXICITY	( 'IN VIVO'		
5.7 CARCINOGENICITY	(		
5.8.1 TOXICITY TO FERT	ILITY		
5.8.2 DEVELOPMENTAL	TOXICITY/TERATOGENICITY		
Species	: rat		
Sex	: female		
Strain	: Wistar		
Route of admin.	: gavage		
Exposure period	: d6-15		
Frequency of treatm. Duration of test	: daily		
Doses	2.45, 11.4, 52.9 and 245 mg/kg		
Control group	: other: yes, sham-treated		
NOAEL maternal tox.	: >= 245 mg/kg bw		
NOAEL teratogen.	: >= 245 mg/kg bw		
Method	: other: no data		
Year GLP	: 1974		
GLP Test substance	: no : other TS: sodium carbonate		
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Not reported, we	ell described, see TC.	
	GLP: No	,	
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.		
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not report	ted.	
Booult	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	l davalan mantal)	
Result	: NOAEL: >= 245 mg/kg bw (maternal and TOXIC RESPONSE/EFFECTS BY DOS		
	- Parental data and F1: No effects.		
	- Body weight: No effects.		
	- Mortality: No effects.		
	- Number of implantations: No effects.		
	<ul> <li>Litter size and weights: No effects.</li> <li>Other observations: No effects on resort</li> </ul>	ntions live	
	fetuses, visceral and skeletal effects.		
	STATISTICAL RESULTS: Not reported.		
Test condition	: ADMINISTRATION / EXPOSURE		
	- Type of exposure: oral		
	- Duration of test/exposure: day 6-15 of ge		a
	<ul> <li>Control group and treatment: Including aspirin (active ingredient: acetylsalicylic a</li> </ul>		9
	- Vehicle: water	oray	
	- Concentration in vehicle: 0 mg/kg		
	- Doses: 2.45, 11.4, 52.9 and 245 mg/kg		
	- Concentrations: 10 ml/kg bodyweight, a		
	MATING PROCEDURES: 21-25 female		
	males, observation of the vaginal sperm gestation.	plug was considered day 0	U
	EXAMINATIONS: Body weights were rec	orded on days 0.6 11 15 a	ind 20
	of gestation, observed daily for appearance		
	dams were subjected to caesarean section	on under anesthesia, numb	

<u>DECD SIDS</u> . TOXICITY	SODIUM CARBONAT Id 497-19-
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.200
Test substance	<ul> <li>implantation sites, resorption sites, and live and dead fetuses were recorded. Body weight of live fetuses were recorded. The urogenital tract of each dam was examined in detail for anatomical normality. All fetuses were examined grossly for the presence of external congenital abnormalities. 1/3 of fetuses of each litter underwent detailed visceral examinations employing the Wilson technique. The remaining 2/3 were examined for skeletal defects.</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported.</li> <li>PURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.</li> </ul>
Reliability	<ul> <li>(2) valid with restrictions</li> <li>Acceptable, well documented study which meets basic scientific principles.</li> </ul>
17.02.2003	(9)
Species	: Mouse
Sex	: Female
Strain	: CD-1
Route of admin.	: Gavage
Exposure period	: d 6-15 of gestation
Frequency of treatm.	: Daily
Duration of test	·
Doses	: 3.4 to 340 mg/kg
Control group	: other: yes, sham treated
NOAEL maternal tox.	$\Rightarrow$ = 340 mg/kg bw
NOAEL teratogen.	2 >= 340  mg/kg bw
Method	: other
Year	: 1974
GLP	: No
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Not reported, well described, see TC.
Method	GLP: No
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
	METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.
Result	: NOAEL: >= 340 mg/kg bw (maternal and developmental)
	TOXIC RESPONSE/EFFECTS BY DOSE LEVEL:
	- Parental data and F1: No effects.
	- Body weight: No effects.
	- Mortality: No effects.
	- Number of implantations: No effects.
	- Litter size and weights: No effects.
	- Other observations: No effects on resorptions, live
	fetuses, visceral and skeletal effects.
	STATISTICAL RESULTS: Not reported.
Test condition	: ADMINISTRATION / EXPOSURE
	- Type of exposure: oral
	- Duration of test/exposure: day 6-15 of gestation
	- Control group and treatment: Including positive control of 150 mg/kg
	aspirin (active ingredient: acetylsalicylic acid)
	- Vehicle: water
	- Concentration in vehicle: 0 mg/kg
	- Doses: 3.4, 15.8, 73.4 and 340 mg/kg
	- Concentrations: 10 ml/kg bodyweight, administered as a water solution.
	MATING PROCEDURES: 25 females were mated with young adult males,
	observation of the vaginal sperm plug was considered day 0 of gestation.
	EXAMINATIONS: Body weights were recorded on days 0, 6, 11, 15 and 17
	of gestation, observed daily for appearance and behaviour. On day 17 all
	dams were subjected to caesarean section under anesthesia, numbers of

## **UNEP** Publications

5. TOXICITY	<b>Id</b> 497-	19-
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.	200
Test substance	<ul> <li>implantation sites, resorption sites, and live and dead fetuses were recorded. Body weight of live fetuses were recorded. The urogenital tract of each dam was examined in detail for anatomical normality. All fetuses were examined grossly for the presence of external congenital abnormalities. 1/3 of fetuses of each litter underwent detailed visceral examinations employing the Wilson technique. The remaining 2/3 were examined for skeletal defects.</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported.</li> </ul>	
Reliability	IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported. ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported. : (2) valid with restrictions	
17.02.2003	Acceptable, well documented study which meets basic scientific principles. (9)	
<b>.</b> .		
Species Sex	: rabbit : female	
Strain	: Dutch	
Route of admin.	: gavage	
Exposure period	: d 6-18 of gestation	
Frequency of treatm.	: daily	
Duration of test Doses	: : 1.79, 8.31, 38.6, 179 mg/kg	
Control group	:	
NOAEL maternal tox.	: >= 179 mg/kg bw	
NOAEL teratogen.	: = 179 mg/kg bw	
Method	: other	
Year GLP	: 1974 : no	
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate	
Method	: METHOD FOLLOWED: Not reported, well described, see TC.	
	GLP: No	
	STATISTICAL METHODS: Not reported. METHOD OF CALCULATION: Not reported.	
	ANALYTICAL METHODS: Not reported.	
Result	: NOAEL: >= 179 mg/kg bw (maternal and developmental)	
	TOXIC RESPONSE/EFFECTS BY DOSE LEVEL:	
	- Parental data and F1: No effects.	
	- Body weight: No effects. - Mortality: No effects.	
	-Number of implantations: No effects.	
	- Litter size and weights: No effects.	
	<ul> <li>Other observations: No effects on resorptions, live fetuses, visceral and skeletal effects.</li> </ul>	
	STATISTICAL RESULTS: Not reported.	
Test condition	: ADMINISTRATION / EXPOSURE	
	- Type of exposure: oral	
	<ul> <li>Duration of test/exposure: day 6-18 of gestation</li> <li>Control group and treatment: Including positive control of 150 mg/kg</li> </ul>	
	aspirin (active ingredient: acetylsalicylic acid) - Vehicle: water	
	- Concentration in vehicle: 0 mg/kg	
	- Doses: 1.79, 8.31, 38.6 and 179 mg/kg	
	- Concentrations: 10 ml/kg bodyweight	
	MATING PROCEDURES: 10-15 Females were inseminated artificially. EXAMINATIONS: Body weights were recorded on days 0, 6, 12, 18 and 29	
	of gestation, observed daily for appearance and behaviour. On day 29 all	
	dams were subjected to caesarean section under anesthesia, numbers of	
	implantation sites, resorption sites, and live and dead fetuses were	
	UNEP Publications	

OECD SIDS			SODIUM C	ARBONATE
5. TOXICITY			Id	497-19-8
			Date	19.02.2003
Test substance	each dam was exam examined grossly for fetuses of each litter the Wilson technique STATISTICAL METH : SOURCE: Not reporter IMPURITY: Not reporter IMPURITY/ADDITIVE ANY OTHER INFOR	ted. d. E/ETC.: Not reported. MATION: Not reported.	rmality. All fetu nital abnorma minations em	uses were lities. All ploying
Reliability	: (2) valid with restriction		oio opiontifio p	viaciales
17.02.2003	Acceptable, well doc	umented study which meets ba	sic scientific p (9)	nncipies.

## 5.8.3 TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION, OTHER STUDIES

## 5.9 SPECIFIC INVESTIGATIONS

Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. No. of animals Vehicle Exposure period	<ul> <li>other: nasal toxicity</li> <li>rat</li> <li>male</li> <li>Wistar</li> <li>inhalation</li> </ul>
Frequency of treatm.	: once
Doses	:
Control group	: yes, concurrent vehicle
Observation period	: No construction
Result Method	No nasal toxicity
Year	. 2000
GLP	: no data
Test substance	: other TS: sodium carbonate
Remark	: Aim of the study: determine whether changes in biochemical parameters in the in vitro model system could predict the pathological changes in the nasal cavities of rats.
Result	: IN VITRO:
	OLFACTORY EPITHELIUM
	-ATP concentrations reduced to 60% of control values by 4 hr. No further
	loss of ATP when longer incubated, nor any evidence of recovery during incubation in fresh medium.
	-Intracellular potassium was less affected, only significantly decreased
	when incubated for 24 hr, or 4 hr followed by 20 hr incubation in fresh
	medium.
	RESPIRATORY EPITHELIUM
	- No significant decrease in ATP or intracellular potassium.
	IN VIVO:
	<ul> <li>Clinical signs: No adverse clinical signs.</li> <li>No morphological changes in any region of the nasal cavity.</li> </ul>
	SENSITIVITY OF IN VITRO SYSTEM:
	-Concentration dependent decreases in ATP, with the first significant loss of
	ATP occuring at 0.1 mM. Estimated EC50 2.57 mM. With increasing
	concentration sodium carbonate, the pH increased from 8-10.

TOXICITY		Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
Test condition	: TEST ORGANISM	18	
Test condition	- Age: 2-4 months	-	
		nitiation: Not reported.	
	- Number of anim		
		led housing conditions N / EXPOSURE IN VIVO	
	- Duration of test/e		
		e: nose-only, aerosols eriod: 24 hr after start of exposure animals were	killod
		namber concentration 250 or 750 microg/l	KIIIEU.
	- Particle size: <=		
			aithar a
		ion of particles: Aerosols were generated using nachinism or a System 22 nebuliser. Chamber	
		ere determined by drawing a measured volume	orair
	- Vehicle: high pur	ass fibre filer or a glass bubbler system.	
	- Control: Air	ווי יימוכו	
		N / EXPOSURE IN VITRO	
		exposure: 4 hr, 24 hr, or 4 hr followed by fresh m	edium
	incubation for 20 h		
		n. ose level estimated and an equivalent dose per t	urbinate
		ncentration 35.4 in distilled water.	arbinate
	<b>C</b>	bsed: Olfactory and respiratory	
		id n=3, resp.) were exposed.	
		IN VITRO SYSTEM:	
		es were exposed to 0-100 mM test substance for	or 4 hr and
		ns were determined.	
	OBSERVATIONS		
	- Clinical signs: R	-	
	- Microscopic: Nas		
	OBSERVATIONS		
		r potassium and protein concentrations of turbin	ates
		ו מושטוו מוש מושטוניון כטווכבוונומנוטווא טו נעוטוו	
		THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used.	
Test substance	STATISTICAL ME	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used.	
Test substance	STATISTICAL ME : SOURCE: Sigma	THODS:ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK	
Test substance	STATISTICAL ME : SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo	THODS:ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK	
Test substance	STATISTICAL ME : SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT	THODS:ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted.	
Test substance Conclusion	STATISTICAL ME : SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. IVE/ETC.: Not reported.	
	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. IVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported.	ıs are able
	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. IVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou	is are able ro the
	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. IVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. IVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity.	is are able ro the e, the loss
	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. IVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was	is are able ro the e, the loss
<b>Conclusion</b> 17.02.2003	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12)	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12)	is are able ro the e, the loss
<b>Conclusion</b> 17.02.2003	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12)	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12)	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> <li>other: screen for recommendation</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12)	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> <li>other: screen for recommendation</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> <li>other: screen for recommendation</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species Sex	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> <li>other: screen for recommendation</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species Sex Strain	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> <li>other: screen for recommendation</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin.	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> <li>other: screen for recommendation</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. No. of animals	<ul> <li>STATISTICAL ME</li> <li>SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INFO</li> <li>It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis</li> <li>other: screen for recommendation</li> </ul>	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. No. of animals Method	STATISTICAL ME SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INF4 It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis other: screen for ref other: Human bro	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. No. of animals Method Year	STATISTICAL ME SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INF4 It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis other: screen for re conter: Human bro	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins nchial cell line (16HBE14o-)	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. No. of animals Method Year GLP	STATISTICAL ME SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INF( It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis other: screen for re other: Human bro ther: Human bro Herricking He	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. ORMATION: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou e against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins nchial cell line (16HBE14o-)	is are able ro the e, the loss
Conclusion 17.02.2003 Endpoint Study descr. in chapter Reference Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. No. of animals Method Year GLP Test substance	STATISTICAL ME SOURCE: Sigma PURITY: Not repo IMPURITY/ADDIT ANY OTHER INF( It is possible that a to protect the nose buffering capacity of ATP with pH ch exposure of the tis other: screen for re other: screen for re other: Human bro 1999 no data ther TS: sodium of This cell line appendevelopment of in	THODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used. a, Poole, UK rted. TVE/ETC.: Not reported. acidic mucopolysaccharides in the nasal mucou a against sodium carbonate in vivo, but that in vitu of the OE becomes overwhelmed. Furthermore anges suggested that the observed toxicity was usue to relatively extreme alkalinity. (12) espiratory toxins nchial cell line (16HBE14o-)	is are able ro the e, the loss due to

## **UNEP** Publications

OECD SIDS	SODIUM CARBONATE
5. TOXICITY	<b>Id</b> 497-19-8
	<b>Date</b> 19.02.2003
Test condition	<ul> <li>Also a marked increase in pH of treatment solutions &gt; 500 microg./ml. However, a similar decrease in TER was seen when the pH was adjusted to neutral pH using 1 M hydrochloric acid. Some recovery of TER by 24 hr. -Cytotoxicity: MTT and NRU measurements showed toxicity, IC50s being 4770 and 3840 microg./ml resp.</li> <li>CELL C ULTURE: - Under controlled culturing conditions ADMINISTRATION / EXPOSURE IN VITRO - Duration of test/exposure: 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6 or 24 hr. - Doses: 0, 1000, 3000, 5000 microg/ml OBSERVATIONS IN VITRO: - TER = transepithelial resistance was measured, prior to treatment and after treatment in triplicate - Cytotoxicity was measured by NRU (neutral red uptake) and MTT</li> </ul>
Test substance	<ul> <li>Cytotoxicity was measured by NRO (neutral red uptake) and MTT measurements, 8 times after 20 hr of treatment. This was repeated three times.</li> <li>STATISTICAL METHODS: ANOVA or Students's t-test was used.</li> <li>SOURCE: Not reported.</li> <li>PURITY: Not reported.</li> <li>IMPURITY/ADDITIVE/ETC.: Not reported.</li> <li>ANY OTHER INFORMATION: Not reported.</li> </ul>

5.11 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

	D SIDS		ARBONATE
6. AN	VALYT. METH. FOR DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION	Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
64	ANALYTICAL METHODS		
6.1	ANALYTICAL METHODS		
6.2	DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION		

OEC	D SIDS	SODIUM C	CARBONATE
7. EF	F. AGAINST TARGET ORG. AND INTENDED USES	Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
7.1	FUNCTION		
7.2	EFFECTS ON ORGANISMS TO BE CONTROLLED		
7.3	ORGANISMS TO BE PROTECTED		
7.4	USER		
7.5	RESISTANCE		

	D SIDS	SODIUM C	ARBONATE
8. M	EAS. NEC. TO PROT. MAN, ANIMALS, ENVIRONMENT	Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
8.1	METHODS HANDLING AND STORING		
8.2	FIRE GUIDANCE		
8.3	EMERGENCY MEASURES		
8.4	POSSIB. OF RENDERING SUBST. HARMLESS		
8.5	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
8.6	SIDE-EFFECTS DETECTION		
8.7	SUBSTANCE REGISTERED AS DANGEROUS FOR GROUND WATER		
8.8	REACTIVITY TOWARDS CONTAINER MATERIAL		

OECD SID			ARBONAT	
9. REFERE	INCES	Id Date	497-19- 19.02.200	
(1)	Anderson BG (1946). The toxicity thresholds of various sodiu of DAPHNIA MAGNA. Sewage works J., 18, 82-87.			
(2)	Busch RH, McDonald KE, Briant JK, Morris JE, Graham TM rodents exposed to sodium combustion products. Environme			
(3)		959). The relationship of bluegill sunfish body size to tolerance for als. Proc. 13th Ind. Work. Conf., Purdue Univ., Engineering Bull., 43,		
(4)	Chibanguza G (1985). Prufung von Leichte Calc. Soda im Au IBR Forschungs GmbH, projekt nr 3-3-395-85.	ugenreiztest am Kaninc	hen.	
(5)	Chibanguza G (1985). Prufung von leichte calc. soda im hau Forschung GmbH, Projekt nr. 1-3-391-85.	treiztest am kaninchen	. IBR	
(6)		on GD, Clayton FE (eds) (1993). Patty's industrial hygiene and toxicology. A Wiley- science Publication, Fourth Edition Vol. II, Part A Toxicology, p. 769-781.		
(7)	CRC Handbook of chemistry and physics (1986). Weast RC Inc, Boca Raton, Florida, B.142.	C(ed). 66th edition, CR	C Press,	
(8)	Dowden BF, Bennett HJ (1965). Toxicity of selected chemica WPCF, 37, 1308-1316.	als to certain animals.	lournal	
(9)	FDA (Food and Drug Administration) (1974). Teratologic eval carbonate) in mice, rats and rabbits, PB-234868.	luation of FDA 71-841 (	sodium	
(10)	Horn WM van, Anderson JB, Katz M (1949). The effect of kra aquatic organisms. Am. Fish. Soc., 79, 55-63.	aft pulp mill wastes on s	ome	
(11)	Johnson W, Swanson K (1987). Final report on the safety as sesquicarbonate, sodium bicarbonate and sodium carbonate 121-138.		ol., 6,	
(12)	Kilgour JD, Simpson SA, Alesander DJ, Reed CJ (2000). A r predicting upper respiratory tract toxicity: in vivo -in vitro correla 49.			
(13)	Lewis RJ (1996). Sax's dangerous properties of industrial m Nostrand Reinhold, p. 2952.	aterials. 9th edition, Va	n	
(14)	McKee & Wolf (1963). Water quality criteria. California State Board. Publication 3-A.	Water Resources Con	irol	
(15)		2000). Still water runs deep- There's more to global soda ash markets than eye. Presented to: 2000 World Petrochemical Conference, Houston, USA.		
(16)		Osterberg RE, Sæbaugh VM, bierbower GW (1982). Ocular irritancy responses Hs of acids and bases with and without irrigation. Toxicology, 23, 281-291.		
(17)	Nixon GA, Tyson CA, Wertz WC (1975). Interspecies compar Applied Pharmacol., 31, 481-490.	rison of skin irritancy. To	oxicol.	
(18)	Olivier Ph, Marzin D (1987). Study of the genotoxic potential of the SOS chromotest. Mutat. Res., 189, 263-269.	of 48 inorganic derivativ	es with	
(19)	Reshetyuk AL, Shevchenko LS (1966). Toxicity of aerosols of Donetsk Occupational Health Research Institute.	f soda and 'sulfonol' sol	utions.	

OECD SIDS		SODIUM CARBONATE	
9. REFERENCES		Id	497-19-8
		Date	19.02.2003
(20)	Richardson ML, Gangolli G (eds) (1994). The dictionary of substan Volume 7, The Royal Society of Chemistry, p. 69-71.	ces and their effe	cts.
(21)	Rinehart, WE, Acute Dermal Toxicity Study in Rabbits, Toxicologica Bio/dynamics Inc., 1978.	al Resources Uni	t,
(22)	Rinehart, WE, Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Rats, Toxicological Reso Bio/dynamics Inc., May 15, 1978.	ources Unit,	
(23)	Rinehart, WE, Primary Dermal Irritation Study in Rabbits, Toxi colo Bio/dynamics Inc., 1978.	gical Resources	Unit,
(24)	Rinehart, WE, Rabbit Eye Irritation Study, Toxicological Resources 1978.	Unit, Bio/dynamie	cs Inc.,
(25)	The Merck Index, an encyclopedia of chemicals, drugs and biologic (ed), 10th edition, Merck & Co., Inc, Rahway, USA, p. 1232.	als (1983). Windł	nolz M
(26)	UNEP (1995). Water quality of world river basins. UNEP Environm	ent Library 14, Ke	enya.
(27)	Wallen IE, Greer WC, Lasater R (1957). Toxicity to gambusia affins chemicals in turbid waters. Sewage and Industrial Wastes, 29, 69		
(28)	Warne MS, Schifko AD (1999). Toxicity of laundry detergent compo cladoceran and their contribution to detergent toxicity. Ecotoxicol. Er 206.		
(29)	Westmoreland C, Walker T, Matthews J, Murdock J (1999). Prelim the use of a human bronchial cell line (16HBE14o-) to screen for re Toxicology in vitro, 13, 761-764.		
(30)	York M, Griffiths HA, Whittle E, Basketter DA (1996). Evaluation of a the identification and classification of skin irritation potential. Conta 212.		